

Paul Neil

From: patho-l-bounces@mailman.srv.ualberta.ca on behalf of Mahesh Mansukhani [mm322@columbia.edu]  
 Sent: Friday, September 16, 2005 8:34 AM  
 To: Pathology Discussion Group  
 Subject: Re: [PATHO-L] Ventana ER/PR cutoff?

The reality is that there is no clear-cut "cut-off"; because of changes in clinical practices, we have now added a new category for fewer than 10% positive in our reports, we do not call it "positive" or "negative" but rather report percent positive cells and intensity. There is some data (I do not have the papers), some of these individual may derive some benefit from Tamoxifen therapy.

Mahesh.

--On Thursday, September 15, 2005 8:18 PM -0500 Peter Benson <benso033@maroon.tc.umn.edu> wrote:

> We do our ER/PR in house, with a Ventana automated immunostainer. We  
 > have been using a "positive" cutoff of 10% cells showing nuclear  
 > staining, but recently heard about some groups using lower cutoffs on  
 > different staining systems. Ventana says our our cutoff level is up to  
 > us.  
 >  
 > Can anyone else using the Ventana system provide feedback re: the  
 > cutoff level you use, and why? Anyone "score" these?  
 >  
 > Peter Benson  
 > North Oaks MN

PATHO-L mailing list

> PATHO-L@mailman.srv.ualberta.ca  
 > <http://www.mailman.srv.ualberta.ca/mailman/listinfo/patho-l>

PATHO-L mailing list

PATHO-L@mailman.srv.ualberta.ca  
<http://www.mailman.srv.ualberta.ca/mailman/listinfo/patho-l>