

COMMISSION OF INQUIRY
ON HORMONE RECEPTOR TESTING

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE CAMERON - COMMISSIONER

June 19, 2008

Appearances:

Bernard Coffey, Q.C. Commission Co-counsel
Sandra Chaytor, Q.C. Commission Co-counsel

Rolf Pritchard/Stephen Mills Her Majesty in Right of NL

Peter Browne/
Jane Hennebury Doctors Kara Laing et al
Daniel Simmons Eastern Regional Integrated
. Health Authority
Chesley Crosbie, Q.C./
Pamela Taylor Members of the Breast Cancer
. Testing Class Action
Mark Pike NL Medical Association
Jennifer Newbury Canadian Cancer Society (NL Division)
Stacey O’Dea/
Blair Pritchett. Central, Western and Labrador-Grenfell
Regional Integrated Health Authorities

LIST OF EXHIBITS

EXHIBITS P-1687 THROUGH P-1694 ~~205~~

EXHIBIT P-1718 Pg. 303

EXHIBIT P-1719 Pg. 303

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MR. DARRELL HYNES - RESUMES THE STAND

Examination by Sandra Chaytor, Q.C. Pgs. 4 - 121
Examination by Daniel Simmons Pgs. 121 - 153
Examination by Peter Browne Pgs. 153 - 170
Examination by Jennifer Newbury Pgs. 170 - 201
Examination by Rolf Pritchard Pgs. 201 - 204

MR. RICK SINGLETON - SWORN

Examination by Bernard Coffey, Q.C. Pgs. 204 - 369
Discussion Pgs. 369 - 373

Certificate

Page 4

1 COMMISSIONER:
2 Q. Please be seated. Ms. Chaytor.
3 MR. DARRELL HYNES, EXAMINATION BY SANDRA CHAYTOR, Q.C.
4 (CONTINUED)
5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
6 Q. Good morning, Commissioner. Good morning, Mr.
7 Hynes.
8 MR. HYNES:
9 A. Good morning.
10 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
11 Q. If we could have, please, P-1626? Mr. Hynes,
12 this is the next document we have in the
13 chronology in which your name appears, and
14 it’s March 3rd, 2006. And it’s an e-mail from
15 an Erica Warren on that date. And first of
16 all, perhaps you could tell us, who is Erica
17 Warren?
18 MR. HYNES:
19 A. Ms. Erica Warren was the constituency
20 assistant to Jim Hodder, who at that time was
21 the MHA for Port au Port.
22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
23 Q. And do you recall this exchange, what was this
24 about?
25 MR. HYNES:

Page 5

1 A. Yes, I remember Erica had called me around
 2 that time and we had some phone exchanges and
 3 she followed up with this e-mail, which wasn't
 4 uncommon for me to get in my role, to get
 5 inquiry from an MHA or a minister's office
 6 asking for some more information. And she had
 7 sent me this information on behalf of a
 8 constituent whose name, of course, is blocked
 9 out, but just to see what I understood about
 10 the issue, I guess, and to provide her with
 11 some information that she could go back with.

12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

13 Q. Okay. So this was an inquiry from a patient?

14 MR. HYNES:

15 A. Yeah.

16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

17 Q. And why would Ms. Warren contact you about
 18 this?

19 MR. HYNES:

20 A. Well, I guess the patient had gotten a letter,
 21 by the read at the bottom of the e-mail, and I
 22 guess had contacted her local MHA just looking
 23 for information or assistance about what it
 24 all meant. And that's not uncommon,
 25 especially in rural Newfoundland that

Page 6

1 frequently people go to their MHA as a
 2 resource or for front-line information when
 3 they, you know, need help or assistance with
 4 government. And then Erica subsequently
 5 followed up with this e-mail to me, I guess,
 6 just to see what I may have known about it.

7 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

8 Q. Okay. And it says in your reply to Erica,
 9 "Hi, Erica. There is a history on this one.
 10 Unfortunately, the mistakes that occurred with
 11 ER/PR testing has identified some people who
 12 may have received inappropriate treatment.
 13 This was only detected recently." What did
 14 you mean by that, that this is now March of
 15 2006, what was only detected recently?

16 MR. HYNES:

17 A. That, I guess, that's probably a poor choice
 18 of words there. I mean, obviously if you go
 19 back over a period of time, this was first
 20 detected approximately a year ago or nine
 21 months ago, but I guess it was more that this
 22 lady, I believe her situation when she called
 23 might have been sometime ago, like, probably
 24 five years ago or more and this information, I
 25 guess, only recently came to light. So that's

Page 7

1 perhaps what I meant there, it was within the
 2 past year.

3 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

4 Q. Okay. And you go on in the second paragraph
 5 to state, "We are currently retesting hundreds
 6 of samples going back six years. Some change,
 7 most do not. All individuals have been
 8 contacted, etcetera." So "We are currently
 9 retesting hundreds of samples going back six
 10 years." This is March, 2006. Did you
 11 understand--what did you understand was the
 12 stage of the retesting at this point in time?

13 MR. HYNES:

14 A. That would have been my very general
 15 recollection at that time. Obviously, you
 16 know, it was probably long than six years,
 17 probably six and a half to seven, but that
 18 would have been my loose understanding based
 19 on briefing notes and other information I had.
 20 Again, I mean, this type of e-mail I would
 21 have gotten fairly frequently and I would not
 22 go back with significant detailed briefing
 23 notes because, you know, we don't release that
 24 information to MHAs or other offices. I would
 25 have been trying to give, I guess, Erica a

Page 8

1 thumbnail sketch and some idea of what had
 2 happened as best I could of what the situation
 3 was.

4 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

5 Q. And in using the term "We are currently
 6 retesting." using the word "we" were you
 7 differentiating between the department and
 8 Eastern Health?

9 MR. HYNES:

10 A. You know, obviously I meant Eastern Health
 11 were engaged in that process but, you know,
 12 sometimes, unfortunately, you use that
 13 interchangeably when you're busy that, you
 14 know, I guess it's still government and the
 15 Department of Health. But, I mean, obviously
 16 Eastern Health were the ones going through the
 17 process.

18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

19 Q. And you indicate that "All individuals have
 20 been contacted." What was your source of
 21 knowledge at this point, March of 2006, that
 22 all individuals have been contacted?

23 MR. HYNES:

24 A. I certainly would have gleaned that from
 25 information through briefing notes and

Page 9

1 information in the department. I mean, I
 2 think at that point, you know, we were at
 3 least told it was widely understood that
 4 everyone had been contacted.
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. And contacted with what, were they contacted
 7 to be told they would be retested or they had
 8 been contacted with their results?
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. You know, I don't know if I had a clear
 11 understanding at that time about what exactly-
 12 -I mean, all I remember knowing was everyone
 13 had been contacted, and whether that was to
 14 give the initial or tell them that, you know,
 15 all their results were back and, you know,
 16 there had been a change or not a change.
 17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Okay. And you go on and suggest, of course,
 19 that "Her diagnosis and treatment should be
 20 discussed with her oncologist." You end by
 21 saying, "Let me know if you need more." Was
 22 there any further contact from Ms. Warren on
 23 this issue?
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. No. Again, when I checked my phone logs, we

Page 10

1 chatted about it once or twice, but I think it
 2 was just Erica perhaps called, you know, for
 3 more information about medical transportation,
 4 what may or may not have been available under
 5 that program.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. And what was that issue about, the medical
 8 transportation for her?
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. Government offers a medical transportation
 11 assistance plan to assist people who have to
 12 travel distances to access medical treatments
 13 that are not available in their local
 14 community. And where this lady, presumably
 15 when it was in Mr. Hodder's district, which is
 16 Port au Port out on, you know, the southwest
 17 coast, I guess, that, you know, she may have
 18 been--if she had to come to St. John's for
 19 additional treatment and follow up that there
 20 may be some assistance available to her. And
 21 I suggested she follow up with the lady named
 22 there, who is the manager of the program, for
 23 more information to see if she was eligible.
 24 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 25 Q. And do you know whether or not that happened,

Page 11

1 not necessarily with respect to this person,
 2 but was there any provision made for the
 3 patients who had to travel back into St.
 4 John's for any additional treatment or
 5 consultations?
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. I'm not--I don't know if Eastern Health may
 8 have offered some special offset program for
 9 these people specifically impacted. Otherwise
 10 they would just be eligible for whatever Pan-
 11 Provincial programs there would be available,
 12 whether through human resources, labour and
 13 employment or the Medical Transportation
 14 Assistance Program offered by the Department
 15 of Health.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Did you receive any similar contacts or
 18 inquiries from anybody else?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. Not that I recall.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. And no contacts directly from patients?
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. No. I vaguely remember me and Minister
 25 Osborne discussing a call he had gotten and I,

Page 12

1 looking back now, Ms. Chaytor, I believe
 2 that's what lead to our discussion in August
 3 of '06, that the minister had gotten one or
 4 more calls from constituents in his district
 5 that were impacted, I guess, by this process
 6 and were asking the minister, you know, what
 7 had happened, we can't seem to get answers,
 8 etcetera, and that's what lead the minister to
 9 ask me to arrange a briefing on the whole root
 10 cause issue in August of '06.
 11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. Okay.
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. That's the only other clear recollection I
 15 have about individual patient contacts.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Okay. And perhaps then we could look at that
 18 at P-0169, I believe it is. And this is your
 19 handwritten note, which has been transcribed,
 20 of August 2nd, 2006 "Meeting with Honourable
 21 Tom Osborne." And one of your notes says
 22 "ER/PR briefing for minister. What was root
 23 cause?" So, Mr. Hynes, your recollection is
 24 that the minister received a couple of
 25 inquiries from his constituents wondering this

Page 13

1 very issue, what happened, what caused the
 2 problem. And so at this point in time you
 3 started to try and arrange to have a briefing
 4 for the minister?
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. Yes. I mean, I certainly remember during that
 7 spring and early summer it was raised a couple
 8 of times. I believe I mentioned yesterday the
 9 minister raised with Deputy Minister Abbott at
 10 the time to have something set up. But I was
 11 leaving, starting annual leave this day and I
 12 ran through a number of things, the rest of
 13 them, of course, are blocked out there because
 14 they're not relevant, but I ran through a
 15 number of things with the minister and that
 16 was a direction, I mean, because he at this
 17 point still didn't have a clear understanding
 18 himself, and I, before I left, asked Mr.
 19 Abbott to set up something.
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Okay. And in the inquiries that were made,
 22 you approached Mr. Abbott. Did you also speak
 23 with Ms. Hennessey?
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. No. I recall leaving the minister's office

Page 14

1 and John, Mr. Abbott happened to be in his
 2 office and going right into his office and
 3 chatting about a couple of things he needed to
 4 follow up on and that was one of them.
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. And do you know whether the minister was ever
 7 told that Ms. Hennessey had looked for the
 8 findings from the external review reports, the
 9 report I showed you yesterday from Dr.
 10 Banerjee as well as Ms. Wegrynowski's report,
 11 do you know whether or not the minister was
 12 ever told that Ms. Hennessey had looked for
 13 that information back in November of 2005?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. Not that it was shared with me, no.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. And do you think if the minister were aware of
 18 that, you'd be aware of it?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. I would think so, yes.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. And in relation to this time period, when the
 23 minister is posing this question, "What was
 24 the root cause" did anyone suggest that
 25 perhaps we should ask what happened with the

Page 15

1 external reviews?
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. I don't remember making the link or Mr. Abbott
 4 suggesting to me or even me suggesting to Mr.
 5 Abbott that, you know, that could form a basis
 6 of the briefing, so I don't know if we knew at
 7 the time perhaps that the reviews were in and
 8 done.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. And in asking what was root cause, what do you
 11 understand the minister to be asking, what
 12 does root cause mean?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. You know, essentially what this was all about,
 15 what exactly had happened.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. So what had happened to cause the change in
 18 results?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. Yes. Whether that was technology or a system
 21 error or human error or a systemic problem in
 22 the lab, whatever that would mean.
 23 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 24 Q. So I take it the minister at this point in
 25 time was realizing that information wasn't

Page 16

1 forthcoming or he didn't have that information
 2 and he's looking to have this question
 3 answered?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. Again, you know, he certainly had no comfort
 6 level whatsoever. And I remember, you know,
 7 he asked me and, I mean, I was supposed to be
 8 a senior advisor and I had no answer to give
 9 him, which was troubling for me.
 10 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 11 Q. Mr. Hynes, were you involved, during your time
 12 with the department, in any of the
 13 negotiations with the NLMA for salary
 14 increases for the pathologists?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. Yes, I remember attending--now, it's, I'll
 17 back up a bit, I guess. I mean, obviously
 18 negotiations would be through Treasury Board,
 19 that's the, you know, the employer of
 20 government and that would actually be hands
 21 on. But I remember in the '06 there as a fair
 22 amount of discussion at the executive meetings
 23 of proposals that were going forward to
 24 Treasury Board looking for additional salary
 25 for oncologists and pathologists, actually.

Page 17

1 So around the whole period of May, as early as
 2 May, right up until August there was certainly
 3 frequent discussions around the executive
 4 table and the department about the issue. And
 5 I remember attending a meeting with Minister
 6 Osborne on this issue with a number of
 7 oncologists on May 1st, 2006.
 8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Okay. And, I'm sorry, this was around May?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. This was May 1st, 2006, yes.
 12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 13 Q. And what do you recall about that?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. I remember it was, the minister was there and
 16 myself, Mr. Rob Ritter, Mr. John Abbott, the
 17 Deputy Minister, Dr. Laing was there, Dr.
 18 Ganguly, and I believe one or more doctors,
 19 and I apologize, I can't recall their names. I
 20 don't have my notes here from the meeting in
 21 front of me.
 22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Okay. And what was the purpose of the
 24 meeting?
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 18

1 A. There was a great amount of frustration around
 2 the table that I guess there was ongoing
 3 salary issues, which I came to understand had
 4 been ongoing with the department for some time
 5 were not being addressed. And there was three
 6 or four key things that they wanted to get the
 7 minister's ear on to try to see if we could
 8 get some resolution because in their view the
 9 discussions with the department had reached an
 10 impasse and were not getting anywhere.
 11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. Okay. And, I'm sorry, the physicians in
 13 attendance were Dr. Laing?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. Yes.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. And who else?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. Dr. Ganguly.
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Dr. Ganguly.
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. Yeah.
 24 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 25 Q. Okay. So was -

Page 19

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. And at least one or two more and I--maybe Dr.
 3 Siddiqui sounds familiar but I can't, you
 4 know, because I don't have my notes in front
 5 of me.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. So these were oncologists?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. Yeah.
 10 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 11 Q. Yes, okay. If we could look at, please, P-
 12 1652. And, Mr. Hynes, what was the outcome of
 13 that meeting with the oncologists?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. They raised a number of issues involving
 16 salary. Dr. Laing's clinical definition of
 17 her administrative duties, that became a
 18 fairly significant focal point to the meeting.
 19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Yes.
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. Case loads, I'd call it for lack of a better
 23 word, what was an appropriate number of
 24 patients for an oncologist to see versus the

Page 20

1 amount they were paid. And I remember there
 2 was also a fore-point about how exactly their
 3 payments were administered, whether it was
 4 lump sum versus annually or semi-annually,
 5 whatever, I remember that was an issue, how
 6 exactly the money was transferred, so.
 7 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 8 Q. Okay.
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. Oh, sorry, the outcome was at the end of it
 11 Dr. Ganguly did most of the talking and I
 12 remember a couple of times he seemed very
 13 frustrated and upset, but at the end of it the
 14 minister agreed to review some issues and some
 15 information they had and Dr.--or, sorry, Mr.
 16 Ritter was to follow up with a letter to the
 17 minister very shortly after. And that letter
 18 subsequently came in.
 19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. And were the oncologists concerns then
 21 addressed at that point in time?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. I think partially, but I still--you know,
 24 especially some of the information about what
 25 would be an appropriate number of patients,

Page 21

1 because part of the discussion became, and
 2 I'll just go from memory, that a patient in
 3 Newfoundland, once you're seen by an
 4 oncologist once, you're on that--you're that
 5 doctor's patient for life, so to speak,
 6 whereas in other jurisdictions once you leave,
 7 if you're referred again, it would be an
 8 additional billing because you'd be considered
 9 a new patient, some of the nuances about how
 10 things work. So the minister agreed to review
 11 the national literature and the national
 12 standards for what would be an appropriate
 13 number. Because I believe what the department
 14 was proposing was approximately 186 and--or
 15 sorry, what they wanted was approximately 186
 16 as a standard and I think the department was
 17 up around 211, 213 and they viewed that as an
 18 unmanageable, too high an amount.
 19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. And so was it lowered after that?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. I can't be definitive on what--I know there
 23 was a letter wrote to the minister, very
 24 detailed, lengthy letter, and I remember the
 25 minister, I remember the minister sending a

Page 22

1 letter back and I remember we discussed it at
 2 an executive meeting and I remember Mr. Abbott
 3 saying that they're going to be happy on some
 4 things and no happy on others.
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. And was there any change to whether or not a
 7 patient would be considered a patient for life
 8 or if that patient gets seen by another
 9 oncologist, was that change made?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. You know, that was one of the things that
 12 factored into this how the numbers were
 13 computed. I don't know if that particular one
 14 was changed or not, because again, I don't
 15 have--the correspondence was fairly lengthy
 16 and detailed.
 17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Okay. But basically the complaint of the
 19 oncologists was workload?
 20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. Yeah, to a large degree, yes.
 22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. And what about any meetings or attendance at
 24 meetings or taking part in any discussions
 25 regarding pathologists' workload and/or

Page 23

1 remuneration, did you take part in any of that
 2 discussion?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. No, I don't remember. I remember certainly at
 5 one point, I believe, one of the ministers met
 6 with the group, because, again, there was a
 7 significant amount of frustration. I was not
 8 a party to that meeting, but I do remember,
 9 again, during the whole period of, you know,
 10 the whole period of May to June, July, August,
 11 '06 in the executive meeting it was discussed
 12 a number of times because the pathologists, I
 13 believe they were getting very low on numbers,
 14 which meant, you know, there was a fair number
 15 of vacancies and their ability to attract and
 16 retain people they viewed was significantly
 17 impacted by their salary.
 18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. And the exhibit I've brought up here, Mr.
 20 Hynes, 1652, this is a PowerPoint presentation
 21 Mr. Ritter gave regarding a presentation on
 22 pathologists' remuneration. And I just take
 23 you quickly through this. Does this look
 24 familiar, were you in attendance for this
 25 PowerPoint presentation? I believe it may

Page 24

1 have taken place around sometime in July of
 2 2006?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. No, I don't remember seeing this.
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. Okay. And if we could look, please, at P-
 7 0173? This is an e-mail from Ms. Mundon to
 8 yourself, amongst others in the department,
 9 including the minister. And the subject is
 10 "41 Join Class Action Bid on Faulty Breast
 11 Cancer Test." And it's a copy of the CBC News
 12 story of the same date, October 19th. Was
 13 this issue, the class action, the subject of
 14 discussion in the department around this time?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. I'm sure it would have been discussed. I
 17 can't be definitive about an individual
 18 conversation, but I'm sure we would have been
 19 aware of it.
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Okay. And -
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. Because, I mean, once legal action has
 24 commenced, there's a different mind set about
 25 what you can say and do in government.

Page 25

1 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

2 Q. Okay. Well, tell the Commissioner, please,

3 about that?

4 MR. HYNES:

5 A. You know, from my view, I guess, government,

6 Madam Commissioner, is very cautious once

7 we're served with any legal papers that, I

8 mean, what you can say and do publicly is very

9 restricted, and that would always be the

10 advice I was given in my various positions.

11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

12 Q. Okay. And why would that be, why would you be

13 restricted in what you can say?

14 MR. HYNES:

15 A. I can only give a personal example. I

16 remember when I was in the Department of

17 Education with Minister Ottenheimer, we chose

18 to meet with an individual who was suing the

19 government and the minister chose to meet with

20 him and he asked me to sit in on the meeting.

21 We met with the individual, and after the fact

22 I was taken to task by the deputy or assistant

23 deputy minister at the time asking me what I

24 thought I was doing. And when I inquired, he

25 said, b'y, he said, you know, this gentleman

Page 26

1 got an action against government. He said,

2 you can't be jeopardizing our case and you

3 know, jeopardizing the Department of Justice's

4 case because you're going to be called as

5 witness and compromise evidence. I didn't

6 understand some of the stuff he was telling

7 me, but I just thought it was a bit--you know,

8 in my view the minister could meet with

9 whoever he wanted if it was an education

10 issue.

11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

12 Q. Okay. And of course, in this case the

13 government wasn't directly sued, the

14 government is not a defendant to the class

15 action. Does that make any difference in the

16 government's ability to be able to speak on

17 the issue?

18 MR. HYNES:

19 A. No, but I guess if, I guess, you know, you

20 could say there would always be the--always be

21 the--that you could be brought into it after

22 the fact as a named defendant, I guess. So

23 again, I mean, once legal papers were served,

24 I mean, I remember always being told, I mean,

25 you know, you got to try to step back and not

Page 27

1 get involved and not say anything.

2 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

3 Q. So Mr. Hynes, from this point forward then,

4 once the action was served, did that influence

5 Government's speaking on the ER/PR issue?

6 MR. HYNES:

7 A. Well, I mean, this was still very much

8 Eastern--it was up to Eastern Health to

9 communicate what the results were and as

10 tissue samples come back. I mean, I don't

11 remember any--I don't believe there was ever

12 any media inquiries or ever any information

13 that we didn't respond to, and I mean, you

14 know, I guess you'd have to check with the

15 communications people on that. So I mean,

16 Government would never deliberately not

17 respond to an issue, but I mean, this was

18 still very much Eastern Health's issue to

19 manage and respond to and answer, you know,

20 answer inquiries about how many patients have

21 been sent away, what's the--you know, what's

22 the rate of error or what's the rate of how

23 many are back, how many are sent away still

24 and that kind of thing.

25 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

Page 28

1 Q. So we seen in some of the briefing notes that

2 there is reference to now that the legal

3 action has commenced, then we'll have to let

4 the Courts determine or for that to take its

5 course in terms of particularly what may have

6 went wrong or whether or not error--there was

7 an error in this case. Do you remember that

8 being discussed in the Department at the time,

9 that you would be somehow limited or

10 restricted in addressing issues such as what

11 went wrong and whether or not there was error?

12 MR. HYNES:

13 A. Not me myself, because I still don't remember

14 having a clear understanding of what went

15 wrong, but I guess, the Minister, the advice

16 to the Minister certainly would have been to

17 be cautious because what you could say could

18 jeopardize Eastern Health's authority or

19 future claims against Government, and again,

20 there was, you know, a fairly widely

21 understood premise, in my view, that once a

22 government agency, and Eastern Health

23 indirectly, I mean, ultimately reported to

24 Government, that you'd have to be very

25 cautious about what you were saying and doing

Page 29

1 in public.
 2 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 3 Q. So Eastern Health's decision in December 2006,
 4 if their decision was based on legal advice,
 5 to be careful what they said about the cause
 6 of the problem or the extent of the problem,
 7 how many people had been impacted because that
 8 might jeopardize the defence, that would have
 9 been understood by Government and acknowledged
 10 by Government as being something that you have
 11 to be careful and cautious on speaking to, if
 12 it's going to jeopardize the defence?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. No, I don't remember specifically being told
 15 by Eastern Health that that's what they were
 16 going to do and why.
 17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 18 Q. But if that is what they decided to do for
 19 those reasons, that would not be questioned by
 20 Government?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. I'm not sure I'm following your question.
 23 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 24 Q. If there's an understanding that once an
 25 action is started that you have to be cautious

Page 30

1 in what you say because it may jeopardize the
 2 defence, if Eastern Health made a decision to
 3 limit certain information in December 2006
 4 based on legal advice, then that would be
 5 accepted by Government?
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. No, I wouldn't use the word "accepted" because
 8 in December, I don't--we never knew. I don't
 9 believe anyone--I know personally, I never
 10 picked up on the fact that what we were told
 11 in November was not released in December.
 12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 13 Q. And if, though if the Government were to have
 14 been told out front, "this is what we're going
 15 to do. Here's what we're going to do. It's
 16 based on legal advice. We can't jeopardize
 17 the defence," would Government have taken any
 18 issue with that?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. Well, I mean, I can only speak to my
 21 understanding, Ms. Chaytor. My understanding
 22 was, from November to December, they weren't
 23 going to talk about issues of causation, but
 24 the numbers and everything else that we were
 25 shown in November would be released as it was.

Page 31

1 It was only the issue of causation, and my
 2 notes bear that out, was going to be, you
 3 know, probabilities for the Courts to clarify.
 4 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 5 Q. So you understood that they wouldn't be
 6 speaking on the issue of causation and that
 7 was related to the ongoing litigation?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. That's my understanding from November 23rd,
 10 2006, yes.
 11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. If we could look, please, at P-1477? And it's
 13 page four of this document, Mr. Hynes. By the
 14 way, is your mouse working today?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. I think so.
 17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Is it? Okay. It's working for you?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. No.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. No, it's not.
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. Oh, maybe.
 25 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

Page 32

1 Q. Is it? Okay, you're good. This is your notes
 2 from an executive meeting of October 20th,
 3 2006, and I believe all we have actually are
 4 your notes, so thank you for that. We don't
 5 have the minutes. And you make a note "ER/PR,
 6 after year all patients still not notified."
 7 So Mr. Hynes, as of October 20th, 2006, so a
 8 year and some months after the Government is
 9 first notified of this issue, I take it it was
 10 known within the Department that there were
 11 still patients not notified of either the
 12 issue or of their results?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. Well, I mean, that's a reflection of
 15 discussion at the executive table, and I seem
 16 to recall there was a certain sense of
 17 frustration with it as well.
 18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. And what do you recall about that?
 20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. I just remember a discussion among the
 22 executive people there, and I don't have the
 23 list, because I mean, there was formal minutes
 24 kept, so I didn't record who happened to be in
 25 attendance, but just that despite everything

Page 33

1 we had been told, here we were a year later
 2 and all people were still not notified and
 3 there was certainly a sense of frustration
 4 among the Department officials that, you know,
 5 we were at this point.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. How did the Department know that all the
 8 patients were still not notified?
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. I don't know, Ms. Chaytor, if this was raised
 11 by a certain individual based on new
 12 information or if this could have been a media
 13 report that day that someone reflected on and
 14 that generated the discussion. I mean, I'm
 15 not sure what originated the discussion, but I
 16 certainly remember from the note, that was the
 17 sense around the table.
 18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. And did you understand that it meant not
 20 notified at all about the issue or had not
 21 been notified of the results?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. I think there was--my sense of it anyway was
 24 there was still people out there who hadn't
 25 been contacted at all.

Page 34

1 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 2 Q. At all, okay, and what was done about that?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. I believe at the meeting for October 20th, I'm
 5 not sure if we would have been meeting with--
 6 if we would have known the meeting subsequent
 7 next month with Eastern Health officials to,
 8 you know, flush out the issues. So we may
 9 have--you know, we may have deferred to the
 10 meeting that we knew was coming on November
 11 23rd of 2006.
 12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 13 Q. So a month later?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. Month later, or you know, I vaguely remember
 16 Mr. Abbott making some comments, but I'm not
 17 sure if he said he was going to follow up or
 18 it was just he just reflected on it, you know,
 19 this is -
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. I take it this was of concern within the
 22 Department?
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. Yes.
 25 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

Page 35

1 Q. And was the Minister advised of this?
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. I don't know. I'm unaware if I specifically
 4 would have told him. I mean, sometimes after
 5 these executive meetings, I would give him a
 6 briefing, if I thought there was something
 7 important. I mean, this may have been fairly
 8 widely known, that he may have known and I may
 9 have not felt that necessary.
 10 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 11 Q. So I take it if it wasn't fairly widely known,
 12 you would have brought this to his attention?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. Yeah, if I thought this was a new startling
 15 revelation that I would have--I certainly
 16 would have made sure he was aware.
 17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Okay.
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. But again, I'm not sure of the context of who
 21 raised this and how it came to the table.
 22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Okay. Well perhaps we could look at P-0173,
 24 please? And this is the news story that I had
 25 taken you to about "41 join class action bid,"

Page 36

1 October 19th story from CBC, and this refers
 2 to Mr. Crosbie and quotes Mr. Crosbie as
 3 saying "they haven't been given any
 4 information since a year ago about the rate of
 5 reversal or error rate, if you want to call it
 6 that." And then the patient, Geri Rogers, is
 7 saying she "was alarmed to hear of mistakes.
 8 They said a year ago it was ten percent, which
 9 I'm hearing through the grapevine might be a
 10 lot higher than that. If it was any lower,
 11 then I suspect they would have told us about
 12 that." And she goes on and is quoted to say,
 13 "I want to know what went wrong. I want to
 14 know whether it was human error or was it the
 15 test itself." And at that point, she's not--
 16 indicates she's not part of the class action.
 17 So this is the news story right before,
 18 anyhow, at least one that we're aware of right
 19 before your meeting of October 20th. So does
 20 that help put it in context as to what may
 21 have been discussed?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. I'm just trying to see in the story if there's
 24 any reference though to a patient who had come
 25 forward and said perhaps, you know, they still

Page 37

1 hadn't heard anything.

2 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

3 Q. I don't think we see that in this news story.

4 MR. HYNES:

5 A. But it very well, you know, Ms. Chaytor, may

6 have generated discussion, you know, because

7 obviously a lot of times with these

8 transcripts, what you found was the actual

9 verbal story on CBC Radio may have been

10 different from what was on a print wire story,

11 so to speak.

12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

13 Q. And if we could look at P-0179, please?

14 Sorry, 0174, and this is the same date as your

15 executive meeting, and it's early in the

16 morning and Minister Osborne has asked for a

17 briefing note on this, and it's indicated here

18 that the Minister, of course, needs a briefing

19 note re: the attached, and I believe that

20 attachment was the news story. So the

21 Minister was certainly looking for an update

22 at this point in time.

23 So Mr. Hynes, from what I understand, you

24 had, back on August 2nd, the beginning of

25 August when the Minister was asking what is

Page 38

1 the root cause, at that point in time, you

2 approached Mr. Abbott and you were looking to

3 set up a briefing then with Eastern Health?

4 MR. HYNES:

5 A. Yes.

6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

7 Q. And that actually doesn't get arranged until--

8 or doesn't take place until November 23rd

9 2006?

10 MR. HYNES:

11 A. Yeah.

12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

13 Q. And what do you understand to be the reason

14 for the delay in having that briefing take

15 place?

16 MR. HYNES:

17 A. I understand that it was because Eastern

18 Health were getting towards the end of the

19 analysis and data compilation and, you know,

20 basically they were going to meet with us when

21 they were ready to release the numbers and the

22 information and give us a briefing and heads

23 up, I guess, on what they were going to go

24 with publicly, and you know, my recollection

25 and understanding is that information was not

Page 39

1 available earlier than November when it took

2 place.

3 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

4 Q. So they were waiting to have completed the

5 process before they did the briefing?

6 MR. HYNES:

7 A. That's correct, absolutely.

8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

9 Q. Tell us then about the meeting on November

10 23rd. I take it you attended?

11 MR. HYNES:

12 A. I did.

13 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

14 Q. And who else was in attendance?

15 MR. HYNES:

16 A. Mr. Tilley was there, George Tilley; Dr.

17 Howell, who by this time has succeeded Bob

18 Williams; Dr. Laing; John Abbott, our deputy

19 minister; Tansy Mundon, director of

20 communications for the Department; and Susan

21 Bonnell from Eastern Health.

22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

23 Q. Okay.

24 MR. HYNES:

25 A. And myself, of course.

Page 40

1 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

2 Q. And how did the meeting go?

3 MR. HYNES:

4 A. The meeting went good. It was late in the

5 afternoon, as I recall, and it was over

6 outside the House of Assembly because the

7 House was sitting and we were in the Clerk's

8 boardroom, which is a fairly small boardroom

9 for the number of people we had, but the

10 Minister came out of the House and came into

11 the meeting and I think Mr. Tilley basically

12 led off the discussion with--and presented us

13 a document that I had seen for the first time,

14 the document with the numbers in it of what

15 the exact breakdown were with number of

16 patients and the various categories.

17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

18 Q. Okay. If we could look at P-0125, please,

19 page 42? Is this the document, Mr. Hynes?

20 MR. HYNES:

21 A. Yes, that's correct.

22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

23 Q. Okay, and this is called ER/PR case analysis,

24 and it's a one-page document on Eastern Health

25 letterhead.

Page 41

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. Yeah.
 3 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 4 Q. And Mr. Tilley led the discussion around this
 5 document, I take it?
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. Yeah.
 8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 9 Q. And was there anything in particular around
 10 the document that stands out, in terms of what
 11 was presented?
 12 MR. HYNES:
 13 A. Well, you know, seeing this for the first
 14 time, I'm trying to take it in, I guess, and
 15 follow the discussion, but I remember there
 16 was discussion certainly around the 104
 17 number, which of course was later revised to
 18 117, I think, in the final. That there was
 19 significant focus on the change in results and
 20 for individuals that this impacted their
 21 treatment, and then, you know, in my own mind,
 22 I harkened back to when Dr. Laing told me that
 23 people could have been helped if they had to
 24 get the drug in a timely way, that you know,
 25 this was 104 perhaps of these people.

Page 42

1 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 2 Q. So these were 104 who were still living, these
 3 104?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. Yes, yeah, that's correct.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. And how did the number, you said changed
 8 eventually to 117, what's your recollection as
 9 to how that happened?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. I believe Minister Osborne raised some issue
 12 there with how the numbers were arrived at,
 13 but I can't be--I mean, I remember he raised
 14 it and it was--you know, I think the final
 15 number was 117 when it was corrected.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. And so you remember a focus on the number 104
 18 or those who required a change in treatment?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. Yeah.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. Is there anything else about the numbers that
 23 stood out or you recall being discussed?
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. No, just that on the bottom there and that's

Page 43

1 actually my circle around that document, 101
 2 retested and results received.
 3 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 4 Q. Yes.
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. I remember making a note on my copy saying
 7 "what were the results?" and I passed that to
 8 Minister Osborne, because in my view, that was
 9 significant, because if they had--you know,
 10 deceased people, if the tests were redone and
 11 known, then that would significantly impact
 12 the numbers above. I mean, you know, people
 13 that had changes, people that were impacted or
 14 could have had a change in treatment plan. I
 15 mean, even though they were deceased, that
 16 information should have been able to be
 17 accessed, I guess.
 18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. So you were asking how many of the deceased
 20 had changes in their results?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. Yeah.
 23 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 24 Q. And what answer was given?
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 44

1 A. Well, I remember passing the note to the
 2 Minister and a discussion began and, you know,
 3 because I guess, after waiting a year and a
 4 half to see this, my view was, you know, why
 5 not release the full numbers. If you're going
 6 to release all this, and there was ever
 7 indication they were, why not release the full
 8 information? And I think it came down to, you
 9 know, time. We want to get this out. It'll
 10 take additional time if we got to send away
 11 these people and wait, and everything else.
 12 So they ultimately decided they would only do
 13 it if the family asked, and I remember there
 14 was discussion and Dr. Laing made a statement
 15 that, I mean, she was obviously concerned for
 16 the living and not the dead, because those
 17 were the ones that she could help at that
 18 point, and I remember, and by this point, the
 19 meeting had gotten fairly heated, that I said
 20 "well, you know, you better have a better
 21 answer on December 10th when you go to the
 22 media, because the families and the public are
 23 going to want a better explanation than what
 24 you're giving us." And it was a fairly
 25 strident point, but at that point then, Mr.

