

Received Aug 7/2008  
approx. 9am

P 1

Log notes

DET [unclear] 3/10  
3:15 PM

ER-PR Meeting - Task Force

Robert, Pat, Don, Debbie, Tracy.

- 1. Case breast pt: [redacted]
- 2. Future of the database maintain report
- 3. Follow up.

[redacted] → died in [redacted] in 2006  
 according to VS - [redacted] does not report to [redacted]  
 according to Cancer Care (CPIS)  
 pt was under care in [redacted] &  
 died there and physician called  
 NL to inform

2 pts never contacted & were recently  
 contacted (flagged as not deceased)

first one → in [redacted]  
 sec one → [redacted]

letters of apology sent to them,  
 although they were never contacted.

in the database → 23 "unable to contact"

second pt is upset. She was provided  
 new name metastasized

P2

Date

Thus far we have new info  
for 3 pts. Should we keep the database  
update @ the ~~status~~ new info?

→ Vantana groups who are sent to MS.  
RT suggested to add the to the  
database. - self identified.

→ Don suggested - NLCHI - EH Contact/Communication  
via Don & Pat.

\* Establishing a process between EH & NLCHI

EH ⇒ self-identified pts → needs to be prioritized  
 NTs ✓  
 NSCs ✓  
 wip @ → possibly retesting -

\* Terry to be contacted re Vantana returning  
in 2005 - how much work it requires?

Fri June 6: 8:40 AM: Called Terry  
& left a message @ his assistance.

P 3

Terry Gullian, Tracy, Reza

Date June 11/08

Tele confer:

According to Terry no pts was retested on Ventanas in NL since Aug 2005 until recently. Recently some ps (ER ⊕) have requested retesting & Dr. Denick decided to retest them in house.

However, those ER ⊖ ~~are~~ are being sent to MS.

Terry also mentioned that back in Aug 05 ER-PR testing ~~was~~ was not stopped. In fact, H continued & slides were produced sent to ordering pathologist and at the same time the blocks were sent to MS for retesting this occurred ~~before~~ between Aug 05 & Feb 07.

Notes from June 4, 2008 meeting  
-Robert, Don, Pat, Reza, Debbie, Tract

Question-23 patients with no contact-are they alive?  
-e.g. one patient contacted for first time by apology letter

Agenda: the case [REDACTED]  
-continued updates/future of database  
-catch up and loose ends

[REDACTED]-anecdotal info from Vital Stats that she died in [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] "looks like under care un [REDACTED] her physician there called Cancer Centre, and spoke to nurse"

Pat mentioned that there were 2 people they were never able to contact have now been contacted.  
-1 of them had no change (in ON)  
-1 was change-had panel letter to change treatment, but she didn't get it as letter not sent.  
Now she has received apology letter

23 not contacted-apology letter was sent to them and it should not have been,

New Data-should the new self-identifiers currently tested on Ventana and not sent to MS be included in database?

Don-recommended that any new info from Eastern should come to the Centre from Pat.  
Also requested her to follow-up on the May 28 letter