Don MacDonald
Heather Predham;
Re:
July-05-07 8:36:34 AM

Great....thanks Heather

-----Original Message-----From: Heather Predham <Heather.Predham@easternhealth.ca> To: Don MacDonald <donm@nlchi.nl.ca> Sent: Thu Jul 05 08:19:10 2007 Subject: RE:

Hi Don,

Margaret (Peggy) Deane was the index case. No it is not common for patients to be retested. Her physician sent her entire medical record to a colleague at Sloan-Kettering to review the case and see if there was anything else that could be offered her. Her colleague said no everything possible was done, but he thought it was unusual that, with the type of cancer she had, that she was ER negative.....he said that Sloan-Kettering was even thinking of not even testing ER in this diagnosis as they are always positive.

The oncologist ordered another ER/PR test done and she cam back strongly positive. It was retested on the new system, so initially it was thought to be that but the fact it was strongly positive made ask why.....and so it began

I spoke to Terry Gulliver Director of the Lab program. I have to send him a copy of the e-mail from George to you for confirmation for his file, but I told him to expect a call from Reza who would be inquiring about getting these things printed out

Only those that changed were reviewed by the panel....

Hope this helps, If you need anything else, let me know

Heather

From: Don MacDonald [mailto:donm@nlchi.nl.ca] Sent: Tuesday, July 03, 2007 8:10 PM To: Heather Predham Subject: Hi Heather;

Couple of questions:

Why was the original case who test negative in 2002 was retested again in 2005? Is it common for patients to be re-tested, or wasa this just the physician wanting the test to be re-done?

Who do I need to speak to to get the ER/PR test results printed off again? Not sure this will happen but need to look at timelines.

Were all 763 re-tests for clients still alive reviewed by the tumor board, or only those that went from negative to positive (317)?