Page 45

1 Tilley interjected to change the tone and the
 2 mood of the meeting.
 3 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 4 Q. Okay. So you said the meeting had gotten
 5 fairly heated at this point in time?
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. Yeah.
 8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 9 Q. So this was a heated exchange, I take it,
 10 between yourself and Dr. Laing?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. Yeah. I mean, my voice, I was certainly
 13 excited and my voice was raised and I remember
 14 she had a fair amount of emotion in her face.
 15 Her face got quite red because--and I
 16 appreciate too, that looking back, I mean, she
 17 was probably the one that over the past 15
 18 months had been dealing with some of these
 19 patients and, you know, it had been an
 20 emotional ordeal for her. But in my mind, it
 21 was still a fairly paramount point that if you
 22 had this information, people are going to want
 23 to know, and you know, all they were saying is
 24 "well, we're not--we're concerned on a go-
 25 forward basis with the living" but that's not-

Page 46

1 -if I was asking, I knew the media and the
 2 families would be asking, and they didn't have
 3 an answer.
 4 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 5 Q. Mr. Hynes, why were you upset about it?
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. Well, I mean, you know, I mentioned earlier,
 8 and perhaps this is the better context, I
 9 mean, I still remember back in that meeting of
 10 November of '05 when Dr. Laing told myself and
 11 Minister Ottenheimer that there was people
 12 that no question could have been impacted and
 13 deceased, if they had to get this treatment.
 14 So here we were, after a year and a half of
 15 waiting for this information, the information
 16 was not complete and you know, again, in my
 17 view, if it was my loved one, my son or
 18 daughter, you know, my mother or father, I'd
 19 want to know, and again, you know, this would
 20 significantly impact the situation and it was
 21 unresolved, in my view.
 22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Was there any other discussion around the
 24 deceased patients?
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 47

1 A. No, just that I think they said they weren't
 2 going to retest them in the interest of time,
 3 at that point, or you know, do the analysis on
 4 the 101 and they weren't going to, you know,
 5 do the other 73 unless they were asked by the
 6 families, and in the interest of time, and
 7 they were going to move forward with their
 8 announcement.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. Okay. So they were going to move forward with
 11 the media technical briefing?
 12 MR. HYNES:
 13 A. Yes.
 14 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Did you understand though that the deceased
 16 would be retested at some point down the road
 17 or not unless the families requested it?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. No, not unless the families requested.
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. And what was the Minister's position on that?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. The Minister, you know, I seem to recall was
 24 with me that, I mean, we shared the view that,
 25 you know, again, if you're going to do a

Page 48

1 complete thorough disclosure, then it should
 2 have been everybody, and again, if it was my
 3 son or daughter, I mean, I still remember
 4 making the point and I didn't mean to be
 5 flippant or offensive to the doctor, but I
 6 mean, I still--you know, I said "if I'm asking
 7 it here now, the media is going to want to
 8 know. The public is going to want to know.
 9 These families are going to want to know" and
 10 Eastern Health didn't have an answer.
 11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. Who did you understand had made the decision
 13 not to retest the deceased unless the families
 14 requested it? How had that decision come
 15 about and who had made the decision?
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. I can't--I don't know what Eastern Health, you
 18 know, their internal process. I know at the
 19 meeting, I don't believe Dr. Howell spoke at
 20 all. So it's only really Dr. Laing who kind
 21 of led the discussion on that particular
 22 issue. So I don't know if she was the one
 23 that made the decision, but she was certainly
 24 the one that defended it.
 25 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

Page 49

1 Q. So in terms of Dr. Laing's comment about being
 2 concerned with the living as a priority,
 3 obviously, if people can be helped, the
 4 decision wasn't that "well, we'll do the
 5 living first and then we'll retest the
 6 deceased"? The decision was "we're not going
 7 to retest the deceased unless the families
 8 requested"?
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. That's right.
 11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. Were they going to make an announcement to
 13 that effect at the technical briefing, so that
 14 families would be aware of that and be able to
 15 come forward?
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. Well, again, my clear memory is that all this
 18 information, this page was going to form the
 19 basis of the--you know, like this is not a
 20 confidential briefing note for the Minister or
 21 anything like that. I mean, I don't remember
 22 ever being told "Darrell, don't worry about
 23 your concerns on the numbers because we're not
 24 going to release that to the people" or "we're
 25 not going to release that part of it." If it

Page 50

1 was such a--if they weren't going to release
 2 all of it, then think they--you know, why
 3 didn't they speak up? I mean, at least from
 4 my perspective, all this information was going
 5 to be released.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Okay. Including how many of the deceased had
 8 been retested and that the remainder could be
 9 retested upon request?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. Yes.
 12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 13 Q. What other concerns did you have regarding the
 14 numbers or was it just around the deceased?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. You know, that became a fairly focal point to
 17 the meeting, but I mean, there was other
 18 discussions around rates of error that -
 19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. And what do you recall around that?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. Well, I mean, if I--can I go to my notes?
 23 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 24 Q. Absolutely. It's at P-1628, please? And
 25 these are your notes from the meeting of

Page 51

1 November 23rd, 2006, which have been typed,
 2 and it says that the meeting took place 3:40
 3 p.m. with the individuals you noted being in
 4 attendance. The first note that you have
 5 here, Mr. Hynes, is "lawsuit pending,
 6 difficult to do media." What was said around
 7 that?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. Basically that Eastern Health were not going
 10 to get into causation or what I understand to
 11 be causation at the briefing because that
 12 issue was before the Courts, which is
 13 reflected further on in the notes.
 14 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Now Mr. Hynes, the article that I took you to,
 16 October 19th, the CBC piece that I took to
 17 you, what was being discussed was about what
 18 had happened. This all originated--trying to
 19 get this briefing originated because the
 20 Minister, on August 2nd, was asking what is
 21 the root cause, and in the meeting then,
 22 you're being told that they can't get into
 23 causation because of the lawsuit. Did they
 24 offer the explanation as to the cause to the
 25 Department? Was the Minister told in that

Page 52

1 meeting?
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. No, not that I recall. The only discussion
 4 was that they said they were going to stand by
 5 the lab and stand by their people.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. And what did you understand that to mean?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. That they weren't going to get into internal--
 10 at least, you know, in the media they weren't
 11 going to get into what internally may have
 12 happened.
 13 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 14 Q. And who made that comment, they'll stand by
 15 their lab and stand by their people?
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. I seem to remember it was either Ms. Bonnell
 18 or Mr. Tilley.
 19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Did anybody -
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. I don't remember Mr. Howell speaking at all
 23 during the meeting.
 24 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 25 Q. Did anybody challenge that?

Page 53

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. No, well, I mean -
 3 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 4 Q. From a patient's perspective?
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. Yeah. I mean, again, if--I mean, I guess the
 7 rest of us knew if the lawsuit was pending,
 8 and later on the meeting they get into their
 9 legal defence and all that, so I--you know, I
 10 don't know if it even occurred to us to
 11 challenge what we understood was going to be
 12 something ultimately settled with the Courts,
 13 because I mean, you know, they never told us
 14 that there was a clear--they never indicated,
 15 at least at the meeting, that they clearly
 16 understood what the problem was, and it was
 17 specific thing. It was more around general
 18 issues and probabilities was going to be the
 19 legal defence.
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. So regardless of what they feel they can go
 22 out and tell the broader public, because
 23 they're feeling restricted because of the
 24 lawsuit, and you're hearing that in the
 25 meeting, why aren't they able to tell the

Page 54

1 Department?
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. They should have been, and I don't know--I
 4 guess, I don't know if we never specifically
 5 asked or asked forcefully enough, but you
 6 would think they would have been able to
 7 provide confidential advice to the Minister to
 8 say, you know, here's what really happened.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. And the purpose of the meeting originally was
 11 for the Minister's question of what is the
 12 root cause to be addressed. That question
 13 still doesn't get answered at this meeting?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. No, because I think once we were told that the
 16 lawsuit was pending, it was going to be
 17 difficult to discuss causation, I think we
 18 moved off it as a topic at the meeting.
 19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. And the Minister is obviously aware that
 21 that's going to be a hot topic in the media as
 22 well, because on October 19th, he asked for an
 23 update on his briefing note, after receiving
 24 the article, CBC news report in which that
 25 question is being posed?

Page 55

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. Yeah, the class action, yeah.
 3 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 4 Q. And the Department knew that Eastern Health
 5 would be moving forward with the media
 6 technical briefing in December and not
 7 speaking to the issue of what caused the
 8 problem?
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. That was certainly my understanding, yes,
 11 ma'am.
 12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Was there anything else that Eastern Health
 14 indicated in that meeting they would be
 15 restricted in being able to speak of?
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. No, it was purely causation that they weren't
 18 going to do anything to jeopardize their legal
 19 defence or compromise their case, and that was
 20 based on their, you know, advice from their
 21 insurance company or lawyers.
 22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. You indicated that--or perhaps we'll just
 24 continue on then to help you with your
 25 recollection here. You have "December 10th

Page 56

1 for briefing, complex." What was being
 2 referred to there?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. That was just my note that that was the date
 5 they were tentatively looking at for the
 6 briefing, and again, it was going to be a
 7 complex matter. I believe what they were
 8 going to do was have, you know, a team of
 9 clinicians, oncologists, have a technical
 10 piece to explain the process of ER/PR and how
 11 the lab worked and how--you know, explain the
 12 very complex medical stuff, and then they were
 13 going to have, you know, Mr. Tilley or whoever
 14 to answer questions and actually lead the
 15 press conference, so just how--you know, how
 16 the press conference was going to unfold, I
 17 guess.
 18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. And then we have 109 changes and then--and I'm
 20 not sure if that was something different from
 21 your handwritten note, if the nine was
 22 actually a four or not, but "109 changes
 23 (recommendations on what to do) three percent,
 24 2800 cases, within margin of error." And so
 25 this document speaks to the three percent.

Page 57

1 These are your handwritten notes from the
 2 meeting. What was said around that? What
 3 does that mean?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. I remember Ms. Bonnell speaking to this point
 6 and basically saying that there was only 109
 7 significant changes which would mean
 8 recommendations on their treatment plan or
 9 course of treatment, I guess, and of course,
 10 109 of 2800 was approximately three percent.
 11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. And Ms. Bonnell said that?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. Yes.
 15 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 16 Q. And what was meant by "within margin of
 17 error"?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. I mean, I took it that it meant a very
 20 negligible change. I mean, in my view, I
 21 remember taking this as good news at the time,
 22 that it wasn't as bad as we had first thought,
 23 to be honest.
 24 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 25 Q. And who used those words, "within margin of

Page 58

1 error"?
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. Ms. Bonnell or Mr. Tilley when they were
 4 describing the information.
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. Now Mr. Hynes, did anyone challenge that
 7 calculation? For example, did you know that
 8 28 or think that 2800 cases had been retested?
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. Well, if you--you know, and again, you have to
 11 appreciate, I'm seeing this information for
 12 the first time, and I mean, if they're saying
 13 the rough numbers, there's 2800 cases and only
 14 100, and I guess they're looking at the--
 15 you're correct, it's probably a misspell on my
 16 behalf. It's the change in results and
 17 requires treatment change was 104. I mean,
 18 I'm writing down--I'm trying to follow the
 19 conversation and writing down what I'm being
 20 told.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. Okay.
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. But I mean, I don't remember, you know, doing
 25 the math in my head to figure out if that was

Page 59

1 the correct number, but the three percent was--
 2 -you know, it was told of 2800, 109, all that
 3 was factually told to me, so I recorded it.
 4 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 5 Q. Okay, and within the margin of error -
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. I just want to be clear on that point, that's
 8 not my analysis.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. That's not yours?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. No, that's not my three percent, in my own
 13 mind, because you know, that's what I was
 14 told, ma'am.
 15 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 16 Q. That's what you were being told, yes, and I'm
 17 just wondering if anyone asked any further
 18 questions around that or how the calculation
 19 of three percent had come to be.
 20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. It was purely just that the three percent was
 22 the 109 divided into 2800. You know, for 109
 23 people, it's the only ones this really
 24 mattered or affected severely.
 25 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

Page 60

1 Q. And by being given the three percent figure
 2 then, that was also said in the context of
 3 this is within the margin of error?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. Yes.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. So did you take that to mean that this was
 8 within an acceptable margin of error for ER/PR
 9 tests?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. Oh, clearly, that's how it was meant, that
 12 this was--you know, it was within the margin
 13 of error. It was within acceptable range,
 14 acceptable standards, and again that's why, to
 15 be honest, I took it to be--as a layperson, I
 16 took it to be good news.
 17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 18 Q. So no better or no worse than anywhere else?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. No, that's right. That's exactly right.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. Was there any discussion around the term "rate
 23 of error"?
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. I don't specifically recall. I mean, the

1 three percent, in my mind, was the rate of
 2 error. That's what they were--you know, the
 3 whole 109 changes, recommendations, the three
 4 percent, you know, this is our mistake, this
 5 is our rate of error, and it's within the
 6 margin of error. That's certainly what I
 7 recall being told and that's my recollection.
 8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 9 Q. And was there any indication given that they
 10 would not be speaking to the total number of
 11 patients who had had a change in their test
 12 results?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. No, because I mean, you know, if you look at
 15 the numbers, there were, you know,
 16 approximately 200 people that had a change,
 17 but it didn't impact them or there was no
 18 change in their course of treatment, but I
 19 mean, you know, we were always told that this
 20 was the information going forward as it was.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. And Mr. Hynes, is that what was said in the
 23 meeting that those other 200 people had not
 24 been impacted?
 25 MR. HYNES:

1 A. No. I mean, when I--in my own mind, I was
 2 doing some math, you know, towards the end of
 3 the meeting, thinking that's 213 that had a
 4 change. So 104, so you had--you know, there
 5 was more people--the total changes were more
 6 than what was presented as three percent,
 7 because that's how I--you know, when I looked
 8 at the number on the bottom that I circled,
 9 101 were retested and results received.
 10 Again, if they knew the results, then those
 11 numbers could have been broken down and put in
 12 the appropriate category, whether, you know,
 13 it was some change to their treatment plan or
 14 not, you know.
 15 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 16 Q. So in your mind, because you'd had a prior
 17 conversation with Dr. Laing, there were more
 18 people impacted, because she had told you that
 19 some of the deceased were likely impacted?
 20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. Well, that's why I remember making the note to
 22 Minister Osborne. What were the results?
 23 Because I knew that would be significant, and
 24 I knew the media would question that issue. I
 25 mean, I viewed that to be a paramount point in

1 A. That's certainly the conversation around the
 2 109, that this is where the three percent
 3 arrived from, you know, the recommendations on
 4 what to do for the people that are affected,
 5 and I mean these are people that had a
 6 dramatic change in their health event, that
 7 this is how they arrived at the three percent
 8 number.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. Okay, and if we could go back, please, for a
 11 moment to P-0125, page 42? Under change in
 12 results, but does not require treatment
 13 change, 213 people, and then they're broken
 14 down into a number of categories, including
 15 "no recommendation because they are previously
 16 treated with Tamoxifen or another aromatase
 17 inhibitor, 148. This group includes a group
 18 identified as being potentially impacted.
 19 Those not placed on Tamoxifen for their
 20 original disease but for subsequent metastatic
 21 disease (13)." Was there any discussion about
 22 those people, the people who were actually on
 23 Tamoxifen or a similar medication because of
 24 metastatic disease?
 25 MR. HYNES:

1 my mind. I mean, there was more information
 2 here that they had, or you know, they weren't
 3 going to explain.
 4 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 5 Q. And this issue of those who were still alive,
 6 but at this point in time did not require a
 7 change in treatment because their disease had
 8 spread and they were now being treated with
 9 those drugs, that wasn't the subject of
 10 discussion and how they may have been
 11 impacted?
 12 MR. HYNES:
 13 A. No. I think it was more that for 109 people,
 14 this was a dramatic, significant impact in
 15 their lives and that was the one, you know, we
 16 obviously focused on.
 17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Was there any discussion of a number 22 or 22
 19 people being greatly impacted?
 20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. No.
 22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Okay, and was there any discussion at all
 24 about what was contained in the August 18th
 25 briefing note?

Page 65

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. No, because I mean, I never saw the August
 3 18th briefing note and it was never raised at
 4 that table at that meeting.
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. It wasn't raised at this meeting?
 7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. No.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. By anyone in attendance?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. No.
 13 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Eastern Health, Mr. Abbott, nobody raised what
 15 had come forward in August?
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. No.
 18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. So Mr. Hynes, what information was it that you
 20 understood, leaving that meeting, that Eastern
 21 Health would not be discussing?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. Causation, that would--anything to do that
 24 would jeopardize their legal defence, and
 25 again, it's noted here in my notes, but

Page 66

1 anything that would jeopardize their legal
 2 defence, causation, because again there was
 3 the talk of "we're going to stand behind the
 4 lab, stand behind our people, and that will be
 5 our defence." I mean, that's what they would
 6 not talk about. Because again, when we
 7 challenged on numbers, we were never told
 8 what--you know, "don't worry about that,
 9 Darrell, because we're not going to release
 10 that part" or "we're not going to, you know,
 11 go forward with that piece."
 12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Okay.
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. What I was reading, I understood, was going to
 16 be released to the public in two or three
 17 weeks time.
 18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. And what about the number of the deceased with
 20 changed results, was that going to be
 21 released?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. That's my understanding.
 24 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 25 Q. That would be released?

Page 67

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. Yeah, because again, that's why I pressed "you
 3 should be able to"--you know, try to explain
 4 it or have some explanation on what the
 5 results were.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. And if we could go back, please, then to your
 8 notes and finish up with the notes at 1628?
 9 We continue on with "now pathologists
 10 centralized who will review them, working on
 11 accreditation." What did you understand that
 12 to be referring to?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. That this was a new development, and that's
 15 why I think the "now" is capitalized that
 16 pathologists are centralized. I mean, I think
 17 I understood that to mean that there would be
 18 less people, less different individuals
 19 reviewing the slides and that would mean more
 20 consistency in testing, and that the lab was
 21 working on national accreditation, which they
 22 viewed as a significant, you know, quality
 23 improvement, I guess.
 24 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 25 Q. And those were new measures, I take it?

Page 68

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. Yes, yeah.
 3 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 4 Q. And "issues due to turnover of staff?" and
 5 you've got a question mark, and then a sub-
 6 bullet, "lack of specialists?" with a question
 7 mark. What was that referencing?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. There was discussion around the table about,
 10 you know, over the years, the various issues
 11 the lab had had with turnover of staff and
 12 recruitment/retention issues, how much of a
 13 variable that could have been in the process,
 14 how much it could have been impact, I guess.
 15 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 16 Q. And in terms of lack of specialists, was it
 17 lack of specialists in subspecialties, such as
 18 breast pathology? Lack of specialists in IHC
 19 testing? What types of specialists were
 20 lacking?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. Just I took to be very macro, just lack of
 23 pathologists in general, not--I don't remember
 24 any discussion about subspecialties or broken
 25 down in any more definitive way.

Page 69

1 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

2 Q. And the turnover of staff was referencing the

3 medical staff?

4 MR. HYNES:

5 A. Yeah, and I guess, you know, there could be

6 clinicians, support staff perhaps that were

7 working in the lab as well.

8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

9 Q. And what was being done to address those

10 issues?

11 MR. HYNES:

12 A. I think, you know, they were trying to work to

13 stabilize the situation. I'm not entirely

14 sure, but I believe by this time the

15 Government had agreed to give pathologists a

16 stipend. I may stand to be corrected on that,

17 but a stipend to their salary to help, you

18 know, with recruitment/retention and so that

19 may have been in play by that time as well.

20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

21 Q. And then it says "legal defence: will be

22 probability of tests. Ches Crosbie will

23 argue, no paperwork to confirm." "The legal

24 defence will be probability of tests," what

25 did that mean?

Page 70

1 MR. HYNES:

2 A. When Eastern Health explained what they were

3 going to go forward with, I guess, the

4 crucible of their defence for Court would be

5 that the system was unreliable and because it

6 was multi-stepped, you know, and again I'm a

7 layperson to try to explain it, but it was 40

8 plus steps, a lot of manual manipulation, that

9 the test, there was a certain amount of

10 inherent error in it, and this whole

11 probability of how many are correct all the

12 time and how many are error, that would be

13 their legal defence.

14 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

15 Q. And ultimately they're within the margin of

16 error at three percent?

17 MR. HYNES:

18 A. And that would be their argument, that you

19 know, based on, I guess, whatever national

20 research they had done or looked at other labs

21 that the amount of error they had was within

22 an acceptable range, so it was okay.

23 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

24 Q. So Eastern Health, in this meeting, had no

25 difficulty talking to the Department and

Page 71

1 disclosing to the Department their strategy on

2 defending the class action and that the

3 defence would concentrate on the probability

4 of the tests and that the errors were within

5 an acceptable range of error?

6 MR. HYNES:

7 A. Well, and I think this may have been because--

8 the previous two points may have been the

9 Minister or someone raising, "look, you know,

10 is this because of staff and specialists, and

11 you know, how are you going to go forward?"

12 And I mean, you know, the probability of the

13 tests, that they were within an acceptable

14 margin of error would be what they would put

15 forward, and ultimately, we were told the

16 courts are going to have to decide.

17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

18 Q. And in being told this information as to what

19 the legal defence would be, the Department

20 wasn't told what the external reviewers had

21 found?

22 MR. HYNES:

23 A. No, at least at this meeting.

24 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

25 Q. To your knowledge, were they told at any

Page 72

1 meeting?

2 MR. HYNES:

3 A. Not that I'm aware of.

4 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

5 Q. And you think you would be aware?

6 MR. HYNES:

7 A. I think I'd remember.

8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

9 Q. And then the next bullet says "of 176

10 deceased, Eastern have no idea of results,

11 only reviewed at request of family." So this

12 is saying "of the 176 deceased, Eastern have

13 no idea of results." What were you referring

14 to there?

15 MR. HYNES:

16 A. That's perhaps not entirely correct, because

17 the 176--on the note that was passed out, the

18 176 is the top number on the very bottom, but

19 of course, you know, the second bullet was

20 "101 were retested and results received." So

21 Eastern Health did, in fact, know, but again,

22 it's still that they were only going to be

23 released, I guess, to the family at the family

24 request.

25 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

Page 73

1 Q. Was it that they had not--even though the
 2 results had been received, perhaps they had
 3 not been reviewed?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. 101?
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Yes.
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. Yeah, I'm not clear if the board that they had
 10 established had reviewed those. I mean, like
 11 you said, you make a good point. Just because
 12 they're sent away and received back doesn't
 13 mean that they've been, I guess, processed or
 14 assessed by the board that they had
 15 established.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Analyzed by Eastern Health once they were
 18 received back.
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. Yeah.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. And then is says "media issue". What's that
 23 in relation to?
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. Just that, I guess, there was recognition that

Page 74

1 because this had been such a long issue, such
 2 a big issue for health care and patients and
 3 their families, that there was going to be
 4 significant media interest in the issue,
 5 perhaps even nationally, you know, that this
 6 had gone on for a long time and there was
 7 going to be significant issue, media interest.
 8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 9 Q. And then it says "1.5 year wait - delays at
 10 Mount Sinai." What was that referencing?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. I guess there was--you know, our recognition
 13 and frustration, I guess, that it had been a
 14 1.5 year turnaround by the time the first
 15 samples, I think, were sent to Mount Sinai, by
 16 the time they all got done, and that was going
 17 to be attributed to delays at Mount Sinai in
 18 getting stuff turned around and returned to
 19 the province.
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. So the year and a half wait is being
 22 attributed to delays at Mount Sinai?
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. That's correct.
 25 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

Page 75

1 Q. Is there any discussion of any delays within
 2 Eastern Health or the other health
 3 authorities?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. No, just Mount Sinai.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Is there any indication given as to whether
 8 there was any difficulty in receiving the
 9 samples in from the other health authorities?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. Not at this meeting, no.
 12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Was that ever discussed with the Department?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. I remember in one meeting in the fall of '05
 16 perhaps that it was raised that, I believe
 17 maybe Dr. Cook at the time said that he was
 18 calling his colleagues around the province to
 19 get some idea about what numbers they had or
 20 what they may have had to get some retesting
 21 done and begin that process.
 22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Was there any indication that any of the
 24 delays may have been due to once the results
 25 were received from Mount Sinai, they then had

Page 76

1 to go through a panelling process at Eastern
 2 Health?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. I'm sorry, I missed -
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. Was there any indication or discussion around
 7 any further delay due to once the results were
 8 received back from Mount Sinai, they then had
 9 to go through a panelling process at Eastern
 10 Health?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. I remember making that one connection once
 13 myself because I remember reading a briefing
 14 note or information and it seemed like they
 15 got stuff back in January and the panel took
 16 June, July or whatever, too two or three
 17 months to review it, and I thought that was an
 18 extraordinary amount of time, given the
 19 circumstances.
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. And given that it's already January?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. Well, and whatever it was, you know, it was
 24 time to get on with it and -
 25 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

Page 77

1 Q. Yes.
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. But now, I don't know internally what may have
 4 caused that delay, so I just remember noting
 5 it in my mind.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Your next note here is "John Abbott". And I
 8 take it these are comments then attributed to
 9 Mr. Abbott, is that correct?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. Yes, two comments to Mr. Abbott.
 12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 13 Q. "How to position issues?" What was that
 14 referencing?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. I can honestly say I'm not sure what he meant
 17 by that and I have no general recollection or
 18 definitive about what he may have been saying.
 19 I imagine it may have been something that, you
 20 know, Eastern Health are going to go out and
 21 make this announcement and release this
 22 information, we may have to be ready for the
 23 minister to have some role or to be able to
 24 respond based on what they release, that it
 25 may have been some discussion like that. But

Page 78

1 unfortunately, ma'am, I can't -
 2 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 3 Q. Well, Mr. Hynes, what does it mean to position
 4 an issue?
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. I guess be able to explain and be prepared to
 7 explain.
 8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Does it mean to be able to not just explain
 10 it, but put it forward in a certain light?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. No. I mean, I think if you're going to
 13 position it, you've got to be able to, you
 14 know, define it and articulate clearly what
 15 your position is on it. Obviously some
 16 positions, some issues are positive and some
 17 are, you know, negative.
 18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. So the word "position" to you simply means
 20 explain?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. I mean, again, I'm not--I made that note and
 23 Mr. Abbott said it. If he was thinking about
 24 positioning of the minister or positioning of
 25 Eastern Health on this issue vis-a-vis, I

Page 79

1 mean, how we would couch the issue, so to
 2 speak, I'm not sure.
 3 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 4 Q. Was there -
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. But you could certainly, you could certainly
 7 make that reference.
 8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Was there any discussion within the department
 10 on that?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. No.
 13 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 14 Q. So was there any concern expressed within the
 15 department as to what the fallout of this
 16 media briefing might be?
 17 MR. HYNES:
 18 A. Well, I mean, I can only say after the media
 19 briefing on December, you know, 11 or 12th,
 20 the only other recollection I have is me and
 21 Ms. Mundon and Minister Osborne in his office
 22 and I remember were collectively surprised by
 23 the lack of media interest in this story,
 24 because I thought it would be much more
 25 significant and in depth, I guess.

Page 80

1 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 2 Q. Okay. And I'll take you to that in a moment.
 3 The last note you have here on your list is
 4 "Peter Dawe to be debriefed closer to actual
 5 launch." What did you understand was going to
 6 happen there?
 7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. I think because Eastern Health had been
 9 keeping Mr. Dawe in the loop and had periodic
 10 sessions with him over the past year and a
 11 half that now that they were ready to go
 12 forward with the information and the full set
 13 of numbers, that they were perhaps as a
 14 courtesy and to make sure, you know, the
 15 Canadian Cancer Society as a key stakeholder
 16 was given the heads up ahead of time. Because
 17 undoubtedly when this information was released
 18 to the public, the first person who the media
 19 would go to would be Mr. Dawe and the Canadian
 20 Cancer Society for their views and interest on
 21 what, you know, what it all meant and their
 22 reaction, that kind of thing.
 23 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 24 Q. And was that Mr. Abbott's idea, that Peter
 25 Dawe be debriefed before the actual launch?

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. I think so, because I remember another point
 3 in the meeting there was some resistance to
 4 doing it.
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. And who was resisting?
 7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. Eastern Health.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. Any particular individuals?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. It was either Mr. Tilley or Ms. Bonnell. And
 13 again, I think the--I got the sense, anyway,
 14 and this is my perception, but I got the sense
 15 that the relationship between Eastern Health
 16 and the Canadian Cancer Society had soured
 17 and, you know, they weren't exactly excited by
 18 having to give him a briefing.
 19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Okay. And what made you form that impression,
 21 that their relationship had soured?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. I think this whole issue of a briefing was
 24 raised earlier in the meeting and I remember
 25 there was some comment or some eye roll or

1 Q. At any point -
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. Or, no, I apologize, Ms. Chaytor, my
 4 recollection would be I believe he was to be
 5 told that if he wanted a briefing, he could
 6 come to the technical briefing like everyone
 7 else and that would be the position of Eastern
 8 Health, that's what I remember.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. And was that the position at the end of this
 11 meeting or did you--when the meeting ended,
 12 you understood he was still going to be given
 13 -
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. No, I still think at the end of this meeting
 16 they were still going to go forward. But now,
 17 it very well could have been that when Eastern
 18 Health were packing and leaving the room, they
 19 may have said, look, we don't think this is a
 20 good idea, we're just going to bring him in to
 21 the main meeting with everyone else and he can
 22 get the information from there.
 23 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 24 Q. And was it their concern that he may go out
 25 with the information prematurely and not allow

1 something that I picked up on that they
 2 weren't--you know, I think there had been some
 3 issue with a briefing or some information had
 4 been given to Mr. Dawe at some point
 5 previously that he had, you know, either gone
 6 out with or something that clearly Eastern
 7 Health were not, not excited about having to
 8 deal with him again. And again, now, you
 9 know, that's my perception of, you know,
 10 reactions at the meeting and what I recall.
 11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. Okay. And do you know whether or not in this
 13 instance Mr. Dawe got his pre-briefing?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. I know we wanted it to happen, but I'm not
 16 entirely sure it did.
 17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 18 Q. And so did anyone inform you or the minister
 19 that, in fact, Mr. Dawe had not been given
 20 that pre-briefing?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. I believe I remember hearing after that it was
 23 attributed to a scheduling conflict or
 24 something.
 25 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

1 them an opportunity to go out with their own
 2 technical briefing, was that the concern?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. No, I don't remember any explanation about why
 5 they were having these reservations. I just
 6 remember, I mean, getting a clear impression
 7 that, you know, there was some, I don't know
 8 if it was mistrust or dislike, but there was
 9 just, you know, they weren't, they weren't
 10 prepared to--they weren't excited about John
 11 Abbott's suggestion to do it.
 12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Okay. At any point prior, then, to the
 14 December 11th, 2006 briefing did you learn
 15 that all of the numbers given to the
 16 department on November 23rd would not be
 17 released?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. No.
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. After the meeting were there any discussions,
 22 the November 23rd meeting, were there any
 23 discussions in the department around the
 24 issue, did you all get together then and
 25 caucus about what had happened?

Page 85

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. No, because I remember the minister had to go
 3 back into the house for a meeting, or sorry,
 4 for a vote, I apologize, in the House of
 5 Assembly, and the Eastern Health officials
 6 left and, excuse me, I believe myself and Ms.
 7 Mundon and Mr. Abbott just walked back to the
 8 office and went on with the rest of our--with
 9 our day. I don't remember ever having a
 10 debrief or anything like that, no.

11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. And did you contact anyone else within
 13 government and share the information and the
 14 update?

15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. No, I believe again I'd seen the numbers for
 17 the first time. I believe I may have went
 18 back to my office and just sat down and looked
 19 at them again, because again, I hadn't seen
 20 the August notes, so this was all fairly new
 21 to me.

22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. When you went back to your office and sat down
 24 and looked at the note and looked at the
 25 numbers, did you do any other calculations

Page 86

1 with the numbers?
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. No, just the one I referenced earlier that, I
 4 mean, you know, you could look at--even though
 5 there was no required treatment change, there
 6 was still, you know, 213, plus 104 that
 7 Eastern Health said it really affected, so
 8 that was two hundred and, you know, seventeen,
 9 plus you had another 176 that it was -

10 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 11 Q. No, 317.

12 MR. HYNES:
 13 A. Sorry, I apologize. That there was another
 14 176 people on the bottom that it's unclear if
 15 it--you know, what their status may have been.
 16 So in my mind, you know, the overall rate of
 17 change could have been--well, it was
 18 significantly higher.

19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. And the total results obtained and reviewed,
 21 according to this document at this point in
 22 time was 763, not 2800, it's 763 results
 23 obtained and reviewed?

24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. Um-hm.

Page 87

1 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 2 Q. Did that catch your eye at the time?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. No.

5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. So it didn't occur to you at that point in
 7 time, well, results were obtained and
 8 reviewed, 763, and the bit of mental math that
 9 you did do, 317, you didn't do anything with
 10 those numbers?

11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. No, because, I mean, they were, you know, what
 13 I took away from the meeting was it was only
 14 109 changes, and that's a typo, but 100 plus
 15 changes out of 2800, so the margin of error
 16 was only three percent, which was within an
 17 acceptable range. That's what I took away.

18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Even though all the 2800 were not retested?

20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. No. You know, I guess I -

22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. 939 retested, 763 results obtained and
 24 reviewed?

25 MR. HYNES:

Page 88

1 A. No, Ms. Chaytor, I wrote down what I was told
 2 and I guess that's what I came away to
 3 believe.

4 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 5 Q. Okay. And I take it the department didn't ask
 6 anyone else with any expertise in this area to
 7 have a look at these numbers and provide any
 8 feedback?

9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. No, not that I'm aware of.

11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. In the next two weeks, then, coming up to the
 13 technical briefing on the 11th is there any
 14 further discussion in the department around
 15 this issue?

16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. Not that I was party to or have any
 18 recollection of.

19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Okay. And on December 4th, we've heard from
 21 others, there was a meeting between Mr.
 22 Abbott, Mr. Tilley, Ms. Mundon and Ms.
 23 Bonnell. Were you aware that that meeting had
 24 taken place?

25 MR. HYNES:

Page 89

1 A. I was aware, yes.

2 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

3 Q. Okay. And what did you hear about that

4 meeting, what was the purpose of the meeting

5 and what was told to you about the outcome of

6 the meeting?

7 MR. HYNES:

8 A. I think that was the meeting to address

9 communication protocols between Eastern Health

10 and the department.

11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

12 Q. And what was the issue, what needed to be

13 discussed around communication protocols?

14 MR. HYNES:

15 A. That I guess Eastern Health were not always

16 giving the department a heads up or

17 information about potential issues at Eastern

18 Health that, you know, could have, I guess,

19 larger, larger political or Pan-Provincial

20 consequences.

21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

22 Q. And we understand from the e-mail exchanges

23 that happened that was concerning ER/PR. Did

24 you understand that one of the communications

25 issues, at least, was around the issue of ER

Page 90

1 and PR?

2 MR. HYNES:

3 A. I don't remember that being a specific topic,

4 but again, I think I testified yesterday that

5 there was recurring issues and if, you know,

6 if there was another issue that Ms. Mundon

7 felt here we go again. And again, you know,

8 my only recollection was I knew it took place

9 and I, you know, remember feeling that it was

10 significant that the deputy minister would

11 meet with the CEO over, you know, a kind of a

12 line department between us and Eastern Health.

13 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

14 Q. Okay. And in terms of that, who did you

15 understand had requested the meeting or was

16 this brought forth because of Ms. Mundon's

17 concerns?

18 MR. HYNES:

19 A. That was my understanding, yeah, that's my

20 recollection.

21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

22 Q. And did Ms. Mundon talk to you about that and

23 what her concerns were?

24 MR. HYNES:

25 A. Just that she viewed over a period of time

Page 91

1 that Eastern Health were not exactly

2 forthcoming with information and giving an

3 appropriate heads up to the department and the

4 minister's office on issues that they were

5 about to release or decisions that they were

6 about to make.

7 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

8 Q. And did Ms. Mundon express to you any sense of

9 conflict between what she was being asked to

10 get in terms of information from the minister

11 or others in the department and what was

12 forthcoming from her contact at Eastern

13 Health, being Ms. Bonnell?

14 MR. HYNES:

15 A. I don't remember that level of detail. I

16 remember just, I mean, general frustration

17 with getting information and getting, you

18 know, information is one piece, and then a

19 heads up and appropriate notifications when

20 issues were about to go public or Eastern

21 Health were doing various things in the media

22 or other public announcements, even.

23 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

24 Q. And to your knowledge what role was Ms. Mundon

25 asked to play in eliciting information from

Page 92

1 Eastern Health regarding the ER/PR issue?

2 MR. HYNES:

3 A. Do you mean in a specific case or in a

4 general?

5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

6 Q. Throughout the whole piece, was she asked to

7 get just information around communications and

8 what Eastern Health may or may not be going to

9 communicate on the issue or was Ms. Mundon

10 being asked to obtain more information than

11 that?

12 MR. HYNES:

13 A. I'm not--you know, that would be outside my

14 role. I mean, she may very well have been

15 assisting with the preparation of briefing

16 notes, so if she had to go to her colleagues at

17 Eastern Health to get information in the

18 preparation of a briefing note for the house

19 or the minister, that would be part of perhaps

20 her role in assisting Ms. Hennessey and the

21 deputy in preparing that information.

22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

23 Q. And to your knowledge did Minister Osborne

24 ever ask Ms. Mundon to get information around

25 the issue and to go through the channel of Ms.

Page 93

1 Mundon through Ms. Bonnell to get information
 2 on the issue?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. He very well may have, but I'm not--I wasn't
 5 party to that discussion.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Do you know whether in the meeting of December
 8 4th, 2006 the issue of ER/PR was further
 9 discussed and what might happen at the
 10 upcoming technical briefing?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. No.
 13 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 14 Q. So nobody relayed any discussion around that
 15 to you afterwards?
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. No, I -
 18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. If such a discussion, in fact, took place, it
 20 wasn't told to you?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. No. I mean, I knew they were planning to meet
 23 and I had some idea what it was about, but I
 24 don't remember ever being told the outcomes or
 25 how it went or anything like that.

Page 94

1 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 2 Q. Okay. So did Ms. Mundon come back to you and
 3 speak to you at all about the meeting at any
 4 point in time to say whether or not her
 5 concerns had been alleviated?
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. No, I don't remember ever having that
 8 conversation with her.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. So no feedback at all on that?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. No, no.
 13 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Okay. And was there any sense within the
 15 department after that meeting that things had
 16 changed in terms of the communications with
 17 Eastern Health?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. How do you mean changed?
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Well, if there's a concern that Eastern Health
 22 may not have been forthcoming on certain
 23 things going out into the public, after that
 24 did that meeting appear to improve that
 25 situation?

Page 95

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. I have no--not directly involved in that, so I
 3 wouldn't have any ability to measure. I could
 4 only rely on what I was told.
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. Okay. So you don't recall hearing any further
 7 complaints after that point?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. No, but, you know, I left the department in
 10 January of '07, so.
 11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. If we could look at, please, P-0196? And it's
 13 page 3 of that exhibit, please? This is an e-
 14 mail from Ms. Mundon to yourself, amongst
 15 others, including the minister. And it's
 16 December 11th, 2006 at 10:36 in the morning.
 17 And "Materials for ER/PR briefing". This is
 18 the morning of the technical briefing. And
 19 she writes, "As promised, please see attached.
 20 Minister, I have printed off a copy for you."
 21 And this was Ms. Mundon forwarding to you and
 22 the others what had been forwarded to her by
 23 Eastern Health. And we understand this
 24 included slide presentation, copies of the
 25 slides, as well as Q and A document, news

Page 96

1 release, amongst other thing. Do you remember
 2 getting that on December 11th?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. Yes.
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. Okay. And what did you do when you received
 7 this?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. I remember printing it off. I don't know if I
 10 did that immediately or not, but I remember
 11 printing it off and it was a fairly lengthy
 12 package of materials, and I remember putting
 13 it in an ER/PR file I had.
 14 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Did you read it?
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. No.
 18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 19 Q. And why not?
 20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. I was busy, I believe, at that time, with
 22 other things and I just put it in a file with
 23 the anticipation of reading it later in the
 24 day, maybe.
 25 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

Page 97

1 Q. Okay. At any point did you go back and read
 2 it?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. No.
 5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 6 Q. So to this day you've never read it?
 7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. I've read it after.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. Okay. When did you read it?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. Back when I was asked--I guess when I was
 13 scheduled to go meet with you and Mr. Coffey
 14 in April, I got the information out and -
 15 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 16 Q. Okay. And having read it, did anything in the
 17 material stand out in terms of what Eastern
 18 Health had indicated on November 23rd would be
 19 going forward at the technical briefing, how
 20 did that compare to what you read in the
 21 documents that were forwarded on December
 22 11th?
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. Well, there was no, there was no numbers. I
 25 mean, you know, there was some wishy washy

Page 98

1 answer about we're not going to get into a
 2 numbers game or something to that effect, but
 3 it was, you know, they didn't get into numbers
 4 of patients that--you know, they never
 5 released this information as we expected.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. And, Mr. Hynes, if you had read that document
 8 back on December 11th, would that have stood
 9 out to you?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. Yes, because I would have realized the change.
 12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 13 Q. And what would you have done about it?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. I would have went to the minister immediately.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. For what purpose?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. I would have give him strong advice that this
 20 is not what we were told three weeks ago and I
 21 got concerns that the water on the beams has
 22 changed and this is not what we, this is not
 23 what we thought.
 24 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

Page 99

1 Q. Within the department after either after
 2 receiving this documentation on December 11th,
 3 did anyone raise that concern with the
 4 minister?
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. No, not that I'm aware of. They never raised
 7 it with me and he never raised it with me.
 8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Do you have any reason to think anyone raised
 10 it with him?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. I don't think they did because if they did,
 13 I'm sure he would have said, Darrell, did you
 14 see this and what, you know, what's going on.
 15 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 16 Q. Okay. If we could have, please, P-0104? And
 17 this is the documentation, Mr. Hynes. So it
 18 has a chronology, then it has an embargo news
 19 release. We're told those are key messages
 20 and then the PowerPoint. And here's the Q and
 21 A document. And I believe what you may have
 22 been referring to is question nine in this
 23 document. "How many people converted?" "What
 24 is the rate of error? How many people
 25 converted?" And there is reference in here to

Page 100

1 a "numbers game," in quotation marks. "The
 2 numbers of individual conversions are not
 3 relevant and turn the process into a numbers
 4 game. What is relevant is the number of
 5 people whose care may change as a result of
 6 the process, and that was 117." And the
 7 numbers in the news release, the numbers
 8 referred to are the 2760 total tests, 939 of
 9 those originally negative and 117 requiring
 10 treatment change. So those are -
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. Which were the ones, I guess, they focused on
 13 when they met with us in November.
 14 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 15 Q. But in having focused on those numbers in
 16 November, you didn't understand that would
 17 also be the focus of the media technical
 18 briefing?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. No, I mean, I thought all the information
 21 would be released.
 22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Okay.
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. Including the, how the, you know, the three

Page 101

1 percent of 2800 is the rate of error.
 2 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 3 Q. You thought that would happen, they would be
 4 saying that three percent was the rate of
 5 error?
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. Absolutely.
 8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 9 Q. And on December 11th we know that the briefing
 10 went ahead. I take it you didn't attend?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. No.
 13 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 14 Q. And nobody from the department attended?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. Not that I'm aware, no.
 17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Did you receive any feedback on how it went,
 19 did you hear anything in the department after
 20 that day? You said that there was some
 21 discussion between yourself and the minister
 22 and Ms. Mundon, that you were surprised by the
 23 limited amount of media coverage, is that
 24 correct?
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 102

1 A. Yeah. That's, honestly, that's my only
 2 recollection, me and Ms. Mundon and the
 3 minister having a brief discussion in his
 4 office and we were on our way to a meeting and
 5 it was just, you know, I think we were
 6 collectively surprised that there hadn't been
 7 more media interest in the story based on, you
 8 know, the numbers as we understood were going
 9 to be released.
 10 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 11 Q. So I take it you were aware of the media
 12 coverage that followed?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. You know, I mean, I guess, well, I was aware
 15 that there was very little of it.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Did you read the media coverage?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. No, not -
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Or listen to it. You listen to the news as
 22 part of your job?
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. Yeah. Well, you know, sometimes you get a
 25 chance and sometimes you don't. I mean, I may

Page 103

1 have saw the media clippings for that day
 2 because there's a staff in the department that
 3 would prepare media clippings. And if I
 4 thumbed through them and there was only one or
 5 two passing references to the issue, I mean, I
 6 would have expected something like this would
 7 have been on the front page of the Telegram
 8 with this, with the November 23rd sheet front
 9 page, here are the numbers, after a year and a
 10 half, here they are and, you know.
 11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. So you were surprised by how little media
 13 coverage there was?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. Right.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. So there's not a lot of media coverage. Did
 18 you pay attention then to the little coverage
 19 that there was?
 20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. Oh I'm sure I probably did, I mean -
 22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. And did it occur to you then or was it--did it
 24 come to your attention that not all the
 25 numbers had been released?

Page 104

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. No, I don't remember making that linkage.
 3 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 4 Q. And if the media in fact were reporting that,
 5 that Eastern Health were refusing to say, not
 6 only what went wrong, but how many people had
 7 changed results, that didn't come to your
 8 attention?
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. No, I don't remember making that connection.
 11 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 12 Q. Was there any discussion in the department
 13 around that?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. There was none to my knowledge.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. If we could look, please, at P-1455? And this
 18 is a story which followed the media briefing,
 19 it's a CBC story, "117 Newfoundland and
 20 Labrador cancer patients received the latest
 21 hormone treatment, Monday, December 11th,
 22 2006." And this appears to come from their
 23 website at 5:33 p.m. And it refers to "more
 24 than 100 patients in Newfoundland and Labrador
 25 failed to receive their hormone treatment for

Page 105

1 breast cancer because of a screening problem,
 2 health officials said Monday." And you'll see
 3 this news story, Mr. Hynes, was forwarded to
 4 you from Ms. Mundon and was also forwarded to
 5 Mr. Abbott and Ms. Hennessey and the Minister.
 6 And if we come down towards the bottom,
 7 there's a couple of quotes from Mr. Dawe.
 8 "Because of a potential lawsuit, provincial
 9 health officials refuse to explain if the
 10 discrepancy resulted from human error or from
 11 new methods of interpreting test results." So
 12 you understood they weren't going to speak to
 13 that, that would be a causation issue.
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. That's correct.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. So I take it that wouldn't have surprised you.
 18 "Officials also would not say if any patients
 19 who were mistakenly denied hormone treatment
 20 had died or were needlessly given mastectomies
 21 when they could have been treated with drugs."
 22 And Peter Dawe is quoted as saying "Not
 23 receiving this treatment could very well mean
 24 a life and death issue for people going
 25 through the process. The lack of disclosure

Page 106

1 raises questions, said Dawe, about what the
 2 problem is and how it can be fixed. Health
 3 officials in Newfoundland and Labrador hope to
 4 resume their own hormone testing in the near
 5 future." And you reply back to Ms. Mundon
 6 later that evening, so I take it you've read
 7 the story, you say, "I hate to say it, but
 8 Peter has a point." First of all, what point
 9 was it that Mr. Dawe was making?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. I think that not receiving a treatment, you
 12 know, could have had life and death issue for
 13 these people, because again, I still think
 14 back to what Dr. Laing told myself and the
 15 Minister and I think that still resonates with
 16 me.
 17 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 18 Q. And what about his point that "the lack of
 19 disclosure raises questions about what the
 20 problem is and how it can be fixed". Was that
 21 also noteworthy?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. I mean, I'm sure I read it, obviously, but to
 24 me, you know, I guess that would have been
 25 tangled up in the whole litigation issue that

Page 107

1 they could not discuss, so I don't know if
 2 that would have been a new point that I would
 3 have agreed with.
 4 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 5 Q. And the only numbers referenced in this story
 6 are the 900 patients and 117 patients who have
 7 been denied treatment and no other reference
 8 to any other numbers.
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. No, but unfortunately, I, you know, if the
 11 media only picked one, I mean the media might
 12 rightly have just said, well how many people
 13 in this issue are deeply affected and 117
 14 number may have been the story for the media,
 15 you know, like there's no numbers here were
 16 talked about changed rates, or anything like
 17 that, so that's why it probably wouldn't have
 18 tweaked my interest any more than that.
 19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Okay. Mr. Hynes, why would you hate to say
 21 that Mr. Dawe has a point?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. I'm not sure I understand your question.
 24 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 25 Q. You say, "I hate to say it, but Peter has a

Page 108

1 point". Why would you be reluctant to give
 2 any credit to Mr. Dawe for raising a point?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. I don't know what I may have meant, I mean, we
 5 had a good relationship with Mr. Dawe and the
 6 ministers I worked for, sometimes we agreed to
 7 disagree, but on this point, I mean, I agreed
 8 with him.
 9 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 10 Q. But why would you be reluctant to acknowledge
 11 that?
 12 MR. HYNES:
 13 A. Perhaps in a prior meeting we agreed to
 14 disagree on something else, I mean, I had a
 15 number of meetings with Mr. Dawe and Dr. West
 16 who is chair of the board, with both ministers
 17 over the years and in my mind it may have been
 18 reflected on something else that before we
 19 agreed to disagree on and this is one where he
 20 called it right.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. And the attitude that you sense that Eastern
 23 Health had towards Mr. Dawe, did you share
 24 that attitude?
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 109

1 A. No.
 2 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 3 Q. Do you know whether or not it was shared by
 4 others in the department?
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. No, not that it was ever expressed to me
 7 because I can still remember, I believe the
 8 first time John Ottenheimer met with Mr. Dawe,
 9 during the meeting Mr. Dawe kept referring to
 10 him as John, and I thought that was very
 11 unusual because I would always call him
 12 Minister and the Deputy would and other
 13 officials in the department and it just seemed
 14 like a very warm cordial relationship, so I
 15 mean, that kind of personalness was unusual in
 16 my circles because it was always, you're the
 17 Minister and you know, you didn't deviate from
 18 that, but Mr Dawe and him were quite
 19 comfortable and seemed to have that good
 20 personal rapport, in my view.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. If we could have, please, P-0196, page 16?
 23 Okay? This is an e-mail here which was
 24 forwarded to you by Ms. Mundon and again, it's
 25 in the aftermath of the technical briefing on

Page 110

1 December 11th and this is sent from Ms. Mundon
 2 to yourself, Mr Abbott, Ms. Hennessey and
 3 Minister Osborne, and the subject is a night
 4 line caller ER/PR, 8:24 p.m. that evening.
 5 It's December 11th, so it's the evening of the
 6 briefing. "Minnie is a breast cancer patient,
 7 in fact, she is one of the 117 patients whose
 8 tests were conducted incorrectly. To find out
 9 last February that she was tested for positive
 10 receptors and realized she should have been on
 11 a drug for the past 8 years was very hard to
 12 hear. She's not getting any answers from the
 13 doctors. Minnie does not even know how her
 14 chemo helped her. The problem that she has
 15 with the health care system is that the
 16 doctors do not know why the problem occurred.
 17 How can they correct something when they
 18 cannot pinpoint the problem, questions Minnie.
 19 Something went awfully wrong if for several
 20 years people were being treated incorrectly
 21 for the breast cancer. Minnie says she may
 22 have to enter the hospital again for her
 23 breast cancer and how can she be certain that
 24 things will be dealt with in a correct and
 25 adequate manner." And the Minister comes back

Page 111

1 to Ms. Mundon and says "We need to be ready
 2 for this as well." So I take it, Mr. Hynes,
 3 at this point in time Minnie is also concerned
 4 about well what happened and if they can't
 5 tell me what happened, how can I have any
 6 comfort that they fixed it? The news report
 7 from CBC that I showed to you, Mr. Dawe is
 8 asking the same question, what happened? What
 9 was done in the department after receiving
 10 this, Minister Osborne says we need to be
 11 ready for this as well. What was done to be
 12 able to support Mr. Osborne and give him the
 13 necessary information he would need to answer
 14 that question?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. I would suspect Ms. Mundon would have talked
 17 to the Deputy because this is certainly the
 18 direction I read from the Minister's e-mail,
 19 but she would have talked to the Deputy or
 20 Assistant Deputy Minister to get an up-to-date
 21 note, but ultimately I guess the answer at
 22 this point would have to be that because this
 23 matter was before litigation and before the
 24 courts, that we could not comment on what had
 25 happened. We could not provide that answer.

Page 112

1 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 2 Q. So the plan was, Minister Osborne is going to
 3 go into the House the next day, December 12th,
 4 without that answer because of the pending
 5 litigation?
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. That would be my understanding.
 8 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 9 Q. So four months before when Minister Osborne
 10 was asking what is the root cause, the answer
 11 given when the briefing of the Minister took
 12 place on November 23rd was that Eastern Health
 13 is not going to comment on that because of the
 14 pending litigation. So on December 11th, the
 15 department accepted that position and were not
 16 going to speak as to what went wrong.
 17 MR. HYNES:
 18 A. Yeah, I don't know if we could say otherwise,
 19 because if it was before the courts, I guess
 20 our, the position of government would be we
 21 would not comment.
 22 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Even though that's what the patients wanted to
 24 hear, that's what stakeholders like Mr. Dawe
 25 wanted to hear, the department would not

Page 113

1 comment?

2 MR. HYNES:

3 A. Yeah, I mean, I'm not saying that's the right

4 approach, but I mean, our--we would always,

5 anytime, Ms. Chaytor, government was being

6 sued or a government agency was being sued,

7 the understanding certainly across government

8 was you didn't go out and say anything, and I

9 can't be more definitive than that.

10 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

11 Q. If we could look, please, at P-1629? Mr.

12 Hynes, this is an e-mail to yourself from Mr.

13 Abbott and it's now January 18th, 2007, so

14 shortly before, I take it, you leave the

15 department?

16 MR. HYNES:

17 A. That's correct.

18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

19 Q. And it's forwarded, the subject is "Forward

20 Dr. Ganguly." And he's sending you a copy of

21 an e-mail that went from Mr. Tilley directly

22 to the Minister. If you just want to take a

23 moment and look at this and tell us what you

24 recall about this e-mail and the subject

25 matter of the e-mail?

Page 114

1 MR. HYNES:

2 A. Yes, Ms. Chaytor, this would certainly seem to

3 build on the meeting I accompanied with the

4 Minister in the May, there's some of the same

5 issues about, you know, the pay thresholds,

6 compensation issues, Dr. Ganguly's role, I

7 remember a lot of this was some of the same

8 issues that we had, I guess, talked about some

9 months before.

10 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

11 Q. Okay, and so I take it this was the oncology

12 issues and Dr. Ganguly still wasn't satisfied

13 with how it had been dealt with from his point

14 of view or with respect to him personally in

15 any event?

16 MR. HYNES:

17 A. No, and I recall Mr. Tilley was correct that

18 that Dr. Ganguly, there was some reference

19 here that he would go, his next step would be

20 to go to the Minister, I think. I recall some

21 conversations he had with the Minister

22 directly during that period of time.

23 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

24 Q. Around this issue?

25 MR. HYNES:

Page 115

1 A. Yes, because I remember he was not satisfied,

2 I believe, with the department's response and

3 how Dr. Bradbury had gone back with our

4 proposals in light of what they had submitted.

5 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

6 Q. And do you recall any follow up discussions

7 then or meetings around this issue, the

8 oncology stipend, oncology pay?

9 MR. HYNES:

10 A. No, because again, two or three days later I

11 was moved, so -

12 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

13 Q. And Mr. Hynes, we understand that the ER/PR

14 testing resumed in early February, 2007, so

15 shortly after. Up to the time that you left

16 the department, what inquiries had been made

17 and what information provided to the

18 department to assure itself that the problem

19 had in fact been identified and the

20 appropriate measures had been taken to solve

21 the problem?

22 MR. HYNES:

23 A. The department would have been aware of the

24 various improvements, Eastern Health I guess

25 had made in the lab, in staffing, new model of

Page 116

1 who actually would review the slides, working

2 towards accreditation of the centre of

3 excellence concept, additional training, I

4 remember was a fairly significant piece of it,

5 and I, you know, I guess the department took

6 some comfort in whatever, all these

7 improvements and investments, et cetera, all

8 these internal processes and the consultants

9 subsequently reviewing what had taken place,

10 et cetera, that that had addressed whatever

11 the underlying issues were and they were ready

12 to move forward again.

13 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

14 Q. So additional training for whom?

15 MR. HYNES:

16 A. Staff, I'll say staff. I don't know if that

17 meant the pathologists or the actual -

18 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:

19 Q. So Minnie's concern that if you don't know

20 what the problem is, how can you fix it, the

21 department was assured enough that it could go

22 forward without being told what the original

23 problem had been?

24 MR. HYNES:

25 A. Well I guess I don't remember a discussion,

Page 117

1 any discussion of we can't let them do this
 2 because we don't know, I mean, you know,
 3 presumably they were comfortable enough and
 4 the consultants or whoever they had engaged
 5 were comfortable enough to say, to recommend
 6 to them to go ahead again, so -
 7 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 8 Q. And you recall no discussion around that?
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. No, this issue, of course, after you've left
 11 the department in May becomes a significant
 12 issue for discussion in the public forum, were
 13 you contacted at that point in time to ask
 14 what you knew about the issue?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. No, I remember Minister Osborne coming to me
 17 after the Cabinet meeting where he first saw
 18 the briefing note of August and asking--and
 19 inquiring of me if I had known at the time, et
 20 cetera, and that led to our conversation.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. Did anyone else, other than Minister Osborne,
 23 contact you?
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. No, I think my first contact was sometime, I

Page 118

1 believe in January of this year when Mr.
 2 Robert Thompson contacted me to see if I had
 3 any notes or recollections or e-mails dealing
 4 with this issue in my role as, you know,
 5 executive assistant to Minister Ottenheimer
 6 and a policy advisor to Minister Osborne.
 7 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 8 Q. And was there any difficult in retrieving your
 9 e-mail?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. I wasn't part of that process, I was never
 12 told to search my e-mail myself, I just--I
 13 went back through all my manuals, old books I
 14 had, working books and old files and tried to
 15 go through everything.
 16 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 17 Q. And nobody alerted you to any difficulty with
 18 your e-mail, I take it?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. No, not that I'm aware of.
 21 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 22 Q. And in moving on beyond the department, there
 23 was no problem with the archiving of your e-
 24 mail?
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 119

1 A. I have had problems because I moved a fair bit
 2 and my understanding of the technology is when
 3 you move, you change servers or technologies
 4 because I think I've had to re--you know,
 5 start from scratch. I've lost contacts, for
 6 instance, that normally I could type into a
 7 system and, you know, and I've also changed
 8 whole e-mail systems. I used to be on
 9 GroupWise, now I'm on Outlook and I don't
 10 understand the difference, but government
 11 converted and I've gone from one department
 12 that had one, to something new and then back
 13 to the old one, because I had moved again a
 14 number of times.
 15 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 16 Q. Well we certainly have e-mail from you -
 17 MR. HYNES:
 18 A. Yes.
 19 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 20 Q. And I don't think we're alerted to any
 21 difficulty, but do you, in reviewing what we
 22 have, do you have any reason to believe
 23 there'd be anything additional around the
 24 ER/PR issue that we don't have?
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 120

1 A. No, because again I don't remember a whole lot
 2 of contacts from individuals, but I mean,
 3 you'd have to talk to the computer folks to
 4 see if there was some, some issue that there's
 5 more, you know, somewhere else.
 6 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Nothing significant that you've had a fairly
 8 good recall around your discussions on the
 9 issue, nothing significant stands out that's
 10 missing from the written documentation?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. No.
 13 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Mr. Hynes, looking back on this, do you find
 15 it rather remarkable that the department did
 16 not ask to be provided with the external
 17 review reports?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. Absolutely.
 20 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Is there anything else, Mr. Hynes, that I
 22 haven't covered with you that you know about
 23 or think may be of significance to the
 24 Commissioner as she moves forward with her
 25 mandate?

Page 121

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. No, I think I've covered or you have covered,
 3 sorry, anything I may have had -
 4 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 5 Q. Well thank you, thank you for your time and
 6 some of my learned friends may have some
 7 questions for you.
 8 THE COMMISSIONER:
 9 Q. Why don't we take the morning break and then
 10 proceed.
 11 (RECESS)
 12 THE COMMISSIONER:
 13 Q. Mr. Simmons.
 14 MR. DARRELL HYNES, EXAMINATION BY MR. DANIEL SIMMONS
 15 MR. SIMMONS:
 16 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. Good morning, Mr.
 17 Hynes. My name is Dan Simmons, I'm the lawyer
 18 here for Eastern Health. I have a few
 19 questions for you coming out of some of the
 20 things that you said in your evidence
 21 yesterday afternoon and also this morning.
 22 And I'm going to try to take it pretty much in
 23 the same order that Ms. Chaytor did, although
 24 I won't be asking you about everything that
 25 she has covered off. A couple of questions

Page 122

1 for you about the meeting on the 21st of July,
 2 2005, which was concerning the Minister
 3 attending. One of the things that you told us
 4 at that meeting was that it was clear to you
 5 that Eastern Health had sought some advice and
 6 I understood you to say of their insurance
 7 company or lawyer. And can you tell us
 8 whether you have any clearer recollection than
 9 that or whether that's just a general
 10 recollection that it was one or the other?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. No, I seem to recall that there was
 13 recollection that they had talked to their
 14 legal department, who in turn had talked to
 15 their insurance company and again, you know,
 16 the information coming back was to be very
 17 cautious with any patient notification letters
 18 in light of what had happened in Labrador
 19 Grenfell Health Authority.
 20 MR. SIMMONS:
 21 Q. Right, so the extent of what you understood
 22 then was to exercise some caution in the way
 23 that was handled?
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. Well, I mean, Eastern Health certainly had

Page 123

1 their legal advice engaged in the process.
 2 MR. SIMMONS:
 3 Q. Right, okay. I think you also told us that at
 4 that meeting Dr. Cook was present at that one?
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. Yes, that's correct.
 7 MR. SIMMONS:
 8 Q. And that he gave some explanation of the
 9 testing process for the ER and the PR testing
 10 -
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. That's correct.
 13 MR. SIMMONS:
 14 Q. - that had been in use at, I guess it was
 15 Health Care Corporation really before these
 16 events occurred, and you told us that he
 17 described it, I think, as a complex process
 18 with a number of steps involving various
 19 manipulation, and I think you said an
 20 opportunity for error to occur at different
 21 steps in the process.
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. That sounds like an accurate statement, yes.
 24 MR. SIMMONS:
 25 Q. That's pretty much--okay, and was this just a

Page 124

1 very brief explanation he gave or did he give
 2 some actual detail of what these tests were,
 3 what the manipulation that was done, what--
 4 detail the technical side of it?
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. I believe he got into some of the detail,
 7 technical side, Mr. Simmons, but again, you
 8 know, as a layperson it was quickly lost on me
 9 in the explanation, once he got past step two
 10 or three in the forty-step process, I, you
 11 know, it was lost on me, sir, I have to admit.
 12 MR. SIMMONS:
 13 Q. Right, okay. Because you were asked if there
 14 was any suggestion that there could have been
 15 any human error involved in the process, and
 16 I'm just wondering if you would have taken out
 17 of that that some of those steps did involve
 18 actions by people, they weren't all carried
 19 out by machinery, that there were steps in
 20 that process that involved people manipulating
 21 and doing things in order to bring it to a
 22 completion, did you have that impression?
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. Yes, because the Ventana system, of course,
 25 because it was more automated removed many of

Page 125

1 that variable.

2 MR. SIMMONS:

3 Q. Right, because one thing that I've heard is

4 that the Ventana system removed opportunity

5 for human error that had existed previously

6 with the previous system. Is that something

7 you would have taken out of that early

8 meeting?

9 MR. HYNES:

10 A. I don't remember being told the Ventana system

11 would have removed all the human component,

12 but just that -

13 MR. SIMMONS:

14 Q. No, just the opportunity for -

15 MR. HYNES:

16 A. I don't remember hearing that language, again,

17 I remember it being described as a very manual

18 system and Ventana was semi-automated, that

19 there was still some human component.

20 MR. SIMMONS:

21 Q. Right, but less than there had been with the

22 previous system?

23 MR. HYNES:

24 A. Oh yes, again, because you were going from a

25 manual system to a semi-automated system.

Page 126

1 MR. SIMMONS:

2 Q. So to the extent that the earlier system

3 involved more human intervention, it would

4 seem to make sense that by moving from one to

5 the other, you'd reduce the opportunity for

6 error to occur in the things that people had

7 to do in carrying out the tests?

8 MR. HYNES:

9 A. Yes.

10 MR. SIMMONS:

11 Q. Right, so say that there's, you know, no

12 suggestion that there might have been human

13 error involved, would seem to me that the

14 explanation that you were given of the change

15 from one system to the other, would--could

16 suggest that there had been the removal of

17 some opportunity for human error in the

18 testing process?

19 MR. HYNES:

20 A. I remember more the linkage that the Ventana

21 was a newer system and the sensitivity of the

22 newer system; I don't remember the linkage of

23 it had to do with removing the human

24 component. I don't remember that getting the

25 emphasis you're giving it now.

Page 127

1 MR. SIMMONS:

2 Q. Okay, so that's not the way you understood the

3 explanation, but you've told us that as a

4 layperson you didn't understand all the

5 intricacies of what was being explained to

6 you.

7 MR. HYNES:

8 A. No, I mean, I understood you're going from a

9 manual system with multi steps and going to a

10 more automated system.

11 MR. SIMMONS:

12 Q. Now I want to bring you to the meeting of

13 August 15th which you actually didn't attend,

14 but you've told us that you did get some

15 report on that, after the fact. Who did you

16 talk to to learn about what had happened on

17 the 15th of August, '05 when there was a

18 meeting with the Minister and that's the one

19 that the oncologists attended also.

20 MR. HYNES:

21 A. I believe I would have talked to, perhaps the

22 Minister, other officials maybe in the

23 department.

24 MR. SIMMONS:

25 Q. Do you know if you talked to Ms. Hennessey or

Page 128

1 Mr. Abbott about it?

2 MR. HYNES:

3 A. Not that I can be definitive about.

4 MR. SIMMONS:

5 Q. Okay. And the impression you were left with

6 from those conversations about what if any

7 decisions had been made at that meeting again

8 was about notification of patients, again was

9 what?

10 MR. HYNES:

11 A. The oncologists strongly urged to hold off.

12 MR. SIMMONS:

13 Q. Right, and to hold off until when or until

14 what happened?

15 MR. HYNES:

16 A. In my view they had more information which

17 included having test results back, sent away

18 and returned.

19 MR. SIMMONS:

20 Q. Okay. So if what they wanted to do was to

21 hold off until test results were back, that

22 would mean going through the testing process,

23 getting results back for individual patients

24 and notifying them of their test results,

25 right? And you were asked yesterday about,

Page 129

1 what about notification of patients that
 2 testing was going to occur? What was your
 3 understanding about whether there was any
 4 decision made at the August 15th meeting about
 5 whether that would happen before test results
 6 came back?
 7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. Again, it's still to hold off, was my
 9 understanding.
 10 MR. SIMMONS:
 11 Q. Okay, so that was your understanding, that
 12 there would not be any--as of August 15th, it
 13 had been decided that there was not going to
 14 be any contact made with patients to tell them
 15 in advance that testing was going to happen,
 16 the contact would be after the test results
 17 came back for those patients?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. Yes, based on the expert medical advice we
 20 were given from the oncologists. Again, now
 21 that was my understanding.
 22 MR. SIMMONS:
 23 Q. Right, because I didn't quite understand it
 24 when you explained it that way yesterday, but
 25 that's your recollection of what you learned

Page 130

1 the decision was on the 15th of August, is it?
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. Is to hold off.
 4 MR. SIMMONS:
 5 Q. Good, okay. You were asked some questions
 6 concerning the lead up to a meeting--I'm going
 7 to jump ahead a bit now, on December 4th of
 8 '06, which was a meeting among Mr. Tilley, Mr.
 9 Abbott, Tansy Mundon and Susan Bonnell. And I
 10 believe you've told us that you understood
 11 that the purpose of that meeting was to sort
 12 out communications protocols between Eastern
 13 Health and the Department of Health, and that
 14 you had understood that the reason for
 15 organizing that meeting was that there had
 16 been some experience with problems getting
 17 information from Eastern Health on and off?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. Part of it, yes.
 20 MR. SIMMONS:
 21 Q. Okay, and your source of that information was
 22 what? How did you know there had been any
 23 problems like that?
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. I recall very early on when I got to the

Page 131

1 Department of Health having a conversation
 2 with Ms. Chaplin and I think this was spurned
 3 by something, I was driving to work and I
 4 heard something on the radio and we hadn't
 5 been aware of it and I remember talking to Ms.
 6 Chaplin and Ms. Chaplin saying that this is a
 7 problem, trying to, you know, build a rapport
 8 with Susan, but from time to time Eastern
 9 Health will go out and issue statements and do
 10 things without giving us a heads up.
 11 MR. SIMMONS:
 12 Q. Okay, so was the concern that Eastern Health
 13 was making public statements or issuing press
 14 releases that the department didn't have a
 15 heads up on, as opposed to being concerned
 16 that Eastern Health was operationally making
 17 decisions about the things that were going to
 18 do without involving the department?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. Well operational decisions we would not
 21 normally be directly involved in. I'm talking
 22 about if Eastern Health were going shutdown a
 23 wing of Bonavista Hospital for the summer, I
 24 mean, I would sense even though that's an
 25 operational decision, we would be given a

Page 132

1 heads up because of the political overtones of
 2 a decision like that.
 3 MR. SIMMONS:
 4 Q. Right, so before the announcement of anything
 5 like that, that's what you'd expect the
 6 department would be notified on.
 7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. Even a courtesy heads up, yes.
 9 MR. SIMMONS:
 10 Q. It would be Eastern Health's decision to make,
 11 but it was the public announcement that was of
 12 interest and that the department would want to
 13 know beforehand.
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. And the information, what exactly are we going
 16 to go out and say, obviously, I mean, the
 17 Minister may have to--just in case he's
 18 questioned on it coming out of the House, he
 19 would have to have some understanding of what
 20 the issue was and what was going on.
 21 MR. SIMMONS:
 22 Q. Okay. Much of what you talked about this
 23 morning was the meeting with Minister Osborne
 24 on the 23rd of November, 2006. You've told us
 25 that there had been an inquiry from him, I

Page 133

1 think in August of '06, about wanting to know
 2 something more about the root cause here, and
 3 that you had passed that request on to Mr.
 4 Abbott just before you went on vacation with
 5 the anticipation that Mr. Abbott would arrange
 6 something to assist the Minister in answering
 7 that question.
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. That's correct.
 10 MR. SIMMONS:
 11 Q. Now how long were you on vacation?
 12 MR. HYNES:
 13 A. Just two weeks, I believe.
 14 MR. SIMMONS:
 15 Q. Just two weeks. Did you do any follow up when
 16 you came back to see if anything had happened
 17 or if Mr. Abbott had done anything about that?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. Not that I can recall.
 20 MR. SIMMONS:
 21 Q. Do you know if any request ever got passed on
 22 to anyone at Eastern Health to say the
 23 Minister wants a meeting to be told about the
 24 causes of the problem?
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 134

1 A. I don't know, I don't know what Mr. Abbott
 2 actioned on the request. I know it was
 3 mentioned a number of times during that spring
 4 and summer and I don't know what, if anything,
 5 he might have done with it.
 6 MR. SIMMONS:
 7 Q. Okay, you didn't have any contact with Eastern
 8 Health and you didn't know if anyone else
 9 contacted Eastern Health -
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. No.
 12 MR. SIMMONS:
 13 Q. - specifically to say the Minister wants that
 14 information.
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. Now it wouldn't be my role to contact Eastern
 17 Health directly on something like that.
 18 MR. SIMMONS:
 19 Q. Right, and then in the lead up to the November
 20 23rd meeting, you didn't have any role in
 21 arranging that meeting either, did you?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. No, just that I was to attend and -
 24 MR. SIMMONS:
 25 Q. Did you renew your request to Mr. Abbott at

Page 135

1 any time to say we need to get that meeting
 2 organized with Eastern Health so that they
 3 could talk to the Minister about root cause?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. No, I seem to recall that fall there was a
 6 number of scheduling things with the Minister
 7 out of town at national meetings, et cetera,
 8 that I think November was the earliest
 9 opportunity and I believe the recommendation
 10 from Eastern Health was that they wanted to
 11 hold off until they had all the information
 12 ready and this is why this date was selected.
 13 We were going to be briefed just before the
 14 public release of the information.
 15 MR. SIMMONS:
 16 Q. Okay. Was there any kind of agenda given to
 17 Eastern Health as to what they were to address
 18 at the meeting on the 23rd or what questions
 19 were to be answered for the Minister on that
 20 date?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. Not that I'm aware of, sir.
 23 MR. SIMMONS:
 24 Q. Could we have P-0177 please? Now this is a
 25 document that you probably haven't seen

Page 136

1 before, Mr. Hynes. It is an e-mail message on
 2 November 22nd, 2006. I'll go down through the
 3 first one in the chain so you can just go
 4 through it here. This is the day before, of
 5 course, that meeting actually took place. And
 6 there was an e-mail at 1:43 in the afternoon
 7 from Tansy Mundon to Leona Barrington and
 8 Susan Bonnell at Eastern Health. These are
 9 the communications people communicating with
 10 each other.
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. Sure.
 13 MR. SIMMONS:
 14 Q. And it says, "In light of this request, can
 15 you please ask that a status report is sent to
 16 the Minister this week." And the subject
 17 matter is "forward, breast cancer screening".
 18 So there's a request here from Ms. Mundon for
 19 a status report for the Minister that week.
 20 You move up to the next message in the chain
 21 at 2:54 in the afternoon, Ms. Bonnell replied,
 22 asking what the Minister would want, would a
 23 verbal briefing be helpful. Then the next one
 24 in the chain in Tansy Mundon back to Susan
 25 Bonnell, 2:59, "Waiting on the Minister to

Page 137

1 come out of the House of Assembly and I'll
 2 call you." And then, if we go up to the last
 3 message in the chain at 3:55, Ms. Mundon to
 4 Ms. Barrington and Ms. Bonnell, "Susan, the
 5 Minister doesn't need a briefing today, but we
 6 would like to set up a briefing for him as
 7 soon as possible. I will advise you once I
 8 have discussed with John Abbott and we can
 9 find a time." And then the briefing actually
 10 takes place, the one that you went to the next
 11 day, outside of the House of Assembly. So
 12 from this exchange here, were you aware of any
 13 of these discussions going on between Ms.
 14 Mundon and the staff at Eastern Health to
 15 arrange the meeting on the 23rd.

16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. No.

18 MR. SIMMONS:
 19 Q. Because I don't see anything here about this
 20 being a follow up to a long outstanding
 21 request for a meeting to come in and tell us
 22 about causes or root cause of the problem.

23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. But unfortunately, Mr. Abbott's not e-mailed
 25 on any of this or neither am I, so I don't

Page 138

1 know if they may not have been aware of the
 2 other meeting ahead of time or the other
 3 process that--because no doubt if there was a
 4 meeting to be set up, Mr. Abbott would have
 5 called Mr. Tilley directly.

6 MR. SIMMONS:
 7 Q. And when they came in on the 23rd, the only
 8 material they had to provide was the handout
 9 with the retest numbers on it, the numbers in
 10 the retest program. Did Mr. Abbott or someone
 11 convene the meeting at the outset and say,
 12 well we're finally here to talk about the
 13 causes of the problem? Or was that put on the
 14 agenda or on the table at the outset of the
 15 meeting as being the reason why that meeting
 16 was being convened?

17 MR. HYNES:
 18 A. I don't remember any statement at the
 19 beginning. I remember, you know, we sat down
 20 and Mr. Tilley led us through a discussion of
 21 the document that was to be released publicly
 22 and that's what, you know, my notes follow.

23 MR. SIMMONS:
 24 Q. Led through the discussion of the document
 25 that I think we've seen at P-0125, page 42.

Page 139

1 Because there's certainly nothing here about
 2 causes, this is just a statistical review of
 3 the results of getting the retests done and
 4 analyzed by the panel, the information that
 5 was known at that time.

6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. No, but they told us, I mean, they wouldn't
 8 talk about causation because of the legal,
 9 outstanding lawsuit.

10 MR. SIMMONS:
 11 Q. Okay, but my point is that it was way back in
 12 August that that request was made by the
 13 Minister and a lot of time has gone by and I
 14 don't see anything in the record here to link
 15 that request that was made back in August to
 16 you about wanting a briefing on root cause and
 17 what actually happened here on the 23rd of
 18 November. Can you tell me anything about
 19 whether the Eastern Health people came to this
 20 meeting knowing that the purpose of it was to
 21 follow up on this request back from August.

22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. I can't speak to what day he may have known or
 24 not known about what the request in the
 25 conversation was in August, I mean -

Page 140

1 MR. SIMMONS:
 2 Q. Okay. You told us about the discussion that
 3 happened at that meeting about the results for
 4 the deceased patients and the handout
 5 identifies 176 patients who were known to be
 6 deceased and 111 were retested and results
 7 received. And I just want to clarify, did you
 8 understand at that meeting whether the
 9 Physician Review Panel, the physicians who had
 10 looked at the results for the living patients,
 11 whether they had looked at these results for
 12 the deceased patients at all by this time?

13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. No, I believe I told Ms. Chaytor I was unclear
 15 if, even though the results were back, I was
 16 unclear for unknown--I mean, I don't know why
 17 they wouldn't have, but I'm unclear that the
 18 panel hadn't, you know -

19 MR. SIMMONS:
 20 Q. Okay, would you have understood the importance
 21 for treatment of patients, the clinical
 22 reasons for reviewing the retests for all the
 23 patients who were living in that they could
 24 benefit still from a change in treatment if
 25 one was to be recommended, but that that same

Page 141

1 clinical imperative wouldn't exist for
 2 reviewing retests of patients who were
 3 deceased.
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. No, and I think that was borne out in Dr.
 6 Laing's comment.
 7 MR. SIMMONS:
 8 Q. Yes, okay, and that was essentially the point
 9 she was making, was it, that there was not a
 10 clinical reason now existing in order to carry
 11 out that the review be done?
 12 MR. HYNES:
 13 A. Well I mean, there was not much you could do
 14 for them people, that's why her concern was
 15 for the living and not the deceased.
 16 MR. SIMMONS:
 17 Q. Did you have any idea or gather anything from
 18 that meeting about the type of resources that
 19 would be required, the time required of the
 20 limited number of people who were available to
 21 carry out that kind of a review?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. I don't think we were given any information on
 24 that, it was just that it could take some time
 25 and they were ready to go forward in short

Page 142

1 order with a public announcement.
 2 MR. SIMMONS:
 3 Q. Did you understand at that point that there
 4 were significant work loads on the oncologists
 5 and pathologists as well within Eastern Health
 6 at that time for their regular duties that
 7 they were carrying out?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. Oh no question, I mean, I had attended some of
 10 their meetings and heard their frustrations
 11 about workload and I mean, no question that
 12 was a recognition.
 13 MR. SIMMONS:
 14 Q. You had mentioned and you reiterated a moment
 15 ago that on the issue of cause and talking
 16 about it, it was made fairly clear that
 17 because there was pending litigation that
 18 there wasn't going to be any public discussion
 19 of the media briefing about causation issues,
 20 I think that's the way you understood it.
 21 You've told us as well that that was pretty
 22 well standard operating procedures, as far as
 23 you knew in government for when there was any
 24 litigation involving government as well.
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 143

1 A. That's correct.
 2 MR. SIMMONS:
 3 Q. So did anyone on the government side take
 4 issue with that approach in this case?
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. Not that I remember at the meeting, no, sir.
 7 MR. SIMMONS:
 8 Q. Now on the handout, P-0125, page 42, there's
 9 numbers here in different categories. There's
 10 no attempt on this sheet to do any analysis
 11 about rates of change, rates of error, margins
 12 of error, no percentages at all calculated
 13 here on this sheet, are there?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. No, sir.
 16 MR. SIMMONS:
 17 Q. When Mr. Tilley made his presentation, did he
 18 go through each of these categories and use
 19 this sheet as a guide to explain what these
 20 numbers were?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. I don't believe, sir, you know, he actually
 23 physically read every line, but just reviewed
 24 the headings and subheadings in a very broad -
 25 MR. SIMMONS:

Page 144

1 Q. Yes. Can you remember anything about the
 2 structure of the meeting, whether it started,
 3 as you said, with Mr. Tilley giving a
 4 presentation and that there was then
 5 discussion among the parties or was it more or
 6 less structured than that?
 7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. I would think, I mean, he was the CEO and he
 9 was there with his officials to brief the
 10 Minister, so he would lead discussion about
 11 here, at the end of the day here's what we
 12 found and here's what we're ready to release.
 13 MR. SIMMONS:
 14 Q. Right. Did he make any kind of a statement of
 15 presentation about whether or not Eastern
 16 Health was comfortable that they could
 17 calculate any kind of rate of error or margin
 18 of error, as you've termed it here--Mr.
 19 Tilley, in his presentation?
 20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. No, because--well, I mean, no, because Eastern
 22 Health's position, as they put forward it was
 23 only three percent within a margin of error.
 24 MR. SIMMONS:
 25 Q. Mr. Tilley made his presentation first. Did

Page 145

1 he, in his presentation on these numbers, take
 2 the position that Eastern Health's position
 3 was there was a three percent rate of error?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. No, he never mentioned that.
 6 MR. SIMMONS:
 7 Q. He did not.
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. No.
 10 MR. SIMMONS:
 11 Q. That came out in the discussion that followed,
 12 did it?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. Yeah, Ms. Bonnell made that point.
 15 MR. SIMMONS:
 16 Q. From Ms. Bonnell, who is the director of
 17 communications. Did Mr. Tilley ever put
 18 forward that as being in any way any kind of
 19 official position or conclusion that Eastern
 20 Health had reached that that was a rate?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. No, I mean, Ms. Bonnell made the point and no
 23 one corrected it, so we moved on.
 24 MR. SIMMONS:
 25 Q. She may have been in the course of the

Page 146

1 discussion, okay. Now are you aware if
 2 Eastern Health or anyone from Eastern Health
 3 ever publicly put forward three percent as a
 4 rate which reflected anything from these
 5 results of the retesting program? Was it ever
 6 used -
 7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. Not that I'm aware of, sir, no.
 9 MR. SIMMONS:
 10 Q. - in Eastern Health, never. Did you ever hear
 11 it used anywhere other than in this discussion
 12 at this meeting?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. No, sir, no.
 15 MR. SIMMONS:
 16 Q. Ever hear it raised by anyone other than Ms.
 17 Bonnell?
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. I seem to recall another health official,
 20 another Eastern Health official at the
 21 meeting, you know, was part of that joint
 22 conversation, so I don't know if Dr. Laing or
 23 someone else was also using the three percent,
 24 I'm not sure. Again, they were at the other
 25 end of the table and I know Ms. Bonnell was

Page 147

1 leading the discussion, but, you know, it's
 2 entirely possible someone else--I don't recall
 3 Dr. Howell saying much, but Dr. Laing may have
 4 offered her comment to that as well.
 5 MR. SIMMONS:
 6 Q. Now you didn't read the materials that were
 7 used at the December 11th media briefing until
 8 much after, but when you did read them much
 9 after, you did determine, from looking at
 10 that, that Eastern Health did not release any
 11 kind of a rate of error?
 12 MR. HYNES:
 13 A. No, or any numbers.
 14 MR. SIMMONS:
 15 Q. Or did not take any position on what a rate of
 16 error was?
 17 MR. HYNES:
 18 A. No, or any of the numbers that were given to
 19 us, no.
 20 MR. SIMMONS:
 21 Q. Okay. Now on that point, you've told us that
 22 it was your understanding, when you left the
 23 meeting, that all the numbers on this handout
 24 here, or all this information, would be
 25 released in the media briefing that was coming

Page 148

1 up?
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. That's correct.
 4 MR. SIMMONS:
 5 Q. In a couple of weeks time. Now did anyone
 6 from Eastern Health make the positive
 7 statement that "we are going to release all
 8 this to the media"?
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. No, it was certainly assumed to us.
 11 MR. SIMMONS:
 12 Q. Okay. Did anyone make the positive--did
 13 anyone from Eastern Health make the statement
 14 that "we are not going to release any of these
 15 numbers to the media"?
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. No.
 18 MR. SIMMONS:
 19 Q. No. Did anyone from the Department side ask
 20 "are you going to release all these numbers to
 21 the media?"
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. I don't know if that was asked in a definitive
 24 way.
 25 MR. SIMMONS:

Page 149

1 Q. Okay. Did anyone from the Department side ask
 2 "is there anything here you're not going to
 3 release to the media?"
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. No, because I think we collectively had the
 6 assumption that this was going forward.
 7 MR. SIMMONS:
 8 Q. Okay, right.
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. And again, when I did question some of the
 11 numbers, I was never told that this is not
 12 going to be part of the final document or
 13 released to the public.
 14 MR. SIMMONS:
 15 Q. Okay. You told Ms. Chaytor this morning that
 16 when you did read the media materials that
 17 were used by Eastern Health on December 11th,
 18 when you did get around to reading them, it
 19 did stand out to you that there were only
 20 limited retest results included in it, and
 21 that all the other numbers weren't there? You
 22 had no trouble recognizing that when you read
 23 those materials?
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. No, quite clear.

Page 150

1 MR. SIMMONS:
 2 Q. Quite clear. Ms. Mundon attended the meeting
 3 on the 23rd of November, did she?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. Yes.
 6 MR. SIMMONS:
 7 Q. She did, and so would she have been in the
 8 same position as you, having heard everything
 9 at the meeting of November 23rd, that if she
 10 had read those materials that the same
 11 conclusion should have jumped out to her?
 12 That she should have recognized -
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. I mean, the -
 15 MR. SIMMONS:
 16 Q. - that there's limited information there?
 17 MR. HYNES:
 18 A. - you know, the original press release only
 19 had the 117 number, which of course, was
 20 highlighted by Eastern Health as what they
 21 based their margin of error on, on the
 22 November 23rd meeting, and you know, I guess
 23 if you read the rest of the background
 24 information and the Q and A's, you would come
 25 to the realization that there was no other

Page 151

1 numbers mentioned.
 2 MR. SIMMONS:
 3 Q. Yes.
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. But I don't know, I mean, what her--I mean,
 6 you'd have to ask her, sir.
 7 MR. SIMMONS:
 8 Q. Sure.
 9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. I don't know. She never shared anything with
 11 me.
 12 MR. SIMMONS:
 13 Q. Okay, and just one final point, you were asked
 14 a little bit about the external review reports
 15 that had been done early on for Eastern Health
 16 there, and I know you weren't really directly
 17 involved in this, so I can only ask you your
 18 impression of it, I guess, from talking to
 19 other people in the Department, but did you
 20 have any understanding of what it was the
 21 external consultants had been brought in to
 22 do, what the purpose of bringing them in was
 23 to Eastern Health at first?
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. My understanding was to look at the lab.

Page 152

1 MR. SIMMONS:
 2 Q. Yes.
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. Part of that was to review the operation of
 5 the technology that was in place.
 6 MR. SIMMONS:
 7 Q. Yes.
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. And that included, you know, the talk back
 10 from the 21st about sensitivity.
 11 MR. SIMMONS:
 12 Q. Yes.
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. And I guess it was also, in a broad way, to
 15 look at processes, I guess, operational
 16 processes in the lab. I guess to look at the
 17 operation of the lab with respect to ER/PR.
 18 MR. SIMMONS:
 19 Q. So if I were to suggest that--it sounds like
 20 what you're telling me is that you understood
 21 that the purpose was for them to come in and
 22 look at the operation as it existed at the
 23 time they were there and try to identify if
 24 there were any deficiencies in that that
 25 needed to be rectified?

Page 153

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. Sure, and to make recommendations that could
 3 be, you know, measured and acted upon, I
 4 guess.
 5 MR. SIMMONS:
 6 Q. And did you understand that recommendations
 7 had been made and that Eastern Health's
 8 position was that they were acting or had
 9 acted on those recommendations?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. Well, I would have assumed if they were
 12 resuming testing that they were--Eastern
 13 Health were satisfied if they were resuming
 14 testing in the lab, because that would have
 15 been their--that would have been an
 16 operational decision that they had made.
 17 MR. SIMMONS:
 18 Q. Good. Thank you very much, Mr. Hynes.
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. Thank you.
 21 THE COMMISSIONER:
 22 Q. Mr. Browne?
 23 MR. DARRELL HYNES, EXAMINATION BY MR. PETER BROWNE
 24 MR. BROWNE:
 25 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. Good morning, Mr.

Page 154

1 Hynes. My name is Peter Browne. I represent
 2 a number of the individual physicians who have
 3 been requested to give evidence here,
 4 including Dr. Laing, and I just have a few
 5 questions I want to canvas with you this
 6 morning. First of all, am I correct in the
 7 note I made yesterday that early in
 8 questioning by Ms. Chaytor, you agreed that
 9 your role in a number of the meetings that you
 10 participated around the ER/PR issue, you came
 11 to these meetings with what we've--the
 12 Commissioner has heard various terminology and
 13 nomenclature, a political lens. Is that a
 14 fair description?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. Yes.
 17 MR. BROWNE:
 18 Q. Because you were, I think, at various points,
 19 first of all, an executive assistant and then
 20 latterly, a policy analyst?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. Yes.
 23 MR. BROWNE:
 24 Q. Okay, and can I assume from that that others
 25 in the room had different lenses as well?

Page 155

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. Sure, absolutely. I mean, if there was--if
 3 the Deputy Minister was there, he would
 4 certainly bring the departmental lens. If
 5 there was communications people in the room,
 6 they would bring that lens. If we were
 7 meeting with a respective group and they were
 8 doctors, they would bring the clinician lens
 9 to the table.
 10 MR. BROWNE:
 11 Q. Precisely. Now if we look at Dr. Laing, she
 12 would bring the oncology medical lens to the
 13 meeting and offer views from that perspective?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. Yes, and that's why I think, sir, in the
 16 August meeting, her view was so highly
 17 regarded.
 18 MR. BROWNE:
 19 Q. Okay, but in fairness, to go back on that,
 20 your observation is a good one that was it
 21 well understood that everybody in this meeting
 22 was coming at the issue with different lenses?
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. Sure.
 25 MR. BROWNE:

Page 156

1 Q. Sure, okay. So the purpose is to have
 2 everybody's lens offer a perspective and then
 3 to come out with a consensus?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. Yes, which was the best medical expert advice
 6 we had at the time, I guess.
 7 MR. BROWNE:
 8 Q. But a consensus of everybody's point of view
 9 that was in that room?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. But, sir, you'd have to--I mean, the reality
 12 is if it's a communications issue, I would
 13 give most weight to our communications people.
 14 If it's a medical lens, you'd give most weight
 15 to your doctors, what they're saying.
 16 MR. BROWNE:
 17 Q. Fair enough. Now can we see P-0138, please?
 18 Thank you, Registrar. You were shown this
 19 exhibit yesterday, Mr. Hynes, and this is
 20 notes from Dr. Williams' meeting. Now I
 21 appreciate you weren't at this meeting. I
 22 think your evidence was, if you were, you may
 23 have just been there temporarily.
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. Yeah.

Page 157

1 MR. BROWNE:
 2 Q. Because your recollection is fairly vague on
 3 it. You have more of a recollection about a
 4 post discussion surrounding this meeting. Is
 5 that fair?
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. Yeah.
 8 MR. BROWNE:
 9 Q. Okay. The note there, and I'll just scroll
 10 down, you'll see the second last bullet,
 11 "Minister will accept best advice for now.
 12 Wishes to meet again within the next two
 13 weeks." Were you made aware of that after the
 14 meeting in any discussion with the Minister?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. Well, the Minister would have certainly
 17 relayed to me that based on, you know, the
 18 medical opinion of Eastern Health, that they
 19 recommended to hold off.
 20 MR. BROWNE:
 21 Q. No, no, no, that's not what I'm asking you.
 22 The second part of that, it says "wishes to
 23 meet again within the next two weeks." Did
 24 the Minister convey that sentiment to you?
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 158

1 A. There was a number of ongoing expectations for
 2 meetings on this in short order because the
 3 Minister wanted almost ongoing briefings. So
 4 I don't know if he might have mentioned two
 5 weeks, but there would have been an
 6 expectation he would have been updated in
 7 short order.
 8 MR. BROWNE:
 9 Q. But do you agree that this is--if I'm reading
 10 this correctly, is that what Dr. Williams has
 11 recorded here is a sentiment by the Minister
 12 to meet again in two weeks. Is that fair?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. That's his statement, I guess.
 15 MR. BROWNE:
 16 Q. And my question is--yes, fair enough, but what
 17 I'm asking you is did the Minister ever convey
 18 that information to you that he--after the
 19 August 15th meeting that he wanted to still
 20 have a further meeting within two weeks?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. I can't be definitive if he--I mean, again,
 23 there was an ongoing expectation to be briefed
 24 continually.
 25 MR. BROWNE:

Page 159

1 Q. Okay, and then again, assuming that this is an
 2 accurate reflection, whose responsibility
 3 would it have been to arrange this meeting?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. It would have been, I guess, the Deputy
 6 Minister to advise the CEO of Eastern Health
 7 to make the necessary arrangements.
 8 MR. BROWNE:
 9 Q. Now going--again, I appreciate that you
 10 weren't around for this August 15th meeting,
 11 but going back, you were involved in the
 12 initial meeting with the Minister back on July
 13 21st, correct?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. That's correct, yeah.
 16 MR. BROWNE:
 17 Q. Would it be fair to say that the
 18 representatives from Eastern Health, at that
 19 time, in discussing that issue with the
 20 Minister, expressed a point of view that had
 21 the best interest of the patient in mind?
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. I would, you know, like to think that they
 24 expressed that at all times, you know, the
 25 interest of the patients was first and

Page 160

1 foremost in everyone's mind.
 2 MR. BROWNE:
 3 Q. And you never ever, at any point, had any
 4 concern that that was not the case, in the
 5 meetings that you participated in?
 6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. No, I mean.
 8 MR. BROWNE:
 9 Q. Now, I want to ask you about your evidence
 10 yesterday of the November 9th, 2005 meeting
 11 with Dr. Laing. I think that was around the
 12 issue of Herceptin and the introduction of
 13 that drug, which is a breast cancer drug, to
 14 the provincial formulary, and that happened in
 15 November 2005.
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. That's right.
 18 MR. BROWNE:
 19 Q. And if we can, just for a moment, Registrar,
 20 if we could show the witness that portion of
 21 his transcript? Mr. Hynes, I've asked that
 22 that be put in, and just so we're clear on
 23 that. You'll just see that, if we can go down
 24 there, and you can probably, if you want--your
 25 mouse is not working, is it?

Page 161

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. Yeah.
 3 MR. BROWNE:
 4 Q. Okay. It must have been given some
 5 antibodies. I don't think it was working
 6 earlier. If you could turn -
 7 THE COMMISSIONER:
 8 Q. The Registrar has been on the case, so it's
 9 working.
 10 MR. BROWNE:
 11 Q. Well, found some good antibodies, I think,
 12 Registrar. Let's look at--I think the essence
 13 of what I want to deal with this morning is on
 14 page 381 and 382 and that's around this whole
 15 Herceptin. I think because what you testified
 16 was that Dr. Laing was there to review a
 17 portion of a press release that contained
 18 statements attributed to her, and while she
 19 was there, you took the opportunity of
 20 discussing with her, I guess, where things
 21 were with ER/PR.
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. That's correct.
 24 MR. BROWNE:
 25 Q. And there were two points that came up. I

Page 162

1 think one, you sort of asked her "well, how
 2 are things going?" and she sort of said "look,
 3 it's a big challenge. We're working through
 4 it."
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. That's correct.
 7 MR. BROWNE:
 8 Q. The second thing was about, regarding whether
 9 or not people who had died could have been
 10 helped?
 11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. Yes.
 13 MR. BROWNE:
 14 Q. And she responded to you yes, they could have
 15 been. Is that right?
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. Yes.
 18 MR. BROWNE:
 19 Q. Did you--what was your understanding about, at
 20 that time, what drugs were available to help
 21 cancer patients? Did you know anything about
 22 Tamoxifen, how Tamoxifen could help?
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. I mean, I know it was a leading drug across
 25 the country, and I remember, sir, that at

Page 163

1 another meeting with our oncologists, at some
 2 point, that they even indicated that they were
 3 pleased with some of the drugs Government had
 4 offered, because we were viewed as a leading
 5 jurisdiction across the country with respect
 6 to the treatments we could offer, medications
 7 and other things that were available.
 8 MR. BROWNE:
 9 Q. I guess I'm focused more on the fact of your
 10 understanding of Tamoxifen and how Tamoxifen
 11 may help breast cancer patients. Did you have
 12 any understanding at that point in time?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. I did.
 15 MR. BROWNE:
 16 Q. I mean, when--can you tell me what your
 17 understanding was about how Tamoxifen helps
 18 breast cancer patients?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. It would determine what was the appropriate
 21 follow-up treatment.
 22 MR. BROWNE:
 23 Q. But I guess I'm more focused on the mechanism,
 24 how--does it extend life? Does it help their
 25 quality of life? Any sorts of those things,

Page 164

1 would you know that level of detail, how it
 2 would help?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. My understanding is it would help determine
 5 your likelihood for recurrence, sir. That's
 6 my limited understanding.
 7 MR. BROWNE:
 8 Q. And you had it that--that was your
 9 understanding at around that time?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. Yes, sir.
 12 MR. BROWNE:
 13 Q. Thank you. Now the last area I want to ask
 14 you about, Mr. Hynes, is in relation to the
 15 meeting on November 23rd. Now Mr. Simmons has
 16 covered some of the questions I have for you,
 17 but could the witness be shown P-0145? I
 18 think this exhibit you've been shown, there's
 19 a number of--this exhibit shows up in a number
 20 of different exhibits. Oh no, well then
 21 perhaps--I know it's at P-0125, page 42.
 22 Sorry, Registrar. And this is the document
 23 that was shown at the meeting in November, Mr.
 24 Hynes. And you had mentioned this morning
 25 that you recalled, at this meeting, your

Page 165

1 previous discussion from November of 2005 with
 2 Dr. Laing. Is that right?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. Yes.
 5 MR. BROWNE:
 6 Q. Okay. Did you remind her of that discussion
 7 during this meeting?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. No, that was just a quiet reflection to
 10 myself, sir.
 11 MR. BROWNE:
 12 Q. Okay.
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. When I was looking at the number of deceased
 15 people, in that context.
 16 MR. BROWNE:
 17 Q. Sure, sure, and is it fair to say that at this
 18 meeting, and I think you sort of touched on
 19 this with some answers to Mr. Simmons just a
 20 few moments ago, but is it fair to say that
 21 the focus, again using the lens approach here,
 22 of the oncologists and Dr. Laing was that they
 23 were trying to prioritize the patients, the
 24 living patients, and help deal with them and
 25 that was the focus that they were doing with

Page 166

1 the tumor panel at that time?
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. Yeah, no question that, I mean, their time and
 4 efforts, I guess, I mean, you know, were again
 5 focused on the people who could still be
 6 helped with this treatment or with this test.
 7 MR. BROWNE:
 8 Q. And that the results that were received, if we
 9 can scroll down here, at the bottom here, the
 10 101 retested and results received, was it your
 11 understanding that those results were not
 12 reviewed by the tumor panel at that point?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. That was my understanding, and that became, I
 15 guess, a question, why bother retesting if
 16 you're not going to send them to the tumor
 17 panel.
 18 MR. BROWNE:
 19 Q. Okay. Now lastly, Mr. Hynes, I just want you
 20 to sort of run through, if we could, please,
 21 Registrar, the second transcript, and this is
 22 a transcript, Mr. Hynes, of Minister Osborne's
 23 testimony surrounding the meeting, and perhaps
 24 just you can start perhaps with the bottom of
 25 page 278, and just tell me, and take your

Page 167

1 time, sir, if this accords with your
 2 recollection of the dynamic--this is of Mr. -
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. Okay.
 5 MR. BROWNE:
 6 Q. This is Mr. Simmons' cross-examination of Mr.
 7 Osborne, Minister Osborne, concerning his
 8 recollections of the, I guess, discussion
 9 surrounding the deceased patients and your
 10 discussion with Dr. Laing and the responses
 11 from Dr. Laing.
 12 MR. HYNES:
 13 A. So what page did you want me to -
 14 MR. BROWNE:
 15 Q. Well, I think it starts at page 278 and it's
 16 fairly--there are a series of questions there.
 17 MR. HYNES:
 18 A. Okay.
 19 MR. BROWNE:
 20 Q. And let me just walk you through it a bit
 21 then, if it may help. You see at 279, line
 22 22, that the response there from Mr. Osborne
 23 was that when you raised this issue, Dr. Laing
 24 indicated that given the resources available,
 25 they would prefer to spend their time focusing

Page 168

1 on the living patients.
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. That would be a simple way of saying what she
 4 said.
 5 MR. BROWNE:
 6 Q. Okay, and then further on 280, that there was
 7 concerns that in addition to doing--and this
 8 is lines 12 to 17, that in addition to doing
 9 normal lab work and normal pathology, oncology
 10 work and seeing patients, they were doing this
 11 on top of their regular workload. Again, does
 12 that accord with your recollection?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. I know, again, you know, Mr.--I apologize,
 15 I've lost your name, but -
 16 MR. BROWNE:
 17 Q. Browne.
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. Browne, I apologize, Madam Commissioner. You
 20 know, I had the sense in the conversation that
 21 Dr. Laing became--you know, I won't say
 22 emotional, but she certainly got very flush in
 23 the face because--and I had the understanding
 24 that this had been an onerous emotional task
 25 on her, that I had some respect for, because

Page 169

1 she was the one, and I had appreciated from
 2 prior meetings, that she had a caseload of
 3 probably 200 plus patients. She had
 4 administrative duties as the clinical chief,
 5 etcetera, etcetera, and aside from all that,
 6 they were taking on this extra piece of
 7 business, and this had been a very emotional,
 8 almost draining experience for them as a team,
 9 because again, I mean, I appreciated, sir,
 10 that she was the one, at the end of the day,
 11 having to meet with some of these people and
 12 give them this information, which is, I'm
 13 sure, the worst thing she would have wanted to
 14 do. So I mean, I had some appreciation for
 15 that.

16 MR. BROWNE:
 17 Q. And that sort of, I guess, led to sort of the
 18 emotion that may have been in that room that
 19 day between you and Dr. Laing?

20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. No question. I mean, I think she was
 22 probably, you know, coming at it from that
 23 perspective that I respected, but my political
 24 lens was just that "well, look, you've got
 25 these numbers out here. You're going to be

Page 170

1 able to answer the question?" And I mean, the
 2 media will want a better answer than, you
 3 know, "well, I'm concerned with the living
 4 than the"--you know, I mean, I just thought
 5 that was a point that would definitely need to
 6 be answered.

7 MR. BROWNE:
 8 Q. You wanted to weigh in on that perspective?

9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. Yes.

11 MR. BROWNE:
 12 Q. Okay, thank you. That's all the questions I
 13 have. Thank you, Mr. Hynes. I appreciate it.

14 THE COMMISSIONER:
 15 Q. Ms. O'Dea?

16 MS. O'DEA:
 17 Q. No questions, Commissioner.

18 THE COMMISSIONER:
 19 Q. Ms. Newbury?

20 MR. DARRELL HYNES, EXAMINATION BY MS. JENNIFER NEWBURY
 21 MS. NEWBURY:
 22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Hynes. Jennifer Newbury
 23 for the Canadian Cancer Society. I just have
 24 a couple of areas to cover with you today. It
 25 was your evidence yesterday that, with regard

Page 171

1 to what was happening in July of 2005, I
 2 understand, that the reality was once you
 3 started to get test results back and notifying
 4 people, that it was inevitable that the news
 5 about the ER/PR test would be out in the
 6 public. Did I understand your evidence?

7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. Yeah, that's based on that there had been a
 9 number of people contacted already when they
 10 met with us on July 21st of 2005, yes.

11 MS. NEWBURY:
 12 Q. And I believe the number at that point in time
 13 was 12 patients had been notified.

14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. That's what I noted, yeah.

16 MS. NEWBURY:
 17 Q. Okay, and was it your view then that it could
 18 public any day, as of July 21st, or any week
 19 or -

20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. Yes.

22 MS. NEWBURY:
 23 Q. - what sort of time frame were you looking at?

24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. I would have to say that's a safe statement.

Page 172

1 MS. NEWBURY:
 2 Q. Okay, and did the Minister and others in the
 3 Department of Health share this particular
 4 viewpoint?

5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. Well, yes. I think that's why the Minister
 7 was so concerned about getting out public
 8 notification immediately, because he knew
 9 that, you know, it was only a matter of time,
 10 I think, before it was raised publicly, either
 11 by a family member or someone who had gotten a
 12 letter or had gotten information that their
 13 test had changed and then, you know, if they
 14 went public or called their MHA or went to an
 15 open line show that it would be raised in a
 16 very public way.

17 MS. NEWBURY:
 18 Q. Okay. Was the Minister's concern to go public
 19 as soon as possible or was he focusing on
 20 notifying the patients before it went public?

21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. I think the Minister wanted to get it out
 23 there publicly, but certainly, I mean, you
 24 know, there was a certain respect for we
 25 should make sure the patients are notified

Page 173

1 first.

2 MS. NEWBURY:

3 Q. Right.

4 MR. HYNES:

5 A. In an appropriate and caring way, before we

6 make a broader public statement. I think, you

7 know, the view of the Minister was we owed it

8 to individual people to communicate with them

9 directly, and you know, I think that was

10 shared around the table.

11 MS. NEWBURY:

12 Q. Okay, by people from the Department of Health

13 or from both Department of Health and Eastern

14 Health?

15 MR. HYNES:

16 A. I think that was--well, I know that was

17 certainly the Department's view. I mean, the

18 Minister spoke for the Department. I think

19 that was a consensus.

20 MS. NEWBURY:

21 Q. Okay, and ultimately, it was the advice of

22 Eastern Health, and in particular the--I think

23 you had described it as medical advice or

24 professional advice that they would hold off

25 on the patient notification until they had

Page 174

1 something to tell the patients, and more

2 particularly, the retest results?

3 MR. HYNES:

4 A. Yes.

5 MS. NEWBURY:

6 Q. And the medical--so this you described as best

7 advice. So that was the advice of the

8 oncologists?

9 MR. HYNES:

10 A. That would have been after, you know, the

11 August meeting when the oncologists were at

12 the table, but certainly, and I think I

13 alluded to it earlier, at the July meeting,

14 George Tilley's role as a senior chief

15 executive officer with a very long and

16 distinguished career in Eastern Health of 30

17 plus years and Dr. Williams' career as a

18 former deputy minister of Health with 30- 40

19 plus years, I mean they're--when they spoke,

20 we certainly listened.

21 MS. NEWBURY:

22 Q. Okay. But on the July 21st meeting, were they

23 leaning towards holding off on notifying the

24 patients or were they just waiting to see what

25 they oncologists had to say?

Page 175

1 MR. HYNES:

2 A. Oh, I think the--well, the meeting ended

3 certainly we need to bring the oncologists on

4 board, and certainly, I think, there was a

5 realization that until they had a better

6 handle on the numbers, what years were

7 involved, what exactly we were dealing with,

8 and that's why, I think, they had a number of

9 internal processes under way at Eastern Health

10 to try to work through that.

11 MS. NEWBURY:

12 Q. So they wanted more information, not just

13 necessarily the retest results, but also more

14 information about the problem and the scope of

15 the problem?

16 MR. HYNES:

17 A. Yes, and I think that's reflected in Mr.

18 Tilley's e-mail to Mr. Abbott that was shared

19 with me on the 25th of July.

20 MS. NEWBURY:

21 Q. Do you know if the oncologists or others,

22 especially the medical people at Eastern

23 Health, have any experience with dealing with

24 the media and having issues going public and

25 whether they can control an issue going

Page 176

1 public?

2 MR. HYNES:

3 A. I missed the first part of your question, I

4 apologize.

5 MS. NEWBURY:

6 Q. Do you know if the oncologists or other

7 medical professional at Eastern Health would

8 have any experience with dealing with media

9 and dealing with issues going public or being

10 able to control an issue going public?

11 MR. HYNES:

12 A. Certainly, I mean, I don't know if anyone has

13 any control, but I mean, I know Dr. Laing was

14 quoted in a story in October, I believe, when

15 it broke and I assume that was Eastern

16 Health's internal recommendation to who they

17 would have as their spokesperson, whether it

18 be Mr. Tilley, Dr. Williams, and in this case

19 they chose the oncologist.

20 MS. NEWBURY:

21 Q. Okay. But I guess my question is this, you

22 have a viewpoint and others at the Department

23 of Health have a viewpoint that 12 people, at

24 least, know about a problem with ER/PR

25 testing. This can go public at any minute.

Page 177

1 Ultimately within that couple of week period
 2 of time, by August 15th, it was decided to
 3 defer to the advice of oncologists and not to
 4 notify patients until more information was
 5 available. My question is whether the
 6 oncologists would know, was it explained to
 7 them that you can't control when this goes
 8 public, so even in an ideal world if it's
 9 better to wait to have more information to go
 10 to patients with, that you can't control that?

11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. Yeah, I don't know what their background would
 13 be and what they would bring from an
 14 experience factor to that decision. But, I
 15 mean, I guess there's certain, I guess, mind
 16 sets around how you would publicly disclose a
 17 fairly large patient issue like this, so I
 18 assume they would have brought that to the
 19 table, and as well as the clinical part about
 20 the practicality of, I mean, they had
 21 practices and how logistically this would
 22 operate. Because, I mean, there was also some
 23 concern, too, that, I mean, if you made a mass
 24 statement, you could have, you know, fairly
 25 widespread concern and discourse and people

Page 178

1 would flood their--flood offices with
 2 inquiries and information and looking for
 3 clarification. And of course, all these
 4 doctors, you know, admittedly, are already
 5 quite busy now.

6 MS. NEWBURY:
 7 Q. Right. But there was, I think you had
 8 indicated that resources could have been made
 9 available by the Department of Health, if
 10 necessary, to deal with that end of it?

11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. Well, I mean, the offer was made by the
 13 minister a number of times because, you know,
 14 my experience in most things in Department of
 15 Health you could fix with enough resources.

16 MS. NEWBURY:
 17 Q. Sure.

18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. At least short-term. But in this case, you
 20 know, Mr. Tilley, I assume, felt he didn't
 21 need any additional resources or any--because,
 22 you know, we offered and he certainly felt he
 23 could manage it.

24 MS. NEWBURY:
 25 Q. I guess, you know, they might have experience

Page 179

1 in how to deal, interact with patients or
 2 public notification. But my question really
 3 is focusing on was it realistic for the
 4 oncologists to think they could actually have
 5 control over the timing of when this went
 6 public? Is that something that you think that
 7 the oncologists would have any experience
 8 dealing with?

9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. I don't know. I mean, you know, the one thing
 11 with this story, I don't know if anyone in
 12 country, except now in New Brunswick, would
 13 have any experience with how to deal with a
 14 massive issue involving this. So I don't know
 15 if that's a fair question to the oncologists,
 16 if they would have any--because, I mean, the
 17 scope and the depth of this issue was
 18 something that perhaps there's not another
 19 health authority in the country has ever gone
 20 through.

21 MS. NEWBURY:
 22 Q. But it's not so much the particular problem
 23 that I'm talking about, it's--I mean, you're
 24 coming here, you deal with, you know,
 25 political issues all the time, you deal with

Page 180

1 media all the time. You in your own heart, I
 2 think, had the impression that this can go
 3 public at any minute?

4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. Yes.

6 MS. NEWBURY:
 7 Q. You're used to dealing with that in your day-
 8 to-day life?

9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. Yes.

11 MS. NEWBURY:
 12 Q. I'm wondering whether you had relayed that or
 13 someone else from the Department of Health had
 14 relayed that particular viewpoint to the
 15 oncologists and said point blank, you know,
 16 ideally maybe you would rather that you had
 17 all the information to go to the patients,
 18 that you had the retest results, but you can't
 19 control this. Twelve people know about it,
 20 they're going to go public and the worse case
 21 scenario is that they hear about it in the
 22 media?

23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. Yeah. I certainly did not. And I can't,
 25 again, I don't specifically recall being at

1 the, you know, August 15th meeting -
 2 MS. NEWBURY:
 3 Q. I appreciate that.
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. - Ms. Newbury so, I mean, I don't know. I
 6 wouldn't be surprised if that was a topic of
 7 discussion, knowing some of the past
 8 discussions around this issue, but I can't
 9 confirm.
 10 MS. NEWBURY:
 11 Q. So you don't know, then, whether the
 12 oncologists fully understood the risk of
 13 holding off or postponing notification of the
 14 patients, in particular?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. I mean, you know, I can only surmise that that
 17 would have been a topic of significant
 18 discussion within Eastern Health.
 19 MS. NEWBURY:
 20 Q. But that was never, ever relayed to you?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. Not that--no, sir--no, ma'am.
 23 MS. NEWBURY:
 24 Q. Okay. And in hindsight do you think, looking
 25 back at it, because there was some time,

1 the fall, I mean, that process got away from
 2 us.
 3 MS. NEWBURY:
 4 Q. Right.
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. And ultimately, I mean, you know, these people
 7 weren't contacted in our way in an appropriate
 8 way, I guess, and then it went, as you say,
 9 went public in October.
 10 MS. NEWBURY:
 11 Q. Do you think if there was a better
 12 appreciation by Eastern Health as to the
 13 ability for things to leak out there and to go
 14 public and be picked up by the media, do you
 15 think if they had a better appreciation for
 16 that angle, that they might have been dealing
 17 with it in a bit more of an urgent fashion,
 18 particularly in terms of the patient
 19 notification?
 20 MR. HYNES:
 21 A. Yeah, I don't know if I'm comfortable
 22 commenting on what Eastern Health may or may
 23 not. I mean, all I can tell you is, I mean,
 24 we--it was certainly the minister's strong
 25 view that individual patient notification

1 August came and went, September came and went,
 2 and maybe you didn't know what was going on
 3 behind the scenes at Eastern Health, but in
 4 hindsight do you think Department of Health
 5 could have pushed this point a bit more, just
 6 to say, listen, if you're going to try to hold
 7 off on notifying the patients to get more, you
 8 know, results, you have to recognize that this
 9 is going to go public at any minute and, you
 10 know, govern yourself accordingly? Maybe they
 11 could have put a push on trying to get patient
 12 notification out?
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. Well, I mean, you know, we certainly, at least
 15 early on it was the view, and, you know, I
 16 believe sincerely Eastern Health believed
 17 this, that this could be done and turned
 18 around, this whole process to be turned around
 19 and the information could come back and people
 20 could be notified, the individual patient
 21 contacts could be done in fairly short order.
 22 MS. NEWBURY:
 23 Q. Um-hm.
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. And unfortunately as the months moved along in

1 would be done. Unfortunately, that process
 2 dragged on for some period of time and
 3 ultimately just, you know--and when the issue
 4 went public in October, I mean, I think, you
 5 know, the minister was relieved and I think we
 6 all were.
 7 MS. NEWBURY:
 8 Q. Right. And I think it was, I mean, you stated
 9 that you were relying upon the best advice of
 10 Eastern Health and, in particular, the medical
 11 professions. And it was your understanding
 12 that the minister, even though his reaction
 13 was to go public right away and to get the
 14 patient notification out there right away, but
 15 defer to the best advice of medical
 16 professionals. But would it not be the best
 17 advice of the Department of Health, if you
 18 were acting in an advisory capacity to Eastern
 19 Health, to say this is the world that we're
 20 operating in, you know, you're going to have
 21 to take that into account even though in an
 22 idea world you might want it to go forward in
 23 this manner, this is the world that we're
 24 operating in and you really should take that
 25 into account?

Page 185

1 MR. HYNES:
 2 A. I think I understand what you're trying to
 3 say. I mean, again, you know, the minister was
 4 quite strong in advocating and I think that's
 5 reflected in his, you know, his testimony and
 6 the notes of that file that, I mean, he wanted
 7 public disclosure done, wanted to get the
 8 information out. And you know, if the
 9 Department of Health should have, you know,
 10 taken more of a direct role or somehow ordered
 11 Eastern Health to go ahead, because in my
 12 view, especially early on, without full
 13 information from Eastern Health and, you know,
 14 cooperation, I don't know what you could go
 15 out and say, because again, you know, you have
 16 to remember that we weren't sure for periods
 17 of time what exactly the errors were, what
 18 exactly the tests were and, you know, could
 19 you go out and make a broad statement that we
 20 have a, you know, without knowing exactly what
 21 you're talking about. I don't know, I guess,
 22 and it's for others to decide if that would be
 23 a greater public service.
 24 MS. NEWBURY:
 25 Q. But how about that patient notification, I

Page 186

1 mean, obviously there's some--there is a group
 2 of patients that have had tests done that are
 3 now cause--you know, there's some reason to
 4 believe that they may not be accurate. How
 5 about at least notifying that group of
 6 patients, that they don't have to hear about
 7 it through the media when it goes public?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. Oh, and I think that's why the minister kept
 10 pushing that individual patient notification
 11 should have been, should have been, because
 12 again, some of these stories that people are
 13 getting the letters addressed to the wrong
 14 people and something all this time later, I
 15 mean, these are, you know, dreadful,
 16 heartbreaking stories that I think all of us
 17 would find unacceptable and just, you know,
 18 sad. I mean -
 19 MS. NEWBURY:
 20 Q. So you think then that the minister did, in
 21 fact, push this issue and it was Eastern
 22 Health's decision not to, I guess, follow the
 23 minister's advice in that regard -
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. You know, the -

Page 187

1 MS. NEWBURY:
 2 Q. - and rather to pursue the wrong approach?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. Yeah, I mean, the minister urged, and you
 5 know, quite strongly, in my view, and you
 6 know, his view is still that we should have
 7 gotten individual patient notifications out
 8 ASAP. And I remember discussions and I
 9 remember even seeing an e-mail, perhaps a part
 10 of testimony, back, up into September, that
 11 Moira Hennessey or someone in the department
 12 was still inquiring of Eastern Health about
 13 the status of the letters.
 14 MS. NEWBURY:
 15 Q. Um-hm.
 16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. And again, I'm not sure if that was because
 18 they were still trying to put together their
 19 database to identify these people or whatever.
 20 But, I mean, the minister's opinion in that
 21 never changed, so unfortunately the issue
 22 broke in October and the issue of the letters
 23 just never seemed to--it never got done.
 24 MS. NEWBURY:
 25 Q. Okay. So was it your understanding that

Page 188

1 behind the scenes, during the month of August,
 2 for example, Eastern Health was actually
 3 working towards sending that letter of
 4 notification to patients or did you rather
 5 think that they had decided to postpone that
 6 notification of patients?
 7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. No, I mean, I would think the letter was still
 9 being worked on, but the problem was you were
 10 going to do patient notifications first.
 11 MS. NEWBURY:
 12 Q. Um-hm.
 13 MR. HYNES:
 14 A. And the problem was is the, you know, the
 15 testing and retesting, getting results back
 16 just, you know, it went far longer, I guess.
 17 I mean, we were originally told it would
 18 probably be a couple of weeks and, you know, I
 19 mean, ultimately I think it took a year and a
 20 half to get done.
 21 MS. NEWBURY:
 22 Q. Okay. So it was getting the information about
 23 which patients to notify that you thought was
 24 delaying the process of patient -
 25 MR. HYNES:

Page 189

1 A. I'm not clear on that. I mean, you know,
 2 whether--I mean, obviously the samples never
 3 went away and got back quick enough, and that
 4 became such a point that, I mean, Mr. Tilley
 5 even at one point, I think, made a direct
 6 appeal to the director of the lab to try to
 7 see if there was any way to put pressure on to
 8 speed up things.

9 MS. NEWBURY:
 10 Q. But wasn't -

11 MR. HYNES:
 12 A. I mean, I don't--put it this way, I think--I
 13 don't recall the department ever ordering
 14 them, irregardless, I mean, you know, send out
 15 a letter because, again, in a very real way I
 16 don't think Eastern Health, and I believe I
 17 made this point at my deposition or whatever
 18 that I don't remember having a comfort level
 19 that Eastern Health could press a button and
 20 print out the 1000 to 2000 names of people
 21 they were talking about that were affected.

22 MS. NEWBURY:
 23 Q. Right. Now, a couple of points there. Number
 24 one, the letter that you're talking about, the
 25 delays in Mount Sinai testing and getting the

Page 190

1 samples done, that would explain a delay in
 2 notifying the patients about the result of
 3 their retesting. But I had understood from
 4 your evidence that the letter contemplated by
 5 the minister was to advise that retesting will
 6 take place, so you wouldn't have to wait until
 7 the samples had gone to Mount Sinai and come
 8 back?

9 MR. HYNES:
 10 A. The letter, I mean, was still in, very much in
 11 play certainly after the August meeting when
 12 we found out, you know, the oncologists
 13 recommended holding off. But, I mean, I think
 14 it just became a mute point after everything
 15 went public in October because it was out
 16 there and -

17 MS. NEWBURY:
 18 Q. So they were holding off, they were, from your
 19 perspective, suggesting that you hold off on
 20 any notification to patients, whether it's to
 21 advise of retesting or to advise -

22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. Until they had more information, yeah.

24 MS. NEWBURY:
 25 Q. Okay. And I guess my question is in

Page 191

1 hindsight, given that the Department of Health
 2 has a certain perspective, and I appreciate
 3 that you may not have felt that you could
 4 direct them to do it at that time, in
 5 hindsight do you think that the Department of
 6 Health could have offered its best advice from
 7 the political perspective that you cannot
 8 control when the media finds out about this
 9 and produces a story, because you said
 10 yourself that this could go public at any
 11 moment, any day after July 21st, was that not
 12 best advice that you could have offered from
 13 the Department of Health perspective to the
 14 oncologists just so that they know what
 15 they're dealing with?

16 MR. HYNES:
 17 A. I mean, Eastern Health have a number of
 18 professional people in house and I'm sure that
 19 would have certainly been the discussion
 20 internally and it would have been a discussion
 21 around the department that, I mean, the
 22 reality is time wasn't with us because as
 23 tests were going away and coming back and
 24 people were being notified, it was only a
 25 matter of time before it went public.

Page 192

1 MS. NEWBURY:
 2 Q. Sure. And you're not aware if that was
 3 specifically relayed to the Eastern Health
 4 decision makers by the Department of Health
 5 officials, that you're personally aware of?

6 MR. HYNES:
 7 A. No, not that I'm personally aware of, no.

8 MS. NEWBURY:
 9 Q. Okay.

10 COMMISSIONER:
 11 Q. Mr. Hynes, I'm not sure I understood one point
 12 raised in the question you just answered, and
 13 that was the nature of the letter contemplated
 14 by the minister. Did you agree with Ms.
 15 Newbury that the letter that the minister was
 16 contemplating would be one to those who had
 17 been tested to tell them there was going to be
 18 a retesting?

19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. My recollection, Madam Commissioner, was the
 21 letter, because again, we were operating under
 22 the premise that the tests would come back,
 23 the letter would essentially tell people the
 24 definitive, their definitive situation, you
 25 would tell them that there's been a change and

Page 193

1 here's the situation. Please contact, you
 2 know, Dr. X for -
 3 COMMISSIONER:
 4 Q. Okay.
 5 MS. NEWBURY:
 6 Q. So there would be no letter in advance to tell
 7 people that they would be retested, it would
 8 only be upon receipt of the results from Mount
 9 Sinai?
 10 MR. HYNES:
 11 A. That's my recollection.
 12 MS. NEWBURY:
 13 Q. Okay. And would it only be those patients who
 14 had a change in their results? Would there be
 15 any letter to patients whose results remained
 16 the same?
 17 MR. HYNES:
 18 A. No, because I don't know if there'd be a need
 19 for that. You know, if there was no change,
 20 why would you alarm people if they weren't
 21 affected, I guess?
 22 MS. NEWBURY:
 23 Q. Okay. At the November 23rd, 2006 meeting,
 24 briefing, there was some discussion. You had
 25 indicated in your evidence that John Abbott

Page 194

1 wanted an advance, I think it was an advance
 2 briefing for Mr. Dawe, for the Canadian Cancer
 3 Society?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. Yes.
 6 MS. NEWBURY:
 7 Q. Okay. And is the purpose of such an advance
 8 briefing for someone like Peter Dawe for the
 9 Canadian Cancer Society, is that just to
 10 provide information to the Canadian Cancer
 11 Society or is there any opportunity for
 12 information or input to be sought from Mr.
 13 Dawe on behalf of the Canadian Cancer Society?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. I'm not sure I understand. I mean, he would
 16 certainly, I guess, be free to ask questions.
 17 But I mean, his--you know, presumably the text
 18 is set and the format is set, so I mean, he
 19 was certainly free to ask questions and seek
 20 clarification. But I mean, by this point it
 21 would be -
 22 MS. NEWBURY:
 23 Q. It would be a done deal -
 24 MR. HYNES:
 25 A. - materially done.

Page 195

1 MS. NEWBURY:
 2 Q. Okay. I thought you had said this morning
 3 that you would go--as part of this debriefing,
 4 they would go to the Canadian Cancer Society
 5 for their views and reaction. Did I
 6 misunderstand what -
 7 MR. HYNES:
 8 A. No. It would be--well, I mean, what I meant
 9 by that, Ms. Newbury, was the media would
 10 certainly, I mean, I assume as soon as the
 11 press conference was over, they'd go right to
 12 Mr. Dawe, probably immediately, and say what
 13 is your view point, so it would be beneficial
 14 to have know ahead of time.
 15 MS. NEWBURY:
 16 Q. So that he can think about what his viewpoints
 17 -
 18 MR. HYNES:
 19 A. That's right. So he'd have some courtesy heads
 20 up to try to get his mind around this
 21 information and formulate, I guess, the
 22 Canadian Cancer Society's response and
 23 viewpoint on it at that time.
 24 MS. NEWBURY:
 25 Q. So you don't think that there would

Page 196

1 necessarily be any role, say, if something was
 2 confusing to Mr. Dawe for the Canadian Cancer
 3 Society or he didn't understand something that
 4 was being presented, would there be any role
 5 for Eastern Health to say, well, if he doesn't
 6 understand it, then, you know, maybe we ought
 7 to clarify this?
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. Well, he should certainly raise flags with
 10 them. I mean, if he couldn't, if he couldn't
 11 understand what was being presented and had
 12 significant concerns, maybe, you know, it
 13 might be too late, but I mean, they may have
 14 to rethink their strategy.
 15 MS. NEWBURY:
 16 Q. Or they can add something verbally, I guess?
 17 MR. HYNES:
 18 A. Or you can add clarification or some caveat,
 19 yeah.
 20 MS. NEWBURY:
 21 Q. And what if there was some sort of omissions
 22 from his point of view or questions that
 23 Eastern Health hadn't thought to address in
 24 their briefing?
 25 MR. HYNES:

1 A. That might be a harder thing because obviously
 2 it's ultimately Eastern Health's process,
 3 Eastern Health's information, so to have a
 4 third party, I guess, suggest or dictate to
 5 you what you should or should not have in your
 6 material might not, you know, be something
 7 that's going to take place.
 8 MS. NEWBURY:
 9 Q. Well, not to dictate, but just to give Eastern
 10 Health a heads up that, you know, this
 11 question might be posed at some point in time
 12 and for our benefit it's better that we know
 13 this in advance of the briefing.
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. Sure. And that's why I think the minister
 16 thought it was a good idea on November 23rd.
 17 MS. NEWBURY:
 18 Q. The minister or the deputy minister or both?
 19 MR. HYNES:
 20 A. I think the minister agreed, certainly.
 21 MS. NEWBURY:
 22 Q. Okay. And were you surprised by the reaction
 23 of, I guess, Eastern Health to the suggestion
 24 that he get an advance briefing?
 25 MR. HYNES:

1 Q. Okay.
 2 MR. HYNES:
 3 A. With me during my time, but I certainly
 4 remember.
 5 MS. NEWBURY:
 6 Q. And was this viewpoint shared by anyone at the
 7 Department of Health about -
 8 MR. HYNES:
 9 A. No, not that it was ever expressed to me.
 10 MS. NEWBURY:
 11 Q. Okay. And did you consider the, John
 12 Abbott's--was it a direction or a suggestion
 13 or a request that Mr. Dawe get the briefing in
 14 advance?
 15 MR. HYNES:
 16 A. Again, I don't know if the deputy could
 17 direct, but it was Mr. Abbott's certainly
 18 suggestion that he thought it was a good idea.
 19 And I think that was shared at least among the
 20 Department of Health officials because just on
 21 the nature of the information, Mr. Dawe would
 22 be keenly interested and would perhaps be the
 23 very first person the media and, you know,
 24 would want to go to to get his reaction to
 25 this information.

1 A. I can't say I was surprised, no.
 2 MS. NEWBURY:
 3 Q. No. And why is that?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. Because I believe I may have been copied or
 6 had some knowledge of the e-mails prior to
 7 that. One of them had Ms. Bonnell about some
 8 connotation about fool me once, this kind of
 9 thing, I remember seeing that earlier. But,
 10 you know, I certainly had some understanding
 11 that there was a strained relationship between
 12 Eastern Health and the Canadian Cancer
 13 Society.
 14 MS. NEWBURY:
 15 Q. Now, I thought that that e-mail came
 16 subsequently, but you had -
 17 MR. HYNES:
 18 A. And it might have, but, I mean, I certainly--
 19 it was understood by me.
 20 MS. NEWBURY:
 21 Q. Okay.
 22 MR. HYNES:
 23 A. Whether it was that e-mail or another e-mail
 24 that was shared to me.
 25 MS. NEWBURY:

1 MS. NEWBURY:
 2 Q. Okay. And is this a fairly standard approach
 3 to give special interest groups or
 4 stakeholders advance notice of a briefing?
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. Absolutely, seeing--I mean, we do it for--when
 7 I was in the Department of Finance we do it
 8 for budget day, I mean, you bring in your
 9 various individual stakeholders and give them
 10 a heads up or if you're announcing new
 11 legislation or new technical legislation, you
 12 know, for instance, when we did the mental
 13 health legislation, we brought in the various
 14 Canadian Mental Health group, various advocacy
 15 groups and walked through the legislation with
 16 them so they had a clear understanding of what
 17 we were doing and what we were announcing.
 18 MS. NEWBURY:
 19 Q. Okay. And when you left the meeting on the
 20 23rd of November, did you have any reason to
 21 believe that Mr. Dawe would not be getting
 22 that advance briefing?
 23 MR. HYNES:
 24 A. Except a hesitation expressed by Eastern
 25 Health, but I was never clearly told this is

Page 201

1 definitely not on.
 2 MS. NEWBURY:
 3 Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Hynes. Those are all
 4 the questions I have.
 5 MR. HYNES:
 6 A. Thank you.
 7 COMMISSIONER:
 8 Q. Mr. Crosbie?
 9 CROSBIE, Q.C.:
 10 Q. No questions, thank you.
 11 MR. PIKE:
 12 Q. No questions.
 13 COMMISSIONER:
 14 Q. Mr. Pike?
 15 MR. PIKE:
 16 Q. Commissioner, thank you.
 17 COMMISSIONER:
 18 Q. Mr. Pritchard?
 19 MR. DARRELL HYNES, EXAMINATION BY MR. ROLF PRITCHARD
 20 MR. PRITCHARD:
 21 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. Mr. Hynes, I want to
 22 take you back to a remark that you'd been
 23 asked about, your remark regarding Mr. Dawe,
 24 that you hated to admit it, but Peter Dawe has
 25 a point. When you said you hate to admit it,

Page 202

1 am I correct in assuming that's just a turn of
 2 phrase, it's completely innocuous?
 3 MR. HYNES:
 4 A. Yeah, I mean, it didn't mean nothing to me at
 5 the time or I don't remember having any
 6 definitive thought about it or -
 7 MR. PRITCHARD:
 8 Q. Sure. And you were questioned towards the end
 9 of your questioning by Ms. Chaytor, you were
 10 asked about the resumption of ER/PR testing at
 11 Eastern Health. Who made the decision to
 12 resume ER/PR testing at Eastern Health in
 13 February of 2007?
 14 MR. HYNES:
 15 A. It would have been, I guess, the senior,
 16 senior team of Eastern Health.
 17 MR. PRITCHARD:
 18 Q. Okay. And there was no decision made at the
 19 department, that you're aware of, to resume
 20 testing?
 21 MR. HYNES:
 22 A. No, that wouldn't be. An operational matter
 23 like that, it wouldn't be our decision to
 24 make.
 25 MR. PRITCHARD:

Page 203

1 Q. And going back now to 2005, are you aware of
 2 who made the original decision to stop ER/PR
 3 testing at the lab at Eastern Health?
 4 MR. HYNES:
 5 A. I understood, sir, we were told, so it would
 6 have been Eastern Health making the decision
 7 and simply informing us.
 8 MR. PRITCHARD:
 9 Q. Thank you, Mr. Hynes. Those are all my
 10 questions. I don't know if Ms. Chaytor has
 11 any more questions for you.
 12 COMMISSIONER:
 13 Q. Ms. Chaytor, do you have anything arising?
 14 CHAYTOR, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Nothing arising.
 16 COMMISSIONER:
 17 Q. Thank you. Mr. Hynes, thank you, very much
 18 for your contribution. We'll adjourn for the
 19 luncheon break and resume at 2 with the next
 20 witness. Thank you.
 21 (LUNCH BREAK)
 22 COMMISSIONER:
 23 Q. Please be seated. Mr. Coffey.
 24 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 25 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. The next witness is

Page 204

1 Rick Singleton, at least that's the name he
 2 goes by. I shouldn't presume that's his--go
 3 ahead.
 4 MR. RICHARD SINGLETON (SWORN) EXAMINATION BY BERNARD
 5 COFFEY, Q.C.
 6 REGISTRAR:
 7 Q. And would you please state and spell your
 8 complete name for the Commission?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. R-i-c-h-a-r-d, Richard, Singleton, S-i-n-g-l-
 11 e-t-o-n.
 12 REGISTRAR:
 13 Q. Thank you.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Commonly referred to as Rick?
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. Yes.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. You call yourself Rick, okay. If we could,
 20 please, Commissioner, I have some exhibits I'm
 21 going to ask be entered, please?
 22 COMMISSIONER:
 23 Q. Yes.
 24 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 25 Q. They are Exhibits P-1687 through 1694,

Page 205

1 inclusive. 1687 through 1694.
 2 COMMISSIONER:
 3 Q. All right, then. Entered.
 4 EXHIBITS P-1687 THROUGH P-1694, INCLUSIVE, ENTERED INTO
 5 EVIDENCE.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. If we could, please,
 8 Registrar, when you're ready, Exhibit P-1694?
 9 Mr. Singleton, I take it that this is your CV?
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Yeah.
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Okay. And this was provided to the
 14 Commission, I take it, relatively recently, so
 15 it's a current one?
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. Um-hm, yes, yeah, yeah.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Okay, sir, could you please give us an
 20 overview, a brief overview of your education
 21 and professional background?
 22 MR. SINGLETON:
 23 A. Okay. Well, in the university years, of
 24 course, Bachelor of Arts in Philosophy and
 25 Religious Studies, I did a Master's of

Page 206

1 Divinity in at Western in London, Ontario and
 2 did a Doctorate of Ministry program in
 3 Graduate Theological Foundation in Indiana.
 4 And I also did certificate programs and the
 5 like, diploma programs in Health
 6 Administration and also accomplished a
 7 certificate in Thanatology through the
 8 International Association of Death Education
 9 and Counselling. And so that's the -
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. That's your education. Before we pass off
 12 that, what is thanatology?
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. Thanatology is kind of a word to describe the
 15 area in the study of death-related matters.
 16 It's kind of the emerging discipline now, I
 17 suppose, that kind of brings together studies
 18 related to grief and bereavement, counselling
 19 related to death and dying and health care
 20 ethics, matters related to death and dying and
 21 those types of things. So it's kind of rooted
 22 in the Greek word for death.
 23 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 24 Q. And you're then work history?
 25 MR. SINGLETON:

Page 207

1 A. My work history, well, that's kind of a
 2 mixture, but anyway, I'll -
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. And overview?
 5 MR. SINGLETON:
 6 A. I guess my earliest kind of professional role
 7 was in ministry, I was a Roman Catholic Priest
 8 for about ten years. And subsequent to that I
 9 started work at the Janeway Hospital as
 10 Director of Pastoral Care. And well, right
 11 back from my university days I did studies in
 12 the area of ethics as well as the broader area
 13 of philosophy and then ethics within theology,
 14 as well. And in my time as a priest, I got
 15 involved in the area of health care ethics and
 16 so continued to kind of develop that area of
 17 interest, the study and what have you and kind
 18 of really facilitated my professional
 19 development and career when I left the
 20 priesthood. And when I started work at the
 21 Janeway, one of the areas that I was asked to
 22 take a lead role in was the development of
 23 ethics and ethics committees and so on within
 24 the Janeway structure.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 208

1 Q. When did you begin at the Janeway?
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. In 1988.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. Okay, sir. And you were there for how long?
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. I was, well, kind of as the system changed, I
 8 changed, as well, but I continued to be part
 9 of it. I moved from being the Director of
 10 Pastoral Care or Pastoral Care and Counselling
 11 it was called at the Janeway into the position
 12 of being Director of Pastoral Care in the
 13 Health Care Corporation. One of the roles
 14 that came about in that position was to take a
 15 kind of a lead role in the development of an
 16 ethics structure in the ethics programs and
 17 services for the Health Care Corporation. And
 18 then when the Health Care Corporation was
 19 folded into the new entity that we are now,
 20 Eastern Health, I became the Director of
 21 Pastoral Care and Ethics within Eastern
 22 Health. In that restructuring the role of--
 23 the place of ethics was identified with the
 24 department and that came about, I suppose, for
 25 practical reasons, largely because for my work

Page 209

1 and the work of others working with me as
 2 managers and in other roles in the department
 3 we were giving a lot of the lead role to the
 4 activities in the area of ethics, or at least
 5 the structural supports need to sustain it.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. I'm sorry, the structural supports to sustain
 8 what?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. Well, you know, the organizing of meetings and
 11 development of the ethics consultation process
 12 and those types of things that are needed.
 13 The ethics activities within Eastern Health
 14 are very interdisciplinary and very
 15 collaborative and so we engaged with people
 16 from all over the place, but what we found is
 17 that there was certainly a need to have--it
 18 was built into the structure so that there was
 19 someone, you know, accountable for the
 20 activities and to assist with the ethics
 21 education and to lead the ethics education and
 22 those types of matters.
 23 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 24 Q. So, I'm sorry, with the formation of Eastern
 25 Health you became, subsequent to the formation

Page 210

1 of Eastern Health you became the Director of -
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. Director of Pastoral Care and Ethics, yeah.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. And in that capacity whom did you report to?
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. Louise Jones while she was the COO for acute
 8 care.
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. And before that with the Health Care
 11 Corporation, you had been--what was your
 12 position?
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. Director of Pastoral Care.
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. Okay. Simpliciter, I take it, there was no
 17 "and ethics" at that time?
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. No. Yeah, yeah.
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Okay. And as the Director of Pastoral Care
 22 for the Health Care Corporation you had held
 23 that position since when?
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. Well, throughout the whole life of the Health

Page 211

1 Care Corporation.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. Okay.
 4 MR. SINGLETON:
 5 A. Whatever that was.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Back to the mid '90s, then, that would have
 8 been?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. Yeah, yeah.
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. And at that time you reported to whom?
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. Louise Jones.
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. In the same--she held the equivalent position
 17 -
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. Well she held -
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. - COO -
 22 MR. SINGLETON:
 23 A. Vice president for -
 24 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 25 Q. Acute care?

Page 212

1 MR. SINGLETON:
 2 A. - patient services -
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. Be acute -
 5 MR. SINGLETON:
 6 A. Yeah.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. - care? Okay. So could you tell us--I'm
 9 sorry, go ahead, sorry.
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. No, sorry.
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. I was about to interrupt you. Go ahead.
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. No, I was just going to say other ethics
 16 activities that I've been involved in are the
 17 area of research ethics that I've been quite
 18 involved because I'm on the board of one of
 19 the institutes of CIHR, the Canadian Institute
 20 for Health Research and through that I was
 21 designated or in a position called Ethics
 22 Designate. Each institute board has a person
 23 designated to take a lead role on ethics on
 24 behalf of that advisory board, so I have that
 25 position on the board that I am a member of,

Page 213

1 but as well as that then I am the chair of the
 2 committee of ethics designates for CIHR and
 3 I'm on the standing committee on ethics for
 4 CIHR, so.
 5 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 6 Q. And how long have you been involved with CIHR?
 7 MR. SINGLETON:
 8 A. Almost five years.
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. So that would be now going back to 2003, I
 11 take it, roughly?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Yeah, about that, yeah.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Okay. Now, sir, what, if anything, changed
 16 when your position went from being director of
 17 pastoral care to the director of pastoral care
 18 and ethics?
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Well, I guess we have, there are really three
 21 areas that I am involved in in addition to
 22 being the, you know, having the administrative
 23 role within the department, but the main areas
 24 of our service would be obviously the
 25 pastoral, spiritual care, supports,

Page 214

1 counselling, that type. We've take a very
 2 significant lead role within our system prior
 3 to Eastern Health, but continuing and we've
 4 developed it somewhat since Eastern Health was
 5 created in the area of grief and bereavement
 6 and some of that is because that was my own
 7 area of focus and concentration in my studies
 8 and research and what have you, at last for a
 9 large part of it. And then we've also been
 10 quite involved in the development of the
 11 ethics structures and programs and what have
 12 you.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. And -
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. So as we moved into Eastern Health, we
 17 continued on with a lot of the same types of
 18 services but we've certainly been growing
 19 them, we might say, expanding them into the
 20 areas that were not as well developed in those
 21 services. As Eastern Health, as you know,
 22 takes in the seven previous boards, but the
 23 geographical areas are the Avalon, Burin and
 24 Bonavista Peninsulas and some of the services
 25 that we offer wouldn't have been very much

Page 215

1 available outside of the former Health Care
 2 Corporation, certainly to a great extent not
 3 available very much in the rural portfolios
 4 that are part of Eastern Health now. And so
 5 one of the challenges, but also one of the
 6 really worthwhile opportunities we've had has
 7 been to kind of develop those services to
 8 greater and lesser degrees throughout the
 9 entire region.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. Now, and other than, I gather, that the "and
 12 ethics" was added.
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. Yes.
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. And I appreciate the--you're saying, well,
 17 Eastern Health, of course, covers a wider
 18 geography and even within the same geography
 19 different types of institutions?
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. Yeah.
 22 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Even within the St. John's region, than the
 24 Health Care Corporation used to?
 25 MR. SINGLETON:

Page 216

1 A. Yeah.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. With respect to the matter of ethics and acute
 4 care facilities, for example, within the St.
 5 John's region.
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. Right.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Has that really changed in the sense of the
 10 approach to ethics from the Health Care
 11 Corporation into Eastern Health?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Well, the ethics work that we do is within
 14 Eastern Health really has kind of three major
 15 roles. One would be ethics education.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Okay.
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. One is policy review and development. And the
 20 other would be clinical consultations. And so
 21 those are the three areas that typically, that
 22 we do as services and that's pretty common to
 23 most health care organizations. How they do
 24 it, of course, varies, you know, on their
 25 resources as well as other things. Since

Page 217

1 we've been, become part of Eastern Health
 2 we've continued to develop it and I think some
 3 of the service that we offer within Eastern
 4 Health have grown from and we have some kind
 5 of broader services in addition to what we had
 6 in the prior organization.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. So -
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. But generally speaking I would say, you know,
 11 we still do the same types of things in that
 12 we take the initiative to do a fair amount of
 13 education to, for staff and some community
 14 activities, as well. We sometimes are
 15 consulted about policies that are being
 16 developed because there's an ethics component
 17 and there's a desire to have some ethics
 18 analysis, we might say, ethics, you know,
 19 discussions in the policy development.
 20 Sometimes as a result of the ethics
 21 consultations that we have it's identified
 22 that there's a need to probably do education
 23 or there might be a need for the development
 24 of policy or review of current policies. And
 25 so those three roles are very interconnected

Page 218

1 with each other.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. And from an organizational perspective is
 4 there any relationship with Memorial
 5 University?
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. Yes.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. And how has that worked over the years?
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Okay. The ethicists that resource our service
 12 as ethicists employed by the medical school.
 13 We have Daryl Pullman is with us longest, Fern
 14 Brunger is an ethicist, Natalie Bandrauk is a
 15 intensivist, physician intensivist as well as
 16 an ethicist and Jennifer Flynn is an ethicist,
 17 as well, so all four of those are part of the
 18 medical school. And we have an arrangement
 19 between Eastern Health and the medical school,
 20 as we did with the Health Care Corporation
 21 prior to that. When we have cases, when we're
 22 working on policies, whatever undertakings we
 23 have, the ethicists provide that professional
 24 resource for us.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 219

1 Q. Okay. So that you--the Health Care
 2 Corporation as it then was, before 2005, and
 3 then more recently Eastern Health, as of now,
 4 even, they utilize the services of ethicists
 5 who are or have positions within Memorial
 6 University's medical school?
 7 MR. SINGLETON:
 8 A. Yeah, yeah. And there's a contractual
 9 arrangement.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. Contractual arrangement.
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Yeah, yeah.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Now -
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. And I would add that I know that they find and
 18 we find, as well, that it works very well
 19 because for us it provides us with the people
 20 who have the credentials and the competency to
 21 assist us in those discussions or whatever
 22 activities we're into, but it also provides
 23 them with the hands on, frontline clinical
 24 situations that enhances both their teaching
 25 and their writing and their academic pursuits.

Page 220

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. Now sir, I take it in relation to with
 3 Memorial University in that regard goes back
 4 for a decade or so?
 5 MR. SINGLETON:
 6 A. Oh yeah, yeah, that's right, back to the
 7 earliest days of the Health Care Corporation.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Now what is an intensivist?
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. A physician who specializes in providing care
 12 in the intensive care unit.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Okay, because you did describe one of the
 15 ethicists in question as that, and I just
 16 wanted to have that explained to the
 17 Commissioner. An ethicist, what is an
 18 ethicist?
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. This week we have about 200 of them in town.
 21 We have the annual meeting of Canadian
 22 Bioethics Society, so I'm afraid if they're
 23 watching now they'd probably be offended by my
 24 description, but they're generally people who
 25 come out of a discipline of one sort or

Page 221

1 another, it's one of the things about
 2 ethicists, some are philosophers who have
 3 specialized in the area of ethics and then
 4 bioethics. Some are lawyers or physicians or
 5 theologians, and they have kind of gone on to
 6 focus in the area of ethics and then
 7 bioethics. Some use the word ethicists. Some
 8 call those same people bioethicists and what
 9 have you. But generally speaking, what we're
 10 talking about are people who have an academic
 11 preparation and the credentials to participate
 12 in the discussion of the ethical matters
 13 relating to decision making, to policy
 14 development and to the understanding of the
 15 natures of the morality, we might say,
 16 pertaining to, in the case of bioethics, to
 17 matters pertaining to life.

18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Now what was--that leads to the next question
 20 I wanted to ask you, and I hesitate, but I'm
 21 going to do it anyway. Can you describe, in
 22 this context, what you understand ethics to
 23 mean and encompass?

24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. Well, I guess I can give a kind of formal and

Page 222

1 then probably a less formal description of it.
 2 I mean, generally, it's about processes of
 3 understanding and making decisions that are
 4 principle based with a rationale that gives,
 5 in most cases, and integration of values and
 6 other significant, probably self-defining
 7 features of individuals and of groups that
 8 lead them to make decisions and to anticipate
 9 directions and plan their lives.

10 I suppose in a more casual way, one of
 11 the challenges of describing ethics or living
 12 out ethics is to try and find that balance
 13 between doing the right thing and doing the
 14 thing right, and quite often that's the nature
 15 of debate in health care ethics and in other
 16 context as well, but in health care ethics,
 17 there are no doubt many directives of how
 18 things ought to be done, laws, rules,
 19 regulations, guidelines, standards, and
 20 procedures and policies and what have you that
 21 say how things ought to be done, but quite
 22 often, along with all of that, there may well
 23 be gut feelings of what ought to be done, and
 24 so there lies, I think, the tension or we
 25 might say the life blood of ethics. It's that

Page 223

1 sorting and that balancing and it's the
 2 dynamic really, I suppose, that we experience
 3 in being part of the activities of ethics with
 4 a health care organization.

5 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 6 Q. Now sir, you used the word, just before I
 7 asked you that question, you referred to
 8 morality. How does, in the context you used
 9 the word, how does that factor into this?

10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Well, it's a word that I used in the
 12 description, along with others, but it's a
 13 consideration in how the values that an
 14 individual has and where they come from,
 15 whether--and those things are influenced by
 16 many things, culture, context in many
 17 situations, beliefs and what have you, that
 18 lead one or groups to set standards for
 19 themselves, and sometimes set expectations of
 20 others as well.

21 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 22 Q. Sir, do you know whether or not Eastern--well,
 23 first of all, do you know whether or not the
 24 Health Care Corporation of St. John's had any
 25 policies concerning or dealing with when

Page 224

1 ethics or an ethics consultation might be
 2 required?

3 MR. SINGLETON:
 4 A. Yes, we had--well, I don't know if it's
 5 required, but we certainly had materials
 6 developed and we promoted it substantially to
 7 indicate when people could request an ethics
 8 consultation. Because it is a consultation,
 9 and that's--you know, that's a piece that we
 10 sometimes miss, and in fact, in our earliest
 11 days we used the word "review" for some reason
 12 or other, but we made a revision to it to call
 13 it a consultation, because in fact, that's
 14 what it was. I think one of the ways of
 15 coming to that discovery is that we did not
 16 want to be seen to be, nor expected to be,
 17 what sometimes people call the ethics police,
 18 where we would--I say we, or anyone would be
 19 going about kind of interrogating or, you
 20 know, monitoring the activities of others and
 21 kind of requiring them to account for what
 22 they are doing. There may well be activities
 23 of that sort that take place at times, but
 24 that wouldn't be the activity of the ethics
 25 service. So it is an ethics consultation

Page 225

1 where people, for one reason or another, in
 2 any position, can request to avail of the
 3 service.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. Okay, and that--so that the Commissioner
 6 understands it, the service that you, as the
 7 director, provide is upon request for a
 8 consultation?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. Yes.
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. You organize it?
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. Yes, yeah, and I or others would organize it.
 15 I'm not the only one. We have--it's a
 16 facilitated consultation. If you want to talk
 17 about the consultation service now, I'll give
 18 you a description of it.
 19 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Yes.
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. And so it is a facilitated service. In some
 23 organizations, and I suppose prior to the
 24 Health Care Corporation, what we tended to do
 25 locally and the other organizations that are

Page 226

1 now part of Eastern Health would do is that
 2 they--some of them had ethics committees where
 3 when matters came up, they were discussed by
 4 the ethics committees and, you know, handled
 5 that way. But generally speaking, the
 6 committees are not an efficient way to handle
 7 ethics consultations because there's no
 8 guarantee that the people who were on the
 9 committees would know very much about the
 10 matter at hand, either the overall issue or
 11 the specifics of the case, and my own
 12 observation on it is that most times, after
 13 the ethics committee would meet about
 14 something, the most they would be able to do
 15 is verify yeah, you have an ethics problem,
 16 but they wouldn't really have much of a
 17 solution or a recommendation or much to offer
 18 to it.
 19 So the more effective model for ethics
 20 consultation is to use what we call it, a
 21 facilitated model where we have people who
 22 have been recruited and trained somewhat in
 23 the area of ethics to be familiar with the
 24 language of ethics discussions, health care
 25 ethics and so on, and also they are people

Page 227

1 who, likely by their own training as
 2 professionals, but also we have--offer
 3 training in addition to that on the
 4 facilitation skills needed for the role of an
 5 ethics facilitator. So when a consult comes
 6 forward, whether it's brought to the secretary
 7 who handles the ethics consultations or
 8 brought to my office or to someone else who's
 9 involved in ethics, what happens usually on
 10 the front end of it is that someone takes on
 11 the role of being the facilitator for it to do
 12 a bit of fact finding about the case in order
 13 to determine who needs to be involved in the
 14 discussion and those kinds of things.
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. And at Eastern Health, who was the person who
 17 assigned, as it were, the request to any
 18 particular facilitator?
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Well, there are several ways by which consults
 21 come forward. On the pamphlets that we
 22 distribute, and I think Mr. Simmons made one
 23 available to you, there are several numbers
 24 given, but the office number, our office
 25 number, Pastoral Care and Ethics, is where a

Page 228

1 lot of them come, and a fair number of the
 2 consults do come forward to me, as the
 3 director of the department, and then I will
 4 ask one of the designated facilitators to take
 5 it, or I will handle it myself, whatever the
 6 case might be.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. Okay. So the clinical consultation process,
 9 which I take it is you're involved in really
 10 three things, ethics education, policy review
 11 and development and clinical consultation?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Well, ethics services, consultation is one of
 14 the services within that, yeah.
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. Could you--is there anything then you can add
 17 as to--well, perhaps you could just describe,
 18 perhaps, you get a request for an ethics
 19 consult.
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. Yeah.
 22 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 23 Q. And you decide to do it yourself.
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. Yeah.

Page 229

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:

2 Q. How do you go about it?

3 MR. SINGLETON:

4 A. Well, the first thing, we have a form that

5 was, I think, part of the document that I left

6 with Mr. Simmons, but it kind of lays out, you

7 know, some steps in the--or we kind of have

8 three phases to it. The first is kind of the

9 intake phase where the person makes the

10 request and then immediately someone follows

11 up to get some, you know, the facts of the

12 case you might say, and some of the facts are

13 who else needs to be involved and how soon a

14 turn around do you need on this, because those

15 things are really important, and one impacts

16 the other, as you can imagine.

17 If it's a situation where someone from

18 the intensive care unit calls and says we've

19 got a situation here, you know, and this is

20 what's happening, and that I say "well, when

21 do you need a--you know, how quick of a

22 turnaround do you need on it?" and they say

23 "well, we really need to have something by

24 tomorrow afternoon." Well, who is involved

25 then, in terms of participating in it, what I

Page 230

1 call the right mix of people, would probably

2 be a bit different than if they say "oh, gee,

3 anytime in the next couple of months." Well,

4 you know, that leaves you a lot more room to

5 kind of, you know, select people, arrange

6 things and so on. But with that said and

7 done, generally people are very cooperative of

8 meeting, especially in the real urgent cases,

9 because everyone who needs to be involved is

10 likely quite concerned about getting--you

11 know, gathering about it anyway.

12 So that's kind of the first phase of it,

13 is to sort out, you know, the logistics, we

14 might say. Part of the consideration then in

15 it is--in who that right mix of people is, is

16 what phase is this in the handling of the

17 case. If it's a situation where the request

18 is being made because the health care

19 providers are considering what options would

20 be appropriate to offer to the patient or to

21 the family, then the consult would likely

22 involve, you know, members of the team and

23 maybe other resource people, but to look at

24 the options and to rule out options that would

25 not be brought to the decision makers, we'll

Page 231

1 call them.

2 In other situations, the patient and the

3 family or you know, the like, may already be

4 involved in the discussions and that might be

5 part of the reason why an ethics consult is

6 requested, because there may be requests or

7 there might be options being considered or,

8 you know, tensions about the way things are

9 being handled, and so in those cases, the

10 right mix of people would need to include or

11 at least the family need to be offered the

12 option of--I say the family because quite

13 often, a patient might be in a condition where

14 they couldn't attend themselves, but it

15 basically means having the--giving them the

16 opportunity if they want to attend and to

17 participate.

18 So those are kind of some of the

19 considerations that happen and, you know,

20 that, as I call it, the right mix of people

21 consideration is impacted somewhat by the

22 time, but I would say more by the timing than

23 the time, because where it is and the kind of

24 communication dialogue process. What I'm

25 describing is, of course, situations that

Page 232

1 involve a particular case and a particular

2 issue and what have you.

3 COFFEY, Q.C.:

4 Q. Now if it involves more than one patient, the

5 ethics consult?

6 MR. SINGLETON:

7 A. We typically don't have ethics consults on

8 cases of that sort, at least on situations

9 that involve more than one patient, except the

10 one that you'll probably come to later on.

11 But other than that, they are typically about

12 a case. We do have consultation and

13 discussions about what I might call batches of

14 cases, but it's not quite the same then as it

15 is, because it's likely--that's usually mostly

16 about, you know, sorting out how to develop a

17 policy or, you know, adjust some activity and

18 so on.

19 But with that said and done now, actually

20 we have had a couple of incidents where we

21 did--that did involve more than one patient

22 and without giving very much detail on it,

23 I'll just make the mention that it involved

24 situations of--there were essentially a batch

25 of patients where--that involved some genetics

Page 233

1 testing and so on, and the same issue was
 2 present in all of the cases where, you know,
 3 the individual's decisions about what would be
 4 done with their information kind of differed
 5 from what the professionals felt the standard
 6 practice ought to be, and so we had an ethics
 7 consult and made some progress with that.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Now could you tell the Commissioner, please--
 10 well, I'm going to come back to this and take
 11 you through this particular matter, but what
 12 is the general expectation, in terms of what
 13 those consulted are supposed to do, and what
 14 are they supposed to produce and what usage is
 15 to be made of it, like the report, if there is
 16 to be a report? What's to be done?
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. Well, it's a consult.
 19 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Sure.
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. So generally speaking, within the--and this
 23 was interesting discussion we had when we were
 24 in the process of developing the ethics
 25 consultation service is that, you know, what

Page 234

1 is the status of an ethics consult and where
 2 should it be documented and those types of
 3 things, but anyway, after a fair amount of
 4 discussion and legal opinion and other matters
 5 pertaining to it, it would be handled like any
 6 other consult. That it's a request for the
 7 consultation is presented by someone connected
 8 to the case and the consultation happens and
 9 if there are specific recommendations then
 10 they are added to the health record in
 11 individual cases. That's what we're talking
 12 about--you know, that's what I'm speaking
 13 about at this moment. And then the people who
 14 asked for the consult are free to follow the
 15 recommendations or give them consideration and
 16 set it aside because they see a different or
 17 fuller picture.
 18 But like with any other consultation, I
 19 suppose if someone asks for an opinion and
 20 they get the opinion or recommendations and
 21 they set it aside, then they likely need to be
 22 aware that, you know, if you have to account
 23 for it later, then you know, be prepared to do
 24 that, and that's reasonable, and I think most
 25 do that. Generally speaking, in individual

Page 235

1 cases, the people who make the request attend
 2 the consult and they participate in the
 3 discussions. So when the recommendations are
 4 generated, their participation and involvement
 5 are part of the consensus that leads to the
 6 recommendation. Generally speaking, in
 7 individual cases, the recommendations are
 8 generated mainly by consensus amongst the
 9 people who participate.
 10 THE COMMISSIONER:
 11 Q. Are differences recorded in your report?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Pardon me?
 14 THE COMMISSIONER:
 15 Q. If there is no consensus, would it be
 16 reported--would it be recorded in your report?
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. Yes, or sometimes if there isn't a consensus,
 19 I mean, you know, the range of things that are
 20 considered would be there, but yes, but
 21 actually I don't really remember any situation
 22 where we didn't--where we weren't able to come
 23 to a consensus.
 24 THE COMMISSIONER:
 25 Q. Thank you.

Page 236

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. Now, and in relation to, at least your
 3 experience with the Health Care Corporation of
 4 St. John's and now Eastern Health, in your
 5 role as director, for consultations that you
 6 have arranged for, other than the one
 7 involving the genetics matter, which you just
 8 referred to, has there been any other
 9 consultation that you recall involving a group
 10 of patients? And you know, not just one or
 11 two patients, but mass.
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Well, the matter that -
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Yes, you just referred to.
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. Yeah, but the one that we're -
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. And this one here, ER/PR issue.
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. Right, yeah.
 22 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Anything else?
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. Ah, gee -

Page 237

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:

2 Q. And if they don't immediately come to mind,

3 fine, but I take it the point is to the

4 Commissioner, this was a rarity, that event.

5 MR. SINGLETON:

6 A. Yes, that's right, yeah.

7 COFFEY, Q.C.:

8 Q. That was my point.

9 MR. SINGLETON:

10 A. And, you know, generally speaking the ethics

11 consultation service is set up to kind of

12 respond to these individual cases where teams

13 and individual professions are somewhat

14 perplexed by what they, you know, what's on

15 the go.

16 COFFEY, Q.C.:

17 Q. Now in relation to the ER/PR matter, I'm going

18 to ask you about, get into that now, but

19 bearing in mind its novelty, as it were, in

20 terms of this, the sheer size of the number of

21 patients involved, was any thought given by

22 yourself, as the person who was initially

23 consulted about it, to going elsewhere and

24 asking around, as it were, in Canada or US or

25 Euro, because this is different in the normal

Page 238

1 run of the mill, one patient consult.

2 MR. SINGLETON:

3 A. Yeah, that's right, yeah.

4 COFFEY, Q.C.:

5 Q. Was there any thought given to doing any kind

6 of canvassing in that regard as to how we

7 might handle this?

8 MR. SINGLETON:

9 A. No, I mean, I'm sure you understand the issue

10 that we had a consult on and the only matter

11 was the notification to families where the--

12 when the reports came back it was discovered

13 the patients were dead.

14 COFFEY, Q.C.:

15 Q. Yes.

16 MR. SINGLETON:

17 A. Right, so, but no, to answer your question,

18 no.

19 COFFEY, Q.C.:

20 Q. Now can you tell the Commissioner what you

21 recall about this ER/PR matter, I'll ask you

22 first of all before being approached about the

23 consult, were you aware that the matter was or

24 what were you aware about the matter? What

25 did you know about it?

Page 239

1 MR. SINGLETON:

2 A. I'd have to say nothing, you know, or next to

3 nothing. I might have heard that there were

4 issues going on, you know, something about

5 breast cancers and it didn't stand out to me,

6 I remember the first conversation that I--when

7 I received a copy of a note from Dr. Cook to

8 Dr. Young and then he wrote some--Dr.

9 Williams, he wrote some notes on it and, you

10 know, referring to, requesting an ethics

11 consult and when I received it and I called

12 Dr. Cook about it, you know, I knew the ER/PR

13 was something to do with the lab results and

14 so on, but he kind of gave me a condensed

15 Cole's notes version of it.

16 COFFEY, Q.C.:

17 Q. Okay, so on that you get asked and as you've

18 pointed out, you were first asked to organize

19 a consultation about in relation to ER/PR and

20 notifying the families of the deceased about

21 results, was that about as much as you knew

22 about it, initially?

23 MR. SINGLETON:

24 A. Yeah.

25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 240

1 Q. And you contacted Dr. Cook.

2 MR. SINGLETON:

3 A. Yeah.

4 COFFEY, Q.C.:

5 Q. I suppose to even have him explain to you what

6 ER/PR meant?

7 MR. SINGLETON:

8 A. Yeah.

9 COFFEY, Q.C.:

10 Q. And put it in context.

11 MR. SINGLETON:

12 A. Yeah.

13 COFFEY, Q.C.:

14 Q. And I take it Dr. Cook did so. What did you

15 understand from Dr. Cook at the time? What

16 were you told by him, do you recall?

17 MR. SINGLETON:

18 A. Well, I guess I understood that there had been

19 at least complications with some testing with

20 this group of patients and that now there were

21 results coming back and really the focus of

22 our discussion and my attention to it was, you

23 know, where did the issue of notifying

24 families of deceased patients come into it, I

25 wasn't as focused on, you know, in the initial

Page 241

1 discussions or later, as far as that goes, but
 2 especially the initial discussions with the
 3 matters that caused all of this to come about
 4 as the fact that there was information about
 5 deceased patients and they had these health
 6 records in the lab that they knew they needed
 7 to do something with them, they couldn't just
 8 file it away and ignore it, they needed to
 9 consider what they would do with the
 10 information. So that was kind of what I was
 11 involved with.

12 COFFEY, Q.C.:

13 Q. If we could, please, exhibit P-1369. This is
 14 a letter of May 3rd, 2006. It's addressed to
 15 Dr. Williams, it's from Dr. Cook and he had
 16 written to Dr. Williams saying, "Currently we
 17 have documented ER/PR results from 17 deceased
 18 patients on whom we have received results from
 19 Mount Sinai and are currently in our hospital
 20 information system. These patients have not
 21 been signed out for release from the system.
 22 I would appreciate direction on how to proceed
 23 further with these cases. Sincerely yours,
 24 Donald Cook." So I take it that sort of a
 25 matter that, I appreciate it wasn't a sign

Page 242

1 out, it was the notification of the families
 2 was the issue for yourself, but I take it that
 3 Dr. Cook had something that he had to deal
 4 with.

5 MR. SINGLETON:

6 A. Yeah.

7 COFFEY, Q.C.:

8 Q. You understood from him to move off--to move
 9 ahead with.

10 MR. SINGLETON:

11 A. That's right, yes.

12 COFFEY, Q.C.:

13 Q. If we could, please, exhibit P-0778. And,
 14 sir, at the bottom of the page here, there's
 15 an e-mail from yourself, Friday, May 19th,
 16 2006 at 11:20 a.m., to a number of
 17 individuals, Dr. Williams, Louise Jones,
 18 Heather Predham, Dr. Kara Laing, Nash Denic
 19 and D. Pullman--and the D. Pullman in this
 20 context is?

21 MR. SINGLETON:

22 A. Daryl Pullman, the ethicist.

23 COFFEY, Q.C.:

24 Q. The ethicist at Memorial. And the subject is,
 25 "Ethics Consult Re: Disclosure of Info on

Page 243

1 Deceased Patients." And you've written, "Hi,
 2 I've been asked to organize an ethics consult
 3 to discuss the ethical issues regarding
 4 disclosure of information (ER/PR results from
 5 Mount Sinai) to families of deceased patients.
 6 When organizing an ethics consult, we need to
 7 get the right mix of people to have a
 8 discussion and generate reasonable
 9 recommendations. For this discussion we need
 10 Dr. Pullman, ethicist; Dr. Cook and Dr. Denic,
 11 lab; Dr. Laing, Cancer Program. We will
 12 recruit others as needed, but getting a time
 13 that works is usually the first challenge.
 14 How is Monday, May 29th at 10:00 a.m.? If
 15 this is not possible, how is June 13th or
 16 16th? I suspect we will need about 1.5 to 2
 17 hours for this case. Thanks, Rick." And
 18 you've copied--well I suspect the copying is--
 19 the copying subsequently is in relation to the
 20 larger e-mail which I'll come to in a moment
 21 or the one above. But, so you sent this off
 22 and we have here at the top of the page on the
 23 same date at 12:06 p.m., you sent an e-mail to
 24 yourself and a number of other individuals and
 25 you say "Hi, May 29th is not possible, so we

Page 244

1 are now looking at June 13th or 16th. How is
 2 June 13th at 2 p.m. Rick." So I take it this
 3 is the organizational phase.

4 MR. SINGLETON:

5 A. Oh yes, trying to get a time, yeah, it's
 6 usually the front end of it, like over the
 7 years my own experience on it is that there
 8 are some who need to be there that are usually
 9 difficult to get, you know, they have clinics,
 10 they have those kinds of things, so my first
 11 effort is usually to, you know, try and get
 12 the attending physicians or whatever
 13 physicians that need to be involved, their
 14 schedules are very full and try to fill it out
 15 from there.

16 COFFEY, Q.C.:

17 Q. If we could, please, exhibit P-0779.

18 MR. SINGLETON:

19 A. Another consideration in trying to put it
 20 together and we've mentioned this, sometimes
 21 there's some individuals who have to be there,
 22 in other cases it's an individual who may
 23 well, you know, have a colleague who would be
 24 as informed on the matter and so it's not as
 25 difficult, as is the case here, Dr. Laing, say

Page 245

1 for instance and Dr. McCarthy.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. And here, in fact, this will illustrate for
 4 the Commissioner your logistic's problem, the
 5 same day, May 19th, at 1:12 p.m. Dr. Laing
 6 responded to your earlier e-mail saying "I'm
 7 away in P.E.I for an ACOG Cancer Conference
 8 from June 13th to the 20th, '06." So getting
 9 everybody in one spot, I take it, can be
 10 problematic?
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Oh yeah, yeah.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Now, sir, can you tell the Commissioner,
 15 please, in the context of this sort of matter,
 16 I'm just looking at this e-mail, at the top of
 17 the page here, P-0779, page 1, did you
 18 anticipate at this point that you would
 19 actually participate in this, the actual
 20 consult itself?
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. Yeah, well by this time I had taken--I was
 23 taking the lead as facilitator on this one,
 24 yeah.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 246

1 Q. Is there any reason you got yourself involved
 2 in this particular one?
 3 MR. SINGLETON:
 4 A. Don't ask me if I had my time back what I
 5 would have done, but in the meantime, well I
 6 knew this was complicated and I'm the lead
 7 person in the department and we have the team
 8 of facilitators and, you know, I figured that
 9 I should--and I knew that eventually it would
 10 be, first round was try to see if you can get
 11 this during daytime hours, but I knew this
 12 would be an after-hours events and it wasn't,
 13 you like, it'd likely be a bit long and so I
 14 was willing to, you know, participate in it
 15 knowing that it would involve that type of
 16 time and commitment.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Now why is Dr. Williams notified here?
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Because he was the one who made the original
 21 note to me or asked his secretary to send it
 22 along. And that is part of what we lay out is
 23 in the routine is that whoever makes the
 24 request, is kept in the loop on it and gets
 25 the report at the end or the summary, whatever

Page 247

1 we'd call it.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. Ms. Jones, why would she be involved? Why
 4 would she be copied on the e-mail or involved
 5 at all?
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. I think she was--I'm not sure if--I don't
 8 actually remember now whether, I think what I
 9 received from Dr. Williams' office was just
 10 probably a, I'm not sure if it came to me
 11 electronically or in an envelope, but Louise
 12 is the VP that I reported to or the COO that I
 13 reported to and so that's why I have her in
 14 the loop.
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. At this time, May 19th, was it anticipated Ms.
 17 Jones would actually participate in the
 18 consultation?
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. No, she never did participate in any of the
 21 consults, I don't think. There might have
 22 been some meetings that we had on some policy
 23 matters and so on that she'd be involved with,
 24 but never on an ethics consult, no.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 248

1 Q. Heather Predham is named here, why was Heather
 2 Predham involved?
 3 MR. SINGLETON:
 4 A. Because when I was talking to Dr. Cook to kind
 5 of get the first round of information from
 6 him, I discovered this was, you know, had a
 7 history to it and that Heather was quite
 8 involved with it and so I contacted her, I had
 9 been involved with, you know, we've had other
 10 cases that Heather would have been involved
 11 with as well.
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. So she had been involved and then Dr. Cook
 14 told you that, but why would she be involved
 15 in the actual consult?
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. Because she would have had lots of information
 18 about it to help us kind of move forward and
 19 the whole issue of, you know, how we would
 20 handle the--or how, you know, the information
 21 pertaining to the communication and the
 22 legalities and so on that might be relevant to
 23 this stuff would be within her domain as in
 24 the risk management area.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 249

1 Q. So what was she going to bring, from your
 2 perspective at that time, May 19th, what would
 3 she bring to the table, as it were, to the
 4 group?
 5 MR. SINGLETON:
 6 A. A lot of this history of what had gone on and
 7 the scope of it and so on.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. And in her capacity as risk manager, she'd
 10 have some understanding, I gather, about the,
 11 you understood about the legal ramifications?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Yeah, well that there are laws that pertain to
 14 this stuff that we need to be aware of at the
 15 time.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Dr. Laing, why--and you've pointed out that
 18 Dr. McCarthy would be the equivalent here, but
 19 why were they -
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. Well they were the oncologists involved in
 22 the, you know, the care of the patients.
 23 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 24 Q. Okay, and Dr. Denic?
 25 MR. SINGLETON:

Page 250

1 A. Because he was director of the lab--by that
 2 time I think he was director of the lab, but
 3 Dr. Cook had been the director, so I guess
 4 there was an overlap--this issue overlapped
 5 them.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Now what--at this point I notice that, you get
 8 down at the bottom of the page here, the first
 9 e-mail, the one at 11:20 a.m., you've
 10 indicated "We need Dr. Pullman, Dr. Cook, Dr.
 11 Denic, Dr. Laing. We will recruit others as
 12 needed." So at that point in time, although
 13 Ms. Predham is--this e-mail is sent to her, I
 14 take it that as of that point, Ms. Predham had
 15 not been identified as one of those as needed?
 16 Not yet anyway, she wasn't in the list of
 17 people. "For this discussion we need Drs.
 18 Pullman, Cook, Denic and Laing."
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Yeah, I'm not sure why I would have listed her
 21 or not, but -
 22 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Your thought process, I'm just trying to give
 24 the Commissioner some sense of this, Dr.
 25 Pullman's an ethicist, I understand that,

Page 251

1 would you be considered an ethicist too?
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. No, no, others sometimes describe me that way
 4 but I make it clear that I'm not because it's
 5 not my primary discipline.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. And so Dr. Pullman would be the ethicist, Dr.
 8 Cook and Dr. Denic would be two
 9 representatives from the laboratory.
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Yes.
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. The former clinical chief and the current
 14 clinical chief.
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. Yeah.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. So they would certainly presumably bring the
 19 history with them.
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. Yeah.
 22 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 23 Q. And Dr. Laing would represent the treating
 24 physicians as it were?
 25 MR. SINGLETON:

Page 252

1 A. That's right.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. The oncologists.
 4 MR. SINGLETON:
 5 A. Yeah.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Now, sir, any particular reason you had two
 8 pathologists there?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. Well Dr. Cook had made the request to Dr.
 11 Williams and Dr. Denic was current chief and
 12 both were willing to attend.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Now, sir, what do you recall then about how
 15 this unfolded? I can assist you by bringing
 16 you to certain e-mails, if you like, but I'm
 17 just going to ask -
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. How the consult came about.
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Yes, like how it went on from here. We're up
 22 to, you're trying to set it up right now.
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Right, yeah. So getting a time to get the
 25 people that you needed to have there and the

Page 253

1 others who needed, you know, to kind of round
 2 out the table, we might say, involve making
 3 the contacts that we needed to make in order
 4 to make that happen. So once we eventually
 5 got a time and I think I probably had three or
 6 four stabs at it before we got a time, but I
 7 think it was maybe June 19th or somewhere
 8 around there at 5 in the evening that we set
 9 the time. So by that time, Dr. Denic and Dr.
 10 Cook were able to attend. Dr. Laing couldn't
 11 attend but Dr. McCarthy was available and
 12 willing to attend, so she did.

13 COFFEY, Q.C.:

14 Q. If we could, please, just on that point, P-
 15 1131. Now this is an e-mail, sir, from
 16 yourself, May 23rd, 2006, 8:39 a.m. to Dr.
 17 Laing in fact, the subject is "Ethics Consult
 18 Re: Disclosure of Info on Deceased Patients."
 19 And it says, "Hi, is there anyone from your
 20 service that may be able to attend this
 21 session. We need someone who can speak to the
 22 diagnosis and prognosis matters and what may
 23 have been done differently if the test results
 24 were accurate first time round. Thanks,
 25 Rick." So this is you being persistent and as

Page 254

1 you just pointed out, asking Dr. Laing for
 2 someone who could substitute for her.

3 MR. SINGLETON:

4 A. That's right, yeah.

5 COFFEY, Q.C.:

6 Q. And I'm sorry, I interrupted you, you were
 7 about to tell the Commissioner -

8 MR. SINGLETON:

9 A. So the same thing kind of happened with regard
 10 to Daryl Pullman wasn't available and what I
 11 did there and it's probably not in the
 12 tracking of the e-mails, but I would have sent
 13 a similar note to the other ethicists asking
 14 if either of them could be available for the
 15 time that we were trying to set it up, and I
 16 believe Natalie Bandrauk was available and
 17 willing to participate and she did. So along
 18 with that I had conversations with Heather
 19 Predham about her being available for it and
 20 as well, I asked about a lawyer available for
 21 the case and sometimes and this is one of the
 22 features that we've had up to relatively
 23 recently, I suppose within Eastern Health, is
 24 that in some ethics cases we would need a
 25 legal opinion or want a legal opinion as well

Page 255

1 as the discussion by the ethicists and the
 2 others who were involved, so occasionally the
 3 lawyers would be a part of that right mix of
 4 people. And what we would--and until recently
 5 it wasn't clear where we would recruit the
 6 lawyer from. There were several firms
 7 available to Eastern Health that we would
 8 sometimes, some were involved with different
 9 types of matters and so on and they had a
 10 history with the types of cases and so on, and
 11 in some cases it was the lawyer who was
 12 available through quality and risk management.
 13 And in some cases, quality and risk management
 14 would get the lawyer for us. Now,
 15 incidentally, we have an in-house legal
 16 service, so we go to the director of that
 17 service and she will decide if the matter will
 18 be handled internally or if she--if we need to
 19 go outside and what have you. So in that
 20 case, while we were having that discussion -

21 COFFEY, Q.C.:

22 Q. So this new policy, just so we don't omit to
 23 deal with it, this more recent policy, the one
 24 you've described, the current one -

25 MR. SINGLETON:

Page 256

1 A. The in-house service, yes, so at this time
 2 when this case was -

3 COFFEY, Q.C.:

4 Q. No, when did that begin?

5 MR. SINGLETON:

6 A. Oh, probably within, certainly within the last
 7 year or so, yes. So at that time we didn't
 8 have in-house legal service and I was having a
 9 discussion with Heather about her involvement
 10 and so on and she said that Dan Boone, the
 11 lawyer had been involved with the case and
 12 knew the ins and outs of it and that's why he
 13 became part of it because he was the lawyer
 14 available or willing to be available if we
 15 could get the right time and that in fact was
 16 a bit of the issue on it, but to me, it seemed
 17 not only reasonable, but good that a lawyer
 18 who is already informed about the history of
 19 the case and the complexities of it, would
 20 come to the discussion, rather than having to
 21 have someone pick it up from scratch and, you
 22 know, review it right from the beginning, as
 23 complicated as it was.

24 COFFEY, Q.C.:

25 Q. Now, Mr. Singleton, whose idea was it to get a

Page 257

1 lawyer involved?
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. That would have been mine at that point.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. And in the course of discussing it with Ms.
 6 Predham, she told you about Mr. Boone's
 7 involvement -
 8 MR. SINGLETON:
 9 A. That's right, well she was giving me the
 10 information about the history of the case and
 11 those kinds of things, she, you know, told me
 12 that Dan Boone was involved with it and those
 13 kinds of things.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Did she tell you the nature of his
 16 involvement?
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. No, well I would know from having worked in
 19 the organization that he represented many of
 20 the HIROC cases and the like.
 21 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 22 Q. Meaning that his role would be to do what?
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Well HIROC is the insurance agency, total
 25 liability factor is a large piece of it, but

Page 258

1 in the meantime, he had been part of
 2 discussions that we had in other ethics cases
 3 that he participated in, you know, he was
 4 there because of the connection through is
 5 role with HIROC that he was certainly a great
 6 resource in the discussions on some of the
 7 other cases.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Now, in his role with HIROC, you understood
 10 his role with HIROC to be what?
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Well to be legal counsel on the matter in that
 13 he would be helping with the--helping others
 14 to understand the laws related to the,
 15 whatever discussions would be coming about and
 16 to help the rest of us with the interpretation
 17 of the laws and to give advice on how to move
 18 forward with the interpretation of that law.
 19 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 20 Q. So you understood that the lawyer for Eastern
 21 Health's insurance company, who was defending
 22 them I take it by that point in time, were you
 23 aware that that were being sued?
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. No.

Page 259

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. You weren't aware of that?
 3 MR. SINGLETON:
 4 A. No, I didn't--that was not an issue to our
 5 ethics discussion, not an issue to me, it
 6 wasn't part of the discussion at all.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. So first nor last, leading up to the ethics
 9 consult, during the ethics consult, the report
 10 went out or the opinion went out, the
 11 consultation opinion went out, and you didn't
 12 realize that Mr. Boone was actually defending
 13 Eastern Health on behalf of HIROC or acting
 14 for HIROC and defending Eastern Health in a
 15 lawsuit, you didn't realize that?
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. No, no, I certainly wasn't part of and it
 18 certainly wasn't relevant to any
 19 considerations that I had.
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. So if you--did you understand that, look, if
 22 Eastern Health is being sued over this ER/PR
 23 matter that Mr. Boone is actually defending
 24 Eastern Health? Did you understand that at
 25 the time?

Page 260

1 MR. SINGLETON:
 2 A. Pardon me?
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. Did you understand--you didn't understand that
 5 at the time, in May and June of '06, you did
 6 not realize that Mr. Boone was actually the
 7 lawyer defending Eastern Health in claims by
 8 families, living or dead for that matter?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. No, I don't -
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. You weren't aware.
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. That's right, it was not an issue to me, I
 15 wasn't thinking about it or asking about it,
 16 nor do I ever remember being told about it.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Would it be relevant, do you think?
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. I'm not sure if it would have been, like just
 21 to kind of pitch it back to my thinking at the
 22 time, one of the concerns I had was that we
 23 have a lawyer available in the ethics consult
 24 who would be able to, to the extent that we
 25 needed it, give legal opinion on laws that

Page 261

1 might be relevant to the disclosure matters
 2 that we'll be discussing or to the health
 3 records or whatever the case might be, and,
 4 you know, I certainly didn't think about the
 5 issue of who represents whom in liability case
 6 at that time and whether or not it would have
 7 made a difference, you know, then or now.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Do you think now, if you came across a
 10 situation like this again, do you think now
 11 you would have asked a question about whether,
 12 you know, what if any of the lawyer's
 13 involvement, the nature of it is?
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. Well now we have an in-house legal service.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Well if they referred you to an outside
 18 lawyer, if your in-house lawyer referred you
 19 to an outside lawyer, would you want to know
 20 whether the lawyer who shows up or is going to
 21 show up, has any involvement in the actual
 22 matter and the nature of that involvement?
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Well probably as a result of all of this, I'd
 25 probably inquire, I'm not sure what the result

Page 262

1 of that would be, but I'd probably inquire,
 2 yeah.
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. So what did you understand about the nature
 5 then of Mr. Boone's involvement in this?
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. In the ethics consult?
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. No, in his prior involvement in this very
 10 matter?
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Well, I guess -
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. And how was he involved? Involved how? He
 15 knew about the background, so how was he
 16 involved?
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. How was he involved?
 19 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Yes, what was your understanding about how Mr.
 21 Boone was involved in this?
 22 MR. SINGLETON:
 23 A. Well at the time when I had the discussions
 24 with Heather Predham and she would have
 25 described to me that he was giving the legal

Page 263

1 advice, I'm not sure what terminology she
 2 used, but basically he was the lawyer who was,
 3 you know, handling the case or something to
 4 that effect, that was about as much--as far as
 5 I went with any analysis of what that meant,
 6 that basically he was informed with it and you
 7 know, that there would have been
 8 complications, obviously by the fact that this
 9 is, you know, all these issues are unfolding,
 10 so that's kind of as far as I went with it, I
 11 didn't think much about what the impact of,
 12 you know, what he would be pursuing or what his
 13 goals would have been.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. In organizing the people to participate in an
 16 ethics consult, do any considerations of
 17 potential conflicts of interest factor into
 18 that as to who participates and whether they
 19 have a conflict of interest in it?
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. Yeah, quite often you'll presume that there's
 22 a certain amount of conflict of interest
 23 because there are people there, sometimes
 24 there are family members there who have an
 25 interest, sometimes there are, you know,

Page 264

1 that's exactly why there is an ethics consult
 2 because there is a dispute that people are
 3 representing their interests of one sort of
 4 another and that's quite often, you know, what
 5 the heart of the matter is, is that people's
 6 interests are different from each other.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. How about the potentiality for a conflict of
 9 interest on the part of the professionals that
 10 are involved? Does that weigh into who is
 11 asked into the room and what consideration, if
 12 any, is given to their views?
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. To some extent I think, yeah, but it isn't
 15 something that we have really had much need to
 16 do a screening on, but I suppose to some
 17 extent, you know, it's part of it, I suppose
 18 by the selection of people normally and
 19 typically.
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. And so here, if we could just -
 22 MR. SINGLETON:
 23 A. Let me just say like some situations that
 24 we've had where conflict of interests have
 25 been quite relevant is where people have, you

Page 265

1 know, some personal connection or relationship
 2 and so on with the case at hand and so they
 3 obviously wouldn't be part of it for that
 4 reason.
 5 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 6 Q. Now here, sir, did it cross your mind that
 7 potentially some of the professionals in the
 8 room had a conflict of interest--or at least
 9 had an interest in this matter, did it cross
 10 your--did you know that at the time?
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. No, it really never crossed my mind, I can say
 13 quite definitively because the mindset that I
 14 had on it was that we would want a need to
 15 have someone there with a background as a
 16 lawyer training and then this individual, Dan
 17 Boone, had--was familiar with the case and
 18 kind of presumption that, you know, he would
 19 be giving us legal advice out of the scope of
 20 his own code of ethics, the professional code
 21 of ethics and so on and so that presumption
 22 was kind of there in the professional domain.
 23 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 24 Q. So from your perspective and I want to be fair
 25 here, so from your perspective as the

Page 266

1 organizer, you're relying upon Mr. Boone's own
 2 views of his role as a lawyer, his ethics as a
 3 lawyer and the professional physicians in the
 4 same way, if they shouldn't be there, you were
 5 relying upon them to excuse themselves, is
 6 that -
 7 MR. SINGLETON:
 8 A. Yeah, you could put it that way.
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. Is that a -
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Yeah, but in the meantime now, I had not
 13 highlighted for them, nor amongst others that
 14 we've had involved in ethics consults, you
 15 know, we haven't had them to declare a
 16 conflict of interest prior to participation,
 17 so that might be implicit to them by the
 18 invitation there that -
 19 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Now in relation to the people who would
 21 actually be in the room, I take it other than-
 22 -well Mr. Pullman, he didn't end up there,
 23 but--and I'm sorry, Ms. Bandrauk?
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. Bandrauk, Dr. Bandrauk.

Page 267

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. Bandrauk who did end up actually there, I take
 3 it?
 4 MR. SINGLETON:
 5 A. Yeah, that's right.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Other than you and her, ultimately, the two
 8 least informed people in the room were
 9 yourselves, the two of you, because all the
 10 others had some prior involvement in this.
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Yeah.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. In terms of who actually was there, there was
 15 doctors, pathologists, oncologists, Ms.
 16 Predham, Dan Boone.
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. Yeah, that's right and that's pretty typical
 19 to an ethics consult that that in fact, I
 20 suppose in some ways is what an ethics consult
 21 does, it brings together parties who have
 22 experience in the case and in the matter at
 23 hand and then part of the challenge in
 24 facilitating an ethics case and the discussion
 25 that is, you know, led to a great extent by

Page 268

1 the ethicist is the kind of what we might say
 2 put an ethics lens on the matters that others
 3 have already been engaged in.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. So it would be--and I take it, the ethicist
 6 and you as a facilitator, the ethicist would
 7 be relying upon those in the know, as it were,
 8 to -
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. Fill in the -
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. Let you know anything that you should know.
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. That's right, yes, that's kind of what it was,
 15 yes.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Is there any actual materials, written
 18 materials that you have before such a meeting
 19 that you, you know, the brief, as it were, the
 20 summary.
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. Well, you know, in these cases I'd probably
 23 collect some, a bit of background to it, you
 24 know, just -
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 269

1 Q. Well this particular one, did you have
 2 anything, kind of a summary before you went
 3 in, like a written summary that you could
 4 review to get some -
 5 MR. SINGLETON:
 6 A. No, no, that's part of what we do on the front
 7 end of an ethics consult is to have a, you
 8 know, an outline of the facts, we ask the
 9 people who are involved in the case to and to
 10 fill it in and to make sure that what we are
 11 getting here in the discussion are, in fact,
 12 the facts of the case.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. That's during the actual meeting itself.
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. Yes.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Okay, but there's not kind of a written thing
 19 that you have before -
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. No.
 22 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 23 Q. That you could read before.
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. No, no.

Page 270

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. Okay. Now if I could, I'm just going to take
 3 you through a couple of e-mails, P-1688
 4 please? Now this is an e-mail from yourself,
 5 May 30th, 2006, 3:43 p.m. to Denise Dunn, who
 6 I gather was the administrative assistant for
 7 Dr. Williams. Do I have that right, I think
 8 Denise Dunn worked for Dr. Williams?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. Yes, yeah.
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. "Hi Denise, the dates tentative set for the
 13 ethics discussion is June 13th, but now
 14 Heather Predham tells me the lawyer may not be
 15 able to attend, so it will probably be delayed
 16 a bit, but I'm not sure how soon this matter
 17 needs to be resolved. Louise advised me that
 18 she did not see the need for her and Bob to be
 19 involved unless the ethics discussion does not
 20 adequately resolve the matter." So in this
 21 context, adequately resolve the matter meant
 22 what?
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. That if we had our discussion and we didn't
 25 have, couldn't come up with recommendations

Page 271

1 that would give some direction on it, well
 2 then we'd report that back to them and I guess
 3 they would have to, as an administration,
 4 suggest the next step. It may involve ethics
 5 and it may not, I'm not sure where it would
 6 go.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. Okay, now P-1689 please? These are two e-
 9 mails, one of June 6th, 2006 at 4:32 p.m. from
 10 yourself to Heather Predham and Lorraine
 11 Woolgar. Who is Ms. Woolgar?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Heather Predham's secretary and the subject is
 14 "Ethics Case". "Hi, I was discussing the
 15 progress on the ethics, re: disclosure with
 16 Dr. Cook. We need to get this moved along
 17 within the next couple of weeks. After that it
 18 becomes almost impossible to get the people
 19 together. Would an evening meeting be a
 20 possibility? I know Dan Boone is hard to get
 21 due to his court schedule, perhaps evening
 22 would work. In the evening of June 19, 20 or
 23 21 would work for Dr. Cook and I. We will
 24 conscript from there. At a minimum we need
 25 lawyer, ethicists and pathologists." And then

Page 272

1 the next day at 9:36 p.m., Ms. Predham
 2 responds to yourself saying, "I finally got
 3 Dan on the phone, he wants to participate, so
 4 I forwarded the dates on to him, he'll confirm
 5 his availability and get back to us later
 6 today or in the a.m. As soon as I hear, I'll
 7 let you know." So I'm going to ask you now,
 8 was there any consideration given to using a
 9 lawyer other than Mr. Boone?
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Well I can't remember exactly, but just having
 12 re-read that, you know, I guess by that time I
 13 was concerned about getting the, you know,
 14 responding to the request that was made and
 15 that is that we have an ethics consult and so
 16 on my conversations with Heather, we had
 17 decided to, that Dan Boone would be the lawyer
 18 involved because he was involved, but by now I
 19 was probably thinking, you know, if he can't
 20 be available, we need to move forward with it
 21 and so, you might see there that I mentioned
 22 that we need a lawyer, ethicists and
 23 pathologists, so I was thinking about having
 24 someone from the profession -
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

1 Q. The legal profession.
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. Yes.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. So I take it on the 6th, late that afternoon
 6 when you pushed the matter, you were advised
 7 early the next morning that Mr. Boone would
 8 make himself available in the evening?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. Yes.
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. If we could please, exhibit P-0780? Now this
 13 is an e-mail from yourself, June 9th, 2006,
 14 9:47 a.m. to a number of individuals, of
 15 course you've sent it to yourself, I take it
 16 you sent it to yourself just to keep a record?
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. Well actually because when you hit reply all
 19 if you're -
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. You'd end up being -
 22 MR. SINGLETON:
 23 A. Yeah, that's right.
 24 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 25 Q. By Dr. Williams, Louise Jones, Ms. Predham,

1 was there on time and that was good because it
 2 is important when you are having those types
 3 of discussions that you have everyone
 4 available right from the beginning and that
 5 they stay with it until it's over, it really
 6 interferes with the discussions if people are
 7 in and out and leaving and so on. And as you
 8 can imagine, it's always a challenge in those
 9 types of sessions because many people are on
 10 call and they have, you know, things come up.
 11 But anyway, in that particular case, people
 12 were there on time and we got down to business
 13 right away and we began with kind of drawing
 14 out the facts of the case at least, you know,
 15 in descriptions that -
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Who provided those?
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. Well a lot of, on the front end of it, was
 20 provided by Dr. Denic and Dr. Cook in terms
 21 of, you know, the history to where this began
 22 to the extent that people had an understanding
 23 of that and -
 24 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 25 Q. What were you told about that?

1 Dr. Laing, Dr. Denic, Mr. Pullman, Dr. Denic
 2 again, Ms. Bandrauk and Dr. McCarthy. And you
 3 write, "Hi, we'll again have to reschedule our
 4 meeting to discuss the disclosure of
 5 information regarding deceased patients.
 6 We've had difficulty getting a time with the
 7 lawyer who has been handling the case. He is
 8 in court almost every day of this month, but
 9 we have now rescheduled to Monday, June 19th
 10 at 5 p.m. Mr. Dan Boone, the lawyer, will
 11 join us a bit late. The new time is Monday,
 12 June 19th at 5 p.m. in a particular room, the
 13 pastoral care and ethics office. We have
 14 cancelled the meeting previously scheduled for
 15 June 19th." So must have been one at another
 16 time of the day, I take it. Sir, what do you
 17 recall about the meeting? Did it go ahead
 18 first of all?
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Yeah, it went ahead at 5 on June 19th.
 21 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 22 Q. And how did it go?
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Well it was, it went as most of the ethics
 25 consults do, people arrived and we, everyone

1 MR. SINGLETON:
 2 A. Now I wouldn't remember all of the details,
 3 but I did have a few handwritten notes that
 4 you probably have, but what was happening
 5 there is there was some description of the
 6 history when it, you know, the time range from
 7 '97 to 2005 and then some numbers on the
 8 number of cases involved and those kinds of
 9 things were all kind of part of the discussion
 10 on the front end, to get the lay of the land
 11 kind of that we needed to move into the
 12 discussion about what is the--what are the
 13 ethical issues here and what are the, you
 14 know, what's the scope of discussion that we
 15 need to have on it.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. So if I could, please, Drs. Denic and Cook,
 18 the two pathologists, after everybody I take
 19 it sits down and kind of says hello and gets
 20 seated and get comfortable.
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. Okay, if you want all these details, people
 23 do, you know, we do the introductions and
 24 where you're from and in terms of what
 25 discipline you represent and what your role is

Page 277

1 within the organization or wherever.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. Now what do you recall about what Dr. Cook or
 4 Dr. Denic said about this matter? I
 5 appreciate it was '97 to '05 and there were a
 6 lot of people involved, they'd have the
 7 numbers or Ms. Predham would have the numbers,
 8 but what, if anything, did they say about what
 9 actually happened?
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Well, actually, that kind of was part of the
 12 listening that I was doing because one of the
 13 roles that I knew I would have, as the
 14 facilitator, is to try and bring this to some
 15 kind of a summary as we moved through the
 16 discussion, and you know, see what kind of
 17 consensus we have and where we go and that, I
 18 would be putting together the, you know,
 19 whatever summary report would be on.
 20 So I had been fairly involved, I suppose,
 21 with the development of the policies around
 22 disclosure that were already, you know,
 23 developed and so on, throughout the life of
 24 the Health Care Corporation, and they, as you
 25 know, I'm sure, were about individual cases

Page 278

1 and so on.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. That would be adverse event disclosure?
 4 MR. SINGLETON:
 5 A. Yeah, sorry, yeah, that type of stuff. So
 6 that's kind of what I was, you know, listening
 7 for in terms of, you know, what the history of
 8 this is, or at least that's part of what I was
 9 listening for in the history of it, was to the
 10 whole business of, you know, is there somebody
 11 who, you know, was making the same mistake
 12 over and over and you know, or was there a
 13 piece of equipment that, you know, was
 14 malfunctioning over and over, those kinds of
 15 concrete things that would be kind of typical
 16 to -
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. That's what you're listening for, did you -
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Yeah, you know, to some of the individual type
 21 of situations that we would have, you know,
 22 had consideration of when we were developing
 23 or working on policy with regard to disclosure
 24 of adverse events. This, when we were into--
 25 you know, and I don't remember the specifics

Page 279

1 of what Dr. Denic or Dr. Cook or anyone else
 2 kind of said that filled in the kind of
 3 history of it. But my sense of it, in the--
 4 you know, in our discussion and especially in
 5 putting together, you know, what is the reason
 6 behind all this, that this was--you know, it
 7 was big and it was systemic in that, you know,
 8 it was clear to me, and I don't have--I'm not
 9 a physician, I don't have a medical
 10 background, I'm not a scientist, and so all of
 11 the things that they were saying were factors
 12 and so on was really, you know, stuff that was
 13 not familiar to me, except to be able to
 14 surmise from it that this is a systemic
 15 problem and one problem was triggering another
 16 or bouncing off another and, you know, it was,
 17 as we all know by now, very complex.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Now sir, let me ask you, because I'm going to
 20 come to the report, but in the report, you say
 21 the following, quote: "there were no mistakes
 22 or technical errors at the root of this
 23 problem."
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. Yeah.

Page 280

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. End quote, okay?
 3 MR. SINGLETON:
 4 A. Yeah.
 5 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 6 Q. Did you, during that meeting, or did anyone
 7 else ask the physicians involved whether there
 8 were any mistakes or technical errors at the
 9 root of the problem?
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. I wouldn't remember the precision of that, but
 12 what I -
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Did you ask whether there were any mistakes at
 15 the root of the problem?
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. Not--I can't say I did or I didn't.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Did you ask whether there were any technical
 20 errors at the root of the problem?
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. I can't say if I asked, but my conclusion, as
 23 the facilitator, for what I--you know, what I
 24 gleaned from what was said is that, you know,
 25 it wasn't a matter--but, I have to say that

Page 281

1 what I was thinking of when I was writing that
 2 and what I was listening for was is there
 3 someone or something that it could be traced
 4 back to.
 5 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 6 Q. How about ones or things?
 7 MR. SINGLETON:
 8 A. Pardon me?
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. How about ones or things, more than one?
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Yeah, I mean -
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. In your world, what's magic about it being one
 15 person as opposed to three or four or five
 16 that you could actually point to? What
 17 difference did it make whether it is one?
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. I have hearing problems. I can't -
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Okay, I'm asking, what difference does it make
 22 that it is one? Why would you be concerned
 23 about whether there's one individual you could
 24 point to?
 25 MR. SINGLETON:

Page 282

1 A. Yes, it wouldn't be so relevant that it was
 2 one, but at least if it was clearly
 3 identifiable, you know, as being one or one
 4 group, as far as that goes. But my sense of
 5 it, at that time, is that this was broader
 6 based, systemic. That it wasn't, you know,
 7 that identifiable.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Did anyone ask those present, those in the
 10 know present, so did either--and yourself and
 11 Ms. Bandrauk would not--the ones not in the
 12 know, as it were, did you ask those in the
 13 know whether there were any investigations
 14 into what had happened and why it had
 15 happened?
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. No, you know, I didn't--I was hearing Dr.
 18 Denic and Dr. Cook give descriptions of what
 19 was happening and then others, especially
 20 Heather, was able to give information on
 21 numbers and, you know, what efforts had been
 22 made to contact patients and those kinds of
 23 things, and so I didn't cross-examine them
 24 about where they came up with that
 25 information.

Page 283

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. No.
 3 MR. SINGLETON:
 4 A. These were the people--these had been the
 5 former director and the current director of
 6 the lab, and so I would take it for granted
 7 they knew and understood what we were talking
 8 about.
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. P-0045, please. It's P-0046 actually. I
 11 apologize. And sir, this is a report of Dr.
 12 Banerjee, of the B.C. Cancer Agency, October
 13 17th 2005, actually addressed to Dr. Cook,
 14 okay, one of the gentlemen who was in the room
 15 with you that day, on June 19th, and if I
 16 could, I'm just going to--went too far, go
 17 back. Now here, this is in October of 2005.
 18 Well, actually here, I'll just go back one
 19 more. Dr. Banerjee, after reviewing what
 20 he'd--you know, what he was asked to do, he
 21 had advised Dr. Cook in October 2005, under
 22 review of cases, "I reviewed a number of cases
 23 from the retrospective testing set with Dr.
 24 Donald Cook. All of the cases that had
 25 converted from negative to positive by

Page 284

1 switching platforms had one or more of the
 2 following characteristics: 1. poor fixation;
 3 2. negative internal controls; 3. absent
 4 internal controls. It is apparent that too
 5 much reliance is being placed on external
 6 positive controls with no attention paid to
 7 internal controls."
 8 Later in the same report, under a heading
 9 that it reads "conclusions about the reasons
 10 for test failure: 1. is the DAKO system
 11 faulty?" and he says "this is unlikely" and
 12 goes on to say why. "2. Is the Ventana system
 13 too sensitive?" He says there's no evidence
 14 that that's so. "3. Is there a problem with
 15 tissue fixation? There appears to be
 16 inadequate attention paid by the grossing
 17 pathologists to the thickness of tissue
 18 slices, quality and adequacy of fixation, and
 19 there's no standardized fixation protocol that
 20 everyone adheres to." Now that day, did any
 21 of the physicians present advise you that an
 22 outside or that they had reason to believe,
 23 without advising who told them, they had
 24 reason to believe that tissue fixation was a
 25 problem and it related to, potentially, their

Page 285

1 work and their colleagues' work? Did they
 2 tell you that?
 3 MR. SINGLETON:
 4 A. If they did, I wasn't -
 5 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 6 Q. You didn't hear it?
 7 MR. SINGLETON:
 8 A. Didn't register with me.
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. Okay.
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. No.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Do you think if a physician in that room had
 15 said that "we have reason to believe that our
 16 own work, and perhaps the work of our
 17 colleagues, was deficient here, potentially
 18 deficient here" would you remember that?
 19 Because it would be relevant, I'm going to
 20 suggest, to -
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. I think I would, yeah. I mean, I made a few
 23 scratch notes and some of the stuff that I had
 24 there was, you know, stuff that probably
 25 others wouldn't jot down. There might be

Page 286

1 stuff that--but yeah, I think I would remember
 2 that, yeah.
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. And it goes on to say, "4. Inadequate or no
 5 attention is being paid by the reporting
 6 pathologist to the status of internal controls
 7 with inappropriately exclusive reliance on
 8 external positive controls." Do you recall
 9 being told that day, by any of those present,
 10 that at least there was perhaps reason to
 11 believe that inadequate or no attention had
 12 been paid by the pathologists to internal
 13 controls related to this test?
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. No, that wasn't--you know, I'm sure that
 16 wasn't.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Don't remember that coming up?
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Yeah.
 21 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 22 Q. Okay.
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. But in the meantime now, the scope of the
 25 discussion, direction that our discussion was

Page 287

1 taking was about the fact that there is
 2 information available and -
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. And I'll get to that in a moment. I just want
 5 to be--because you do make the statement, it's
 6 -
 7 MR. SIMMONS:
 8 Q. Madam Commissioner, I think Mr. Singleton
 9 should be allowed to at least finish his
 10 statement that he started to make there.
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. Okay.
 13 MR. SIMMONS:
 14 Q. (Inaudible) answer the questions.
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. Well, I'm going to--I will allow him to do it
 17 and I have no -
 18 MR. SIMMONS:
 19 Q. Well, you interrupted.
 20 THE COMMISSIONER:
 21 Q. Mr. Singleton, you were trying to give us a
 22 thought, so why don't you finish that thought.
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Yes, simply to say that the discussion, the
 25 direction of our discussion that day was

Page 288

1 really about the issues of communicating with
 2 families and what needs to be taken into
 3 consideration in communicating with families,
 4 that this information is available and may be
 5 available, and so that was the direction. We
 6 didn't, you know, spend much time on -
 7 THE COMMISSIONER:
 8 Q. Sorry, this information is available or may be
 9 available?
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. That the--you know, that the information about
 12 the tests have been done and there's results
 13 back and whether or not it would have--you
 14 know, did your mother or your wife or whatever
 15 the case might be who has died, we've had
 16 samples retested. These were the kinds of
 17 things that we were talking about that day,
 18 you know, that type of information is there,
 19 it's part of the person's health record and,
 20 you know, who should be informed or at least
 21 have the information offered to them. That's
 22 what we were--most of our discussion was
 23 about. It wasn't about the kind of history of
 24 how it all came about.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 289

1 Q. And I'll come to that, come back to that.

2 MR. SINGLETON:

3 A. Yeah, but I need to say though that because

4 our discussion came up in the--and this ethics

5 consult came about because as efforts were

6 made to contact patients, generally speaking,

7 following the, you know, guidelines around

8 disclosure of adverse events and so on, but

9 that it was because when efforts were made to

10 contact patients and discovered that some of

11 the people that testing had been done on had

12 already died, that that's where this issue

13 came from, and so we didn't--I suppose didn't

14 really need to get into the discussion of how

15 it all came about because already efforts were

16 being made to contact patients, but these

17 patients were dead. So that's kind of where,

18 you know, kind of in the history of where our

19 ethics consult kind of picked up, and that was

20 relevant to the discussion of, you know, what

21 this case is about.

22 THE COMMISSIONER:

23 Q. I'm sorry, are you saying that your ethics

24 consult arose because it was assumed that

25 everybody who had been retested was alive and

Page 290

1 when they started contacting people -

2 MR. SINGLETON:

3 A. That's right, that was part of the

4 information. That was part of kind of the

5 discussion that day, that it was only when

6 results started coming back from Mount Sinai

7 and, you know, a routine was set up to start

8 contacting some of the--start contacting

9 patients.

10 THE COMMISSIONER:

11 Q. Okay.

12 MR. SINGLETON:

13 A. That they discovered it, and I'm not sure if

14 they knew that some of the patients were dead

15 beforehand or not, but, you know, and we

16 didn't get into that discussion.

17 THE COMMISSIONER:

18 Q. So you're not sure whether they had known

19 before they started contacting patients -

20 MR. SINGLETON:

21 A. My sense of it was that -

22 THE COMMISSIONER:

23 Q. - that some of them had already died?

24 MR. SINGLETON:

25 A. - really, you know, I suppose, all this was

Page 291

1 happening and it was happening at a very busy

2 time and what have you, that really nobody had

3 anticipated some of the tests that we're

4 sending away may--when they come back and we

5 contact the patients that some of the--or we

6 make efforts to contact the patients, we may

7 discover that some of the patients are dead.

8 So that's kind of -

9 THE COMMISSIONER:

10 Q. Yes, but, I'm sorry, that's a couple of

11 different things. So I just want to make sure

12 I understand clearly what you understood.

13 MR. SINGLETON:

14 A. Yeah.

15 THE COMMISSIONER:

16 Q. Because in response to Mr. Coffey's question,

17 I understood you to be saying that the reason

18 that this consult was made was because when

19 those at Eastern Health who were starting to

20 contact patients with the results of tests

21 discovered that in fact some of these people

22 had already died in the process of consulting

23 or I'm sorry, in the process of contacting

24 people to give them the results of their tests

25 or retests, as opposed to a situation where

Page 292

1 they knew that some of their retests were on

2 patients who had already died and then they

3 were sort of saying "well, what do we do with

4 this information." Two different things.

5 MR. SINGLETON:

6 A. Yes. Okay, well the consult came because Dr.

7 Cook had, you know, some records in the lab

8 that they couldn't kind of complete, sign off,

9 as he called it, because the patients were

10 dead and this information was there and it

11 hadn't gone anywhere. But that situation came

12 about, to some extent, or at least related to

13 that is the fact that the batches of samples

14 that were sent for retesting, as I understood

15 it, were--included some people who, by the

16 time the results came back, at least, some

17 patients who were dead.

18 THE COMMISSIONER:

19 Q. Okay.

20 MR. SINGLETON:

21 A. And so then what do we--we have their records,

22 so what do we do with it?

23 THE COMMISSIONER:

24 Q. Okay. So was it your understanding they did

25 not intend to send the samples from those who

Page 293

1 had died, or did they not know any of them had
 2 died?
 3 MR. SINGLETON:
 4 A. My understanding is that they didn't--you
 5 know, that it hadn't been part of the culling
 6 out.
 7 THE COMMISSIONER:
 8 Q. Okay.
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. And I'm not sure if they had the means to even
 11 be able to separate the samples (inaudible -
 12 coughing)
 13 THE COMMISSIONER:
 14 Q. Mr. Coffey -
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. But you know, I guess a piece that I can speak
 17 to is that in the discussion that day, they
 18 knew that by the time the results came back
 19 and they started contacting people, and I
 20 think there were incidents where they--when
 21 they made the contact, they discovered at that
 22 point or when they were about to make the
 23 contact that the patient was dead.
 24 THE COMMISSIONER:
 25 Q. All right, thank you.

Page 294

1 MR. SINGLETON:
 2 A. So that's kind of where the--and that was very
 3 relevant in the discussion that day because,
 4 you know, Heather from Quality Department was
 5 describing some of the steps that they had put
 6 in place to, you know, prepare the people who
 7 were going to be making the calls to the
 8 patients and that, and then some of them
 9 discovered that the patients were dead.
 10 THE COMMISSIONER:
 11 Q. Mr. Coffey, it's about 3:30.
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Could I just one more e-mail, please,
 14 Commissioner?
 15 THE COMMISSIONER:
 16 Q. Sure, yes.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Exhibit P-0781, because I'm going to come back
 19 to the actual meeting, okay, but right now
 20 before we break.
 21 THE COMMISSIONER:
 22 Q. We usually have an afternoon break, Mr.
 23 Singleton. That's what--we usually have an
 24 afternoon break. I'm just telling Mr. Coffey
 25 it's about time.

Page 295

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. And this is an e-mail from yourself, Tuesday,
 3 June 20th, 2006, at 10:20 a.m. to Dr.
 4 Williams. You say "Hi, Bob. Yesterday we had
 5 the ethics consult on ER/PR. Very good
 6 discussion and outcome. I will forward the
 7 summary later. In the meantime, an issue
 8 can"--I'm sorry, I presume it should be "came
 9 up that I want to give you a heads up on. Dr.
 10 Denic had a document or report from an
 11 external reviewer of the lab processes,
 12 etcetera, here. He read from it and mentioned
 13 that he would use the report as part of
 14 information he was sharing with others. It
 15 seems the report or opinion had been done for
 16 Dan Boone and he did not want the information
 17 shared, as at this time, it is privileged.
 18 Dr. Denic understood from you that he was not
 19 to copy it, but Dan seemed to be a bit
 20 concerned that it was being quoted the expert
 21 being referred to. Dan's concern seems to be
 22 about the privileged status of the report
 23 which he may need in proceeding later on.
 24 Anyway, just thought you might want to know
 25 there was a bit of fuss about this." Signed

Page 296

1 Rick.
 2 Now just in the context here, "he read
 3 from it" which in the third line is Dr. Denic,
 4 I presume. Third line, end of the line.
 5 MR. SINGLETON:
 6 A. Yes, yeah.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. As Dr. Denic "read from it, and mentioned that
 9 he" that is Dr. Denic, "would use the report
 10 as part of information he was sharing with
 11 others," and in the next sentence, "it was
 12 done for Dan Boone and he did not want the
 13 information shared." That is, I take it, Mr.
 14 Boone didn't want it shared? That's who--I
 15 got the players right, do I?
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. Yeah, yeah.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Okay, and now sir, why was it that you would
 20 send this e-mail to Dr. Williams?
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. I hadn't remembered anything about that until
 23 you showed it here, but now I remember, yeah,
 24 there was a--I didn't know what was in the
 25 report, but simply because it was--Bob

Page 297

1 Williams had asked me to--or you know, I did
 2 the ethics consult, he had asked for an ethics
 3 consult. This came up as part of the
 4 discussion. There was an item talked about
 5 that wasn't--there was--I don't recollect--I
 6 don't know very much of the content of it. I
 7 don't remember any of the content of what was
 8 there, and I'm not sure if it's the report
 9 that you talked about earlier, but I remember,
 10 and it's only now that I remember it, that
 11 there was some discussion and difference of
 12 opinion between Dr. Denic and Dan Boone about
 13 what should be done and the status of that
 14 type of report, and that was the word, I
 15 remember now, that was used, that it was
 16 privileged, and that was--I never really--I
 17 don't think I thought of it since I sent the
 18 note to Bob Williams.
 19 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Why did you send a note to Bob Williams about
 21 it?
 22 MR. SINGLETON:
 23 A. Because he was the one who asked for the
 24 ethics consult. This came up in the
 25 discussion. The people who were there didn't

Page 298

1 want it to be part of--they didn't participate
 2 in the discussion of the content of it, but I
 3 felt that as a medical director that this was
 4 a matter that where a report had been--you
 5 know, was there and it was--I didn't know the
 6 content of it, but it was obviously content in
 7 it that would be relevant to this matter at
 8 hand, and so I felt Bob Williams should know
 9 about it, that there was a difference of
 10 opinion between two people who participated in
 11 the ethics consult about whether or not the
 12 content of this should be distributed or not.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Within the group at the meeting?
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. Or anywhere, as far as that goes.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Yes, but within the group at the meeting?
 19 "Dr. Denic," you said here, "had a document, a
 20 report, from an external reviewer of the lab
 21 process. He read from it." So I take it, at
 22 the meeting, Dr. Denic started to read from
 23 this report, whatever report it was.
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. Yeah.

Page 299

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. He started to read from it and Dan Boone got
 3 upset about it or objected?
 4 MR. SINGLETON:
 5 A. Must have been, yeah, yeah.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. But you said there was quite a fuss about it,
 8 so.
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. Yeah, okay.
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. I take it he did get upset about it?
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. Well, it had been a disagreement anyway, yeah.
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. Yes.
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. Yeah, yeah.
 19 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 20 Q. And he didn't want, that is, Mr. Boone did not
 21 want Dr. Denic to talk about this in front of
 22 the group and read from that report in front
 23 of the group?
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. That must have been. I don't remember. It

Page 300

1 wasn't, the report certainly wasn't read
 2 there.
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. I appreciate that. But he started to -
 5 MR. SINGLETON:
 6 A. Yeah, yeah, yeah.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. - and that caused the reaction from Mr. Boone?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. That's right, yeah, yeah, yeah.
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. And therefore those at the meeting, I take it,
 13 Dr. Denic didn't continue then, Dr. Denic -
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. That's right.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. - acceded to Mr. Boone's -
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. Yeah, yeah.
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. - request that he not read from it?
 22 MR. SINGLETON:
 23 A. Yeah.
 24 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 25 Q. I'm correct on that?

Page 301

1 MR. SINGLETON:
 2 A. Yeah.
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. And that having happened then those at the
 5 meeting didn't ever get apprised, those who
 6 didn't have the report did never get apprised
 7 of what was in the report? Is that correct?
 8 MR. SINGLETON:
 9 A. That's right, oh, yeah, yeah, yeah.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. Okay.
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. That's right, yeah, yeah.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. And why then would you bring that to Dr.
 16 Williams' attention, what difference would it
 17 make to Dr. Williams in this context?
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. Yeah, well, I don't know, but it wouldn't
 20 have--wouldn't be uncommon for me in a
 21 situation like that to say, because this issue
 22 is there and there's kind of a, you know, it's
 23 a--there's a disagreement, it's obviously not
 24 going to resolve anything now, so it wouldn't
 25 be uncommon for me to say I'll need to pass

Page 302

1 this on to Dr. Williams or somebody.
 2 Obviously he was, I think, well, he was
 3 certainly the person that I was--you know,
 4 that asked for the ethics consult and he
 5 would, you know, he was overseeing the whole
 6 service, obviously, had the authority to make
 7 a decision whether or not, within Eastern
 8 Health, at least, that type of information
 9 would be shared among people who were
 10 involved.
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. Did it ever, that subject matter ever come up
 13 again, did Dr. Williams ever come back to you
 14 or anybody else ever talk to you about that
 15 again?
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. No.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Okay. Thank you, Commissioner, we'll break.
 20 COMMISSIONER:
 21 Q. We'll take an afternoon break.
 22 (RECESS)
 23 COMMISSIONER:
 24 Q. Mr. Coffey?
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 303

1 Q. Thank you, Commissioner. Just before I
 2 continue, Could I ask that Exhibits P-1718 and
 3 1719 be entered, please?
 4 COMMISSIONER:
 5 Q. Entered.
 6 EXHIBIT P-1718 ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE.
 7 EXHIBIT P-1719 ENTERED INTO EVIDENCE.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. If we could just look at, please, P-1719?
 10 This is what sort of a document? It's there
 11 on the screen.
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. That's the pamphlet that we had in Health Care
 14 Corporation to describe the ethics
 15 consultation service.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Is there an equivalent now in Eastern Health?
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. Yeah.
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Okay. And, as well, if we could, please, P-
 22 1718? And I take it that, sir, this is the
 23 letter that you received from--1718 at page 1
 24 is the letter you received from, well, Dr.
 25 Cook via Dr. Williams?

Page 304

1 MR. SINGLETON:
 2 A. Right, yeah.
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. And, in fact, it is the May 3rd, 2006 letter
 5 from Donald Cook to Dr. Williams except that
 6 Dr. Williams has endorsed a request of you on
 7 it. Is that -
 8 MR. SINGLETON:
 9 A. Yes.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. To do the ethics consult. And on that point,
 12 here in the top right-hand side, I believe it
 13 says May 18th, 2006. "Denise, as discussed,"
 14 something "you arrange with ethics review.
 15 Thanks, Bob Williams." or "BW"?
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. Yes.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. And here toward the bottom of the page, whose
 20 handwriting is that?
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. It's mine.
 23 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 24 Q. Okay. I take it this would be the--some notes
 25 you made in speaking with Dr. Cook? Would

Page 305

1 that be -

2 MR. SINGLETON:

3 A. Yes, yeah, most likely, yeah, yeah, or--and,

4 you know, some stuff that I would have just

5 jotted down as I was preparing for and after,

6 yeah.

7 COFFEY, Q.C.:

8 Q. Yes, you have a phone number for Dr. Cook, I

9 believe, and overall and Nash Denic's number,

10 work number?

11 MR. SINGLETON:

12 A. Yeah.

13 COFFEY, Q.C.:

14 Q. And Kara Laing is referenced and Louise Jones,

15 Bob Williams and D. Pullman, so I take it it's

16 a kind of initial draft of who might be -

17 MR. SINGLETON:

18 A. That's right, yeah, yeah.

19 COFFEY, Q.C.:

20 Q. - involved?

21 MR. SINGLETON:

22 A. Yeah.

23 COFFEY, Q.C.:

24 Q. If we could, please, page 3 of this exhibit.

25 These, I take it, are your handwritten notes

Page 306

1 of the meeting of June 19th, 2006?

2 MR. SINGLETON:

3 A. Yes.

4 COFFEY, Q.C.:

5 Q. And sometimes, of course, sometimes

6 handwritten notes are legible and sometimes

7 they're not and sometimes you can misinterpret

8 what's there, so I'm just going to quickly run

9 down through them. You've got Joy McCarthy,

10 Don Cook, Heather Predham.

11 MR. SINGLETON:

12 A. Denic.

13 COFFEY, Q.C.:

14 Q. Denic, okay, I just wanted to be certain of

15 that. Denic.

16 MR. SINGLETON:

17 A. Just a scribble, "RS", my -

18 COFFEY, Q.C.:

19 Q. Yourself, yes. Dan Boone and Ms. Bandrauk?

20 MR. SINGLETON:

21 A. That's right.

22 COFFEY, Q.C.:

23 Q. And "ER/PR, new system of" something, "April,

24 2004"?

25 MR. SINGLETON:

Page 307

1 A. That's right, "diagnosed", yeah.

2 COFFEY, Q.C.:

3 Q. Oh, "New diagnostic system, April, 2004."

4 MR. SINGLETON:

5 A. Um.

6 COFFEY, Q.C.:

7 Q. "May, '97-August, 2005. 950 samples. 24

8 percent conversion. 73 percent."?

9 MR. SINGLETON:

10 A. Yeah.

11 COFFEY, Q.C.:

12 Q. What was--who gave the 24 percent conversion,

13 do you know where that came from?

14 MR. SINGLETON:

15 A. No, I don't know who. It was obviously

16 someone gave, you know, in the information

17 that we were--that was being brought forward,

18 you know, these are some of the numbers.

19 COFFEY, Q.C.:

20 Q. And there's a reference here to "Initial

21 testing, retesting of deceased, 174."? See

22 that?

23 MR. SINGLETON:

24 A. Yeah.

25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 308

1 Q. I have that correct, do I?

2 MR. SINGLETON:

3 A. Yeah, yeah, you have what's written there

4 correct, yeah.

5 COFFEY, Q.C.:

6 Q. And what is this here out to the right-hand

7 side?

8 MR. SINGLETON:

9 A. In the bracket kind of thing?

10 COFFEY, Q.C.:

11 Q. Yes.

12 MR. SINGLETON:

13 A. Okay. These were kind of notes that I was

14 making for myself as, you know, we started to

15 get into the discussion of the issue of

16 contacting families and, you know, the duty to

17 inform or the right to know, the right to

18 access information, just a couple of notes

19 that I made for myself that from listening to

20 the discussion as we got into it, points that

21 I wanted to make sure that we captured and

22 that we had adequate discussion on were three,

23 up to that point, at least. One was the

24 concept of negative right, the second was a

25 question of who has access.

Page 309

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:

2 Q. Um-hm.

3 MR. SINGLETON:

4 A. And the third I put there is

5 "avoidable/unavoidable".

6 COFFEY, Q.C.:

7 Q. Yes. And I'll be coming to that in the

8 context of the report. I just want to try and

9 make sure that I got the -

10 MR. SINGLETON:

11 A. The words.

12 COFFEY, Q.C.:

13 Q. - interpretation of what's written here

14 correct.

15 MR. SINGLETON:

16 A. Yeah.

17 COFFEY, Q.C.:

18 Q. And then I take it there's reference to

19 "Medical and" -

20 MR. SINGLETON:

21 A. "Radiological".

22 COFFEY, Q.C.:

23 Q. "Radiological."

24 MR. SINGLETON:

25 A. Yeah.

Page 310

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:

2 Q. And -

3 MR. SINGLETON:

4 A. And that was, it's just comments that had been

5 made by the oncologists about, you know,

6 treatment and what have you.

7 COFFEY, Q.C.:

8 Q. And there's a reference "Post-menopausal, 47

9 percent reduction in death rate."

10 MR. SINGLETON:

11 A. Um-hm.

12 COFFEY, Q.C.:

13 Q. "Treatment plan."

14 MR. SINGLETON:

15 A. Yeah.

16 COFFEY, Q.C.:

17 Q. That's there?

18 MR. SINGLETON:

19 A. Um-hm.

20 COFFEY, Q.C.:

21 Q. And then there's "Info, data based on

22 probability"?

23 MR. SINGLETON:

24 A. "Probability", yeah.

25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 311

1 Q. And then out here to the right-hand side, "174

2 patient deceased, 101 tested, 17 tested

3 positive."?

4 MR. SINGLETON:

5 A. Right.

6 COFFEY, Q.C.:

7 Q. And then there's, below there's--what is that?

8 MR. SINGLETON:

9 A. "Recommendation", "rec:" but intended to be

10 "recommendation."

11 COFFEY, Q.C.:

12 Q. Okay, "recommendation." And "Negative right.

13 Who discloses?"

14 MR. SINGLETON:

15 A. Yeah.

16 COFFEY, Q.C.:

17 Q. And what is this?

18 MR. SINGLETON:

19 A. "Pecking order of advance health care

20 directive."

21 COFFEY, Q.C.:

22 Q. Okay.

23 MR. SINGLETON:

24 A. Do you want an explanation of that now?

25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 312

1 Q. If you would, please?

2 MR. SINGLETON:

3 A. Basically what we were coming to is that, you

4 know, who has a right to information and could

5 it be just anyone come forward and request

6 information from, on a deceased or from their

7 health record or would there be some sensible

8 and established process to use. And my

9 suggestion on it would be that we basically

10 follow the pecking order, to call it that, in

11 the Advanced Health Care Directive Act, that

12 begins with the spouse and children and, you

13 know.

14 COFFEY, Q.C.:

15 Q. Okay.

16 MR. SINGLETON:

17 A. Yeah, Section 10 of the Advanced Health Care

18 Directive.

19 COFFEY, Q.C.:

20 Q. In terms of determining who's, in effect, the

21 next of kin for those purposes?

22 MR. SINGLETON:

23 A. Yes, yeah, that's right.

24 COFFEY, Q.C.:

25 Q. Okay. And then there's below that "Written

Page 313

1 request" I take it?
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. That's right, that the people wanted
 4 information, that it would have be written and
 5 that's basically to establish that they, in
 6 fact, wanted the information and that they
 7 would, you know, that we'd be following the
 8 process or the pecking order, we might say.
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. Okay. If we could, please, the next page,
 11 because you did have--you went onto the second
 12 page. Could you tell us what this says?
 13 There's an arrow and then it says -
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. Okay. "No clinical advantage to testing".
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Yes, sir.
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. Yeah, okay.
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. Okay.
 22 MR. SINGLETON:
 23 A. Part of the discussion there was about the,
 24 whether or not there would be a need to pursue
 25 testing of all samples that were available if

Page 314

1 people were deceased or not. And so one of
 2 the points was that, you know, there's no
 3 clinical advantage to testing on people who
 4 are dead, but there is a--there are other
 5 issues and reasons to do it and so on. But
 6 that was part of the consideration.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. And the second entry, I take it, is
 9 "Information is available."
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Yes.
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Which is the information concerning result, I
 14 take it -
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. Yes.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. - whether the result was a changed result or
 19 not, that was the information that was
 20 available?
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. Yes, yeah.
 23 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 24 Q. At that point did you understand there was any
 25 other information available other than the

Page 315

1 actual result from Mount Sinai?
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. No, no. And I need say, you know, like,
 4 basically I think our discuss there is that it
 5 was basically, or my kind of thinking on it
 6 was that there's relevant information
 7 available, regardless of what it is, you know.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. And in this context, now, I want to ask you
 10 about is, had you understood that there was a
 11 kind of a review panel that had been involved
 12 for the living's result, the results of the
 13 living, results of the changes, that there had
 14 been a group of physicians involved in getting
 15 recommendations for treatment, did you realize
 16 that that had gone on for the living patients?
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. Like, I wouldn't have known it outside of that
 19 discussion. And it was just so much, you
 20 know, that evening -
 21 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 22 Q. And -
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. - I'm not sure if the issue of panelling, if

Page 316

1 that's when I heard it or if I heard it later
 2 than that, you know.
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. Okay. And so the idea, for example, having
 5 such a panelling group review the results for
 6 the deceased, that didn't come up, I take it,
 7 at this meeting?
 8 MR. SINGLETON:
 9 A. No.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. Okay. If we could, then, the next entry, the
 12 third entry is "Negative right" -
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. Yes. Actually, I suppose, it kind of did in a
 15 way implicitly in that we had some discussions
 16 about whether or not you would able to be--in
 17 fact, I think I probably writed (sic.) there
 18 something to the effect that, you know, that
 19 there's a limit to the information of whether
 20 or not something could make a difference,
 21 whether or not something would have made a
 22 difference.
 23 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 24 Q. Okay. Yeah, and that's -
 25 MR. SINGLETON:

Page 317

1 A. Because -
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. That's the entry out here to the right, just
 4 so the Commissioner can follow it. So this
 5 says, "Limit to info re what difference it"--
 6 could you just?
 7 MR. SINGLETON:
 8 A. Yeah. I think part of a word is cut off
 9 there.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. Yes. Do you have the original there?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. It would have--okay, yeah. "Limit to the
 14 information re," maybe I can read it there
 15 from the paper copy.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Sure.
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. Myself. "Re what difference it" perhaps
 20 "would", I not sure, part of the word is cut
 21 off on the photocopy, "have made on"
 22 something. I'm not sure what the last word
 23 is.
 24 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 25 Q. Okay. And that, in that context then, the

Page 318

1 idea of whether or not the different result,
 2 if known earlier, could have made the
 3 difference to the outcome for the patient?
 4 MR. SINGLETON:
 5 A. Yeah, that's right. And, you know, that was
 6 part of our discussion, as you know, could you
 7 answer with certainty to anyone whether or not
 8 somebody would still be alive if things had
 9 been done differently.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. And then the next entry here, what does that
 12 say?
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. "Negative right."
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. Oh, yes, the third entry. But no, right here.
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. Okay. "Respect next of kin. Advanced Health
 19 Care Directive, writing" basically kind of by
 20 there I was kind of templating out what I
 21 would be saying in the--you know, because, I
 22 guess, I was jotting these things as we were
 23 discussing and as then I was getting to the
 24 point of bringing together the consensus of
 25 what we would be, you know, what would be

Page 319

1 going into the report and most especially the
 2 recommendations. And that's typically what we
 3 do in an ethics consult is that towards the
 4 end of it the facilitator will try to draw it
 5 together so that, you know, we're clear on
 6 what we would be recommending to whomever it
 7 is who's made the request.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Here is, what's this entry here?
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. The sentence you're underlining there?
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Yes.
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. "Appropriate person to disclose is case
 16 contingent."
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Okay. And what -
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. And that meaning not to disclose to but who
 21 should disclose. Part of the discussion
 22 there, as well, though we didn't go into a
 23 long ways, is, in fact, for families who
 24 request information about a person who is
 25 dead, then who should give the information.

Page 320

1 And the, you know, within the kind of spirit
 2 of what we had established in the policy that
 3 we had put in place about--that I'd done a
 4 fair bit of work on, on the Advance Health
 5 Care--or on the adverse events stuff, that we--
 6 it's important that the person who discloses
 7 the information be able to answer questions
 8 and so on, so that was part of what we were
 9 talking about there. One of the issues that
 10 came up in the discussion was whether or not
 11 it should be the oncologists or others or a
 12 nurse or what have you. And part of the
 13 discussion there, I remember, as well, is that
 14 there was some concern expressed by Dr.
 15 McCarthy and I think she expressed it on
 16 behalf of the oncology group that, you know,
 17 they didn't see themselves as being the kind
 18 of root of this problem and with the case
 19 loads and so on that they didn't see
 20 themselves as having that role. And I
 21 remember distinctly in the discussion saying,
 22 well, that's a matter that Dr. Williams will
 23 sort out of who will follow up to make sure
 24 that whoever is going to give information to
 25 families when they request it is the

Page 321

1 appropriate ones to do so.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. So you understood from Dr. McCarthy's comment
 4 you've just referred to, and I take it you
 5 understood she was speaking on behalf of the
 6 oncologists?
 7 MR. SINGLETON:
 8 A. Yes.
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. As it were?
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Yes, yeah.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. That, I'm sorry, we were not?
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. You know, she had concern, I suppose, that
 17 there be a presumption that the oncologists
 18 would, you know, be handed this as another
 19 piece of work to do. And part of the
 20 discussion -
 21 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 22 Q. Did she have a reservation about that?
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Well, I think she had--because part of the
 25 discussion that day and I think I would say a

Page 322

1 substantial piece of it is that we were
 2 framing out, and I guess because of my own,
 3 you know, work in the area of grief and grief
 4 counselling, some of the considerations that
 5 we brought into the discussion and that I
 6 introduced into the discussion was that what
 7 we're talking about here is people who have
 8 most likely fairly recently lost a loved one,
 9 they've lost a, you know, parent or a spouse
 10 or a sibling or a close friend or whatever,
 11 you know, it would be, and so it's not just a
 12 matter of giving people information, this is
 13 about disclosing very important information to
 14 people who need to get it when they are ready
 15 to receive it and in a way that facilitates,
 16 you know, their processing of something that's
 17 very crucial to them.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. So you understood what Dr. McCarthy's view as
 20 expressed was what?
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. Well, Dr. McCarthy's comments in that related
 23 to what we were talking about, that this is
 24 not something you can do in five minutes, this
 25 is something that's going to involve

Page 323

1 conversations and in some cases, you know,
 2 several or many meetings and by the nature of
 3 it. And I've mentioned a--I had words on my
 4 scribbles, to call them that, or notes,
 5 earlier on "avoidable/unavoidable" and that
 6 really relates to that point, that from my
 7 own, you know, perspective, I suppose, and, I
 8 suppose the framework of grief counselling,
 9 one of the things that we know is very
 10 significant in how people deal with problems
 11 or crisis or losses or whatever the trauma
 12 might be in their life is whether or not they
 13 perceive it as something that was avoidable or
 14 unavoidable.
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. Um-hm.
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. And, you know, I brought that point into the
 19 discussion because from my own experience in
 20 grief counselling it is very relevant to how
 21 people will deal with matters. And knowing
 22 that people who have recently had a loss, you
 23 know, that this would be significant to them,
 24 part of the discussion is that we need to
 25 allow people to take this information when it

Page 324

1 will work for themselves and that they will
 2 let it be known that the information is
 3 available and they can come and request it
 4 when it would work for them rather than kind
 5 of doing it when it works for us, kind of
 6 thing. So that was kind of part of the
 7 discussion. But part of it, if I might
 8 continue, is that we knew that this would
 9 likely be, you know, significant for some
 10 family, well, for all families, but
 11 complicated to process for some and they'd
 12 probably come back once, twice, three times
 13 and more to get more information, so a simple
 14 concern, or not a simple concern, but a
 15 genuine concern from Dr. McCarthy is that
 16 whoever is going to do it needs to be able to
 17 do it right. And so, you know, she addressed
 18 the issue or the concern from--and I
 19 understood it to be not only her own, but from
 20 the oncologists and that, you know, I remember
 21 making the comment there that, you know,
 22 that's a matter that Dr. Williams will have to
 23 sort out, because I didn't want the ethics
 24 consult to be, you know, about discussing and
 25 any type of dispute about something that we

Page 325

1 had no control over.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. Okay. Now, you had been involved--you
 4 mentioned it a couple of times--involved in
 5 drafting the disclosure policy involving
 6 adverse events. At the time during this
 7 ethics consult meeting, was there any
 8 discussion of that policy?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. No, other than--I don't remember anything
 11 explicit on it. I -
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Was it referred to?
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. I would have certainly been conscious of what
 16 was kind of laid out as framework in the types
 17 of cases that we mentioned before, individual
 18 type cases and so on. But it wasn't taken out
 19 and, kind of, referred to because I think, you
 20 know, it was kind of a sense that it didn't
 21 really fit that context.
 22 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Okay. Now, with respect to an ethics consult,
 24 in this context, was any consideration given
 25 to having a representative family members of

Page 326

1 the deceased involved in this?
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. No, and that was--two comments on it. But the
 4 first one is that the ethics consult was, kind
 5 of, where it was in the chain of activities,
 6 it was about deciding what would be done with
 7 the information in terms of, you know, we have
 8 started out with the issue that we have these
 9 health records that haven't been signed off or
 10 whatever way Dr. Cook wrote the concern. Dr.
 11 Williams sent it on for an ethics consult. My
 12 interpretation of it is that we need to look
 13 at what would be the options and the ways of
 14 approached it. So, it would, typically, if it
 15 was in the kind of planning the approach to a
 16 matter and see what would be the appropriate
 17 options and so on, we wouldn't involve the
 18 client or client representatives. But I think
 19 the second consideration related to that is
 20 that inviting the representative of one family
 21 would be representative of one family and that
 22 would, you know, work in when you're dealing
 23 with one case. There's certainly no guarantee
 24 that when you have, you know, something that
 25 is fairly broad based, that inviting

Page 327

1 representative of one family or several even
 2 is going to represent the way that any or all
 3 would respond to the same type of situation.
 4 But in the meantime, making that comment, the
 5 reason why there wouldn't have been a family
 6 at that time is because it was planning how
 7 these health records, we might say, would be
 8 handled or what type of disclosure would be
 9 offered and so, other than -
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. Disclosure would be offered to the families?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Pardon me?
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Disclosure would, was being contemplated to be
 16 offered to families, correct?
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. Yes.
 19 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 20 Q. I'm correct on that.
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. Well, that was, at least, what we recommended
 23 from the ethics consult.
 24 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 25 Q. So, the group, disclosure in relation to which

Page 328

1 is being discussed here between all those
 2 people you've listed, no thought was given or
 3 voice within your hearing anyway to having one
 4 or more people from that group or potentially
 5 from that group consulted about this.
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. No, that's right.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Okay.
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Yes, yes, yes.
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. So, it didn't come up and no one raised it?
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. No, and that was because of--there was a
 16 reason why it wouldn't have come up. I mean,
 17 somebody may have brought it up in the
 18 discussion, if they had wanted to, no one did.
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Okay, did anyone -
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. But because, if I may continue -
 23 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 24 Q. Sure.

Page 329

1 MR. SINGLETON:
 2 A. - because of the point at which the consult
 3 was made was prior to making contacts with the
 4 families and it seemed to me that what we were
 5 discussing is what would be within reasonable
 6 range of ways to approach this or what would
 7 be the recommendation and so on?
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Now -
 10 THE COMMISSIONER:
 11 Q. Hang on, hold onto that, just once again, a
 12 point of clarification, and in your consult,
 13 did you understand that the full range was on
 14 the table, as it were, that is from not giving
 15 any information through to making contact with
 16 whatever you knew about the relatives of
 17 anybody who is now deceased, without any of
 18 these intermediate steps. Was the full range
 19 of possibilities -
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. Yes.
 22 THE COMMISSIONER:
 23 Q. - on the table?
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. Yes, that's right, we didn't go in there with

Page 330

1 any, you know, with anything -
 2 THE COMMISSIONER:
 3 Q. So, included in the possibilities might be
 4 that you might recommend that no action be
 5 taken at all?
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. That's right, it might have been, you know--
 8 yeah.
 9 THE COMMISSIONER:
 10 Q. Thank you.
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. Okay. And was any thought given by you or
 13 anybody voiced within your hearing any thought
 14 to asking perhaps, consulting with, for
 15 example, Mr. Dawe who is a spokesperson for
 16 the Canadian Cancer Society, Newfoundland
 17 branch?
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. No, again, for the same reason, we were
 20 looking at what we might need to do that.
 21 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 22 Q. Okay. So, it's entirely possible then, based
 23 upon that scenario, that a decision could be
 24 made that nothing should be done and the
 25 people potentially affected or most affected

Page 331

1 by it would never be consulted.
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. Yeah, well, that's right, I mean, hopefully
 4 that wouldn't happen from an ethics consult,
 5 but yeah.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. And now sir, what did Mr. Boone, if anything,
 8 say or contribute to the meeting, do you
 9 recall?
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Well, we had some discussion on the front end
 12 of it about the legal, not right in the
 13 beginning, but what are the legal requirements
 14 in a situation like this, what is the
 15 obligation to inform or what is the right to,
 16 of access to the health record of deceased
 17 people and those kinds of things. And his -
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Just before we get to that now, I should ask,
 20 was he actually providing you with legal
 21 advice?
 22 MR. SINGLETON:
 23 A. Well, he was the lawyer who was there to--
 24 yeah, well, he was the lawyer who was there
 25 and one of the issues we were looking at, what

Page 332

1 is--and I'm not sure if I asked it that way,
 2 but one way or another, I would have been
 3 inquiring from him, you know, what are we
 4 legally obligated, obliged to do or what are
 5 we legally obliged not to do. I probably
 6 didn't say it in those specific words, but
 7 that essentially would be the what we would be
 8 -
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. Okay, before answering the question then, is
 11 there -
 12 THE COMMISSIONER:
 13 Q. Yes, we should resolve this is.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Yes, I was going to say if Mr. -
 16 THE COMMISSIONER:
 17 Q. Is your client taking the position that any
 18 advice given in this context is covered by
 19 solicitor/client privileged or are you taking
 20 the position that Mr. Boone was there as a
 21 citizen who happens to be a lawyer?
 22 MR. SIMMONS:
 23 Q. It's not a controversial point and I won't
 24 have any concern with the description of, as
 25 Mr. Singleton is giving some description of

Page 333

1 what the view that was given there, as long as
 2 it's understood that it's not in any way any
 3 kind of waiver of privilege -
 4 THE COMMISSIONER:
 5 Q. Any kind of general privilege.
 6 MR. SIMMONS:
 7 Q. - otherwise in other circumstances.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Thank you.
 10 THE COMMISSIONER:
 11 Q. Thank you, Mr. Simmons.
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Go ahead, sir.
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. Oh yes, that's right, that's why I think twice
 16 about having lawyers at ethic consults, some
 17 time you need them. They contribute.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Go ahead, sir, what do you remember about -
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. Well, the issue was and I guess my
 22 recollection is what I was listening for, you
 23 know, and how that comes across two years
 24 after and so on, other than writing or in word
 25 (phonetic) is a bit different, but what I was

Page 334

1 listening for is, you know, what is the range
 2 of what we, by law, are obliged to do and
 3 what, by law, are we obliged not to do.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. Um-hm.
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. And my understanding from Dan's comments on it
 8 was, Dan Boone's comments on it, was in the
 9 range of that one is about access to the
 10 health record, whether or not someone, you
 11 know, first of all, is there an obligation to
 12 bring forward this information to people and,
 13 you might say, impose it on them.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Um-hm.
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. And the other is, is there an obligation to
 18 hold it back because people are not, in fact,
 19 entitled to another person's health record.
 20 And within the range of his comment, my
 21 understanding was and I'm not sure if I
 22 represented it well in what I wrote or not,
 23 but that there--and I forget exactly how it--
 24 but it was something to the effect of that
 25 there is no legal obligation--can't remember

Page 335

1 exactly how I -
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. And that's reflected in the report and I'll
 4 take you through that.
 5 MR. SINGLETON:
 6 A. Okay.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. Okay. So, what he said, you thought or hoped
 9 at the time you were reflecting it in the
 10 actual report.
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Yeah, yes.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Okay. If we could please, I'm on it now, if
 15 we could just look at the following exhibits,
 16 please, Exhibit P-0481, thank you, page two.
 17 This is a document entitled Pastoral Care and
 18 Ethics department, Eastern Health, it's May
 19 29, 2007 there and there's a six written over
 20 it. And it's to Dr. Robert Williams from Rick
 21 Singleton re: ethics consult, ER/PR. And this
 22 is two pages long.
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Yeah. Actually I should mention the dates on
 25 it that when I printed that for whoever asked

Page 336

1 me to print it, there's a default date that
 2 imposes in the memo. The actual date of that
 3 was early, it was around the 20th or 21st.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. And there are two other ones, I'll take you to
 6 those in a moment. And I thought perhaps
 7 that--not being very familiar with computers,
 8 but I thought that perhaps, -
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. That that might have been -
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. - potential explanation, at the time then I
 13 take it you printed this -
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. Yes.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. - for whoever asked you, you just simply
 18 signified six.
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Yes.
 21 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 22 Q. And so the report in question was done in June
 23 of 2006?
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. That's right, yes.

Page 337

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:

2 Q. Okay. So, if we could then go please to

3 exhibit P-0073. Do you recall who it was that

4 asked you to print that out in May of '07?

5 MR. SINGLETON:

6 A. Gee, I'm not sure who asked--it might have

7 been--I'm not sure if it was Pam Elliott or it

8 might have been --I'm not sure.

9 COFFEY, Q.C.:

10 Q. Okay. So, why did you change then the seven

11 to the six? I take it, just simply -

12 MR. SINGLETON:

13 A. I don't think I did that, that doesn't look

14 like my -

15 COFFEY, Q.C.:

16 Q. That handwritten--oh, that's not yours?

17 MR. SINGLETON:

18 A. No, no, I probably just actually I mightn't

19 have printed it; my secretary might have taken

20 it out of the file.

21 COFFEY, Q.C.:

22 Q. So, if we could, just looking at this, this

23 is--I apologize--P-0783, I apologize,

24 Registrar. It's an e-mail of June 22, 2006,

25 3:04 p.m. from yourself to Dr. Williams and

Page 338

1 Louise Jones, subject is ethics consult, "Hi

2 Bob, attached is the report from the ethics

3 consult re: ER/PR. Thanks. Rick". And then

4 attached to that is a Pastoral Care and Ethics

5 Department Eastern Health heading, it's dated

6 June 23, 2006, to Dr. Robert Williams from

7 yourself, re: ethic consult ER/PR.

8 MR. SINGLETON:

9 A. Yes.

10 COFFEY, Q.C.:

11 Q. And this one has got a Dr. Natalie Bandau,

12 it's typed -

13 MR. SINGLETON:

14 A. Bandrauk, yeah, it was a typo in her name, in

15 the previous ones, yeah.

16 COFFEY, Q.C.:

17 Q. And if I could have you bring up, please

18 Registrar, Exhibit P-0782. Now, this is on

19 pastoral care and ethics and this is the

20 actual letterhead itself because it's got the

21 General Hospital address and phone numbers and

22 so on there. It's dated June 20, 2006, it's

23 to the same, Dr. Williams, from yourself, re:

24 ethics consult, ER/PR, June 19, 2006. And

25 here Natalie Bandrauk, whose name is spelled

Page 339

1 out, typed out correctly.

2 MR. SINGLETON:

3 A. Yes.

4 COFFEY, Q.C.:

5 Q. Can you tell the Commissioner which of these

6 was prepared first?

7 MR. SINGLETON:

8 A. Most likely the one with the typos and so on

9 corrected was the second one.

10 COFFEY, Q.C.:

11 Q. Because what I'm looking at, the one with the

12 typo and it's handwritten, okay, the name is

13 handwritten.

14 MR. SINGLETON:

15 A. Okay, yes.

16 COFFEY, Q.C.:

17 Q. Is dated June 23, 2006 which is a day after,

18 sorry, is three days after P-0782 which has

19 got, if i could bring up P-0782 please, that's

20 got Dr. Natalie Bandrauk's name there, spelled

21 properly.

22 MR. SINGLETON:

23 A. Yes.

24 COFFEY, Q.C.:

25 Q. And it's dated three days before.

Page 340

1 MR. SINGLETON:

2 A. Yes. I'm not sure about that. I know the

3 sequence of how I do those things is that I do

4 and I would make, usually on the evening of

5 the 19th, I probably roughed up a few notes so

6 that I wouldn't forget things and then went

7 back and revised them and so on. Sometimes,

8 as I mentioned earlier, on the memos when you

9 open them or letterheads they re-date,

10 sometimes I knock out that default and then

11 type in a date below that for the actual thing

12 and so on. And I'm not sure if you compare

13 the two memos, if in fact, the date lines

14 would be on the same line or not. I'm not

15 sure there. Sometimes I think of catching the

16 fact that there was a wrong date above on

17 something that I was making an editorial on,

18 you know.

19 COFFEY, Q.C.:

20 Q. Sir, when you prepared your report, was this

21 circulated in draft form to anybody?

22 MR. SINGLETON:

23 A. I would, in that case, we did a review that

24 evening, you know of what it would have said.

25 I would at least have sent it to the ethicist

Page 341

1 who is the intensivist, to Natalie and ask her
 2 to have a look at it and if there was anything
 3 that she felt that needed to be revised, to
 4 send it back to me before I finalized it. So,
 5 that would probably have been, you know, asked
 6 her to let me know by the next day or that
 7 evening or whatever. I'd usually give a
 8 fairly short turnaround for someone to have a
 9 look at it.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. How about anybody else?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. I'm not sure if I distribute it--sometimes,
 14 you know, I would send something to somebody
 15 who, if I felt there was a need to kind of get
 16 all the--if there was a lot of data included
 17 in the summary, I might sent it to somebody
 18 who would actually have that, that would
 19 correct it, but in that case, I don't really
 20 remember now if I sent it to anyone or not. I
 21 don't think I did because if I had received
 22 feedback from people, it would have been
 23 noticeable in the revisions of drafts and I'd
 24 probably have kept the comments back.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 342

1 Q. And you don't have any, I take it?
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. No.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. That you could find. So, Dr. Bandrauk, would
 6 you have distributed it to her, a draft?
 7 MR. SINGLETON:
 8 A. Oh yes, yes.
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. Her, you said, is the one I would send it,
 11 because she's the ethicist.
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Yes.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. I note that--so which, from your perspective
 16 of these documents, would you perceive or did
 17 you distribute as the final version?
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. Gee, I don't know. Because of the, you know,
 20 the way that those dates imbed in the
 21 document, I'm not sure, but if you've got a
 22 copy of it from Dr. Williams, then you'd know,
 23 you know, from his -
 24 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 25 Q. Well, that's -

Page 343

1 MR. SINGLETON:
 2 A. Did you have that?
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. Well, if we could bring up P-0783, please.
 5 Now this -
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. Okay.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. - in our world, documents collected by the VP
 10 medical signifies -
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Yes, okay.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. - that office.
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. That would have been the one I distributed.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Okay, but this particular one is apparently
 19 appended, apparently I say, appended to this
 20 June 22 e-mail and this is the one though
 21 that's got the misspelling of Dr. Bandrauk's
 22 name.
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Yes.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 344

1 Q. Do you know whose handwriting that is?
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. No.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. It's not yours, I take it?
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. No.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Okay. And I'll just take you down through
 10 this. In terms of that, why would you sign it
 11 as the facilitator?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Because I facilitated the ethics consultation.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Okay. And it's possible that the only person
 16 though who attended the meeting, who actually
 17 saw a copy of it before it went out, other
 18 than yourself, is Dr. Bandrauk?
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Yes. She would have been the person I would
 21 have sent it to, yes.
 22 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 23 Q. And so Mr. Boone, Ms. Predham, Dr. McCarthy,
 24 Dr. Cook, Dr. Denic, it's quite possible they
 25 didn't see this first or last?

Page 345

1 MR. SINGLETON:
 2 A. That's right, possible and, you know but--if I
 3 sent it to them, I didn't have any feedback
 4 from them.
 5 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 6 Q. Okay. Now, we look here at the issue, you
 7 frame it as "in the summer of 2005, the
 8 director of laboratory medicine for Eastern
 9 Health became aware there may be some problems
 10 with testing the samples from breast cancer
 11 patients that were processed to determine
 12 appropriate follow-up with patients. The
 13 specific test is for the presence of hormone
 14 receptors in the tumor which may impact follow
 15 up treatment, ER/PR". You continue, "the
 16 problem with the results was rooted in the
 17 test procedures used in the time period from
 18 1997 to 2005. In 2005, samples known to have
 19 been processed for this batch of patients were
 20 forwarded to Mount Sinai in Toronto to
 21 retesting at their lab. In the batch
 22 forwarded to Mount Sinai, there were 101
 23 samples from deceased patients, 19 of the
 24 retested samples produced results that may
 25 have resulted in a different care plan and

Page 346

1 treatment follow-up from that implemented
 2 based on the original test results". Now,
 3 that is, as well, in your notes actually, your
 4 actual hand written notes.
 5 If we could look back, please, at Exhibit
 6 P-1718, page three. Thank you, Registrar.
 7 And we scroll down the page here, 174 patients
 8 deceased, 101 tested and I think it says here,
 9 17 tested positive.
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Right.
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. Is that -
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. Yeah.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Now, here though if we could back then to P-
 18 0783, page two. Here you've phrased it as
 19 there were "101 samples from deceased
 20 patients, 19 of the retested samples produced
 21 results that may have resulted in a different
 22 care plan and treatment follow-up than that
 23 implemented based on the original test
 24 results". So, who told you or the group at
 25 the June 19 meeting that? Who identified 19

Page 347

1 and said of those, 19 of the retested samples
 2 produced results that may have resulted in a
 3 different care plan and treatment follow-up?
 4 MR. SINGLETON:
 5 A. I don't remember that.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. You don't recall who?
 8 MR. SINGLETON:
 9 A. I don't remember who said that.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. Well, thinking about those who were at the
 12 meeting, who--it certainly wasn't yourself or
 13 Dr. Bandrauk. Do you remember amongst the
 14 others who were there?
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. No, I don't, no.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. Okay. Now, it goes on to say--what did you
 19 understand that it meant at the time?
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. That there were people who had tests whose
 22 samples were retested and produced results
 23 that may have resulted in a different care
 24 plan and treatment follow up, as it says
 25 there, then that implemented, so that if the

Page 348

1 results had been different, then they would
 2 have been treated differently or treated
 3 different.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. Here it goes on, you say, "Important facts to
 6 the history and understanding," that should be
 7 "understanding of this case include the
 8 following: There were no mistakes or
 9 technical errors at the root of this problem."
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Um.
 12 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 13 Q. And I canvassed that with you earlier. I take
 14 it that that was a conclusion you reached?
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. Yes, that's right, that was my, you know,
 17 listening for, as I explained earlier, that's
 18 right, yeah.
 19 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Now, you go on to say here, "It is impossible
 21 to know in any specific case if the outcome
 22 for any individual patient would have been
 23 different." Now, where did that come from,
 24 that information?
 25 MR. SINGLETON:

Page 349

1 A. Well, that was the discussion in the session.
 2 And I remember Dr. McCarthy having some
 3 comments of that sort, I'm not sure if that's
 4 exactly what she said, but she probably would
 5 be the one well informed about, you know, how
 6 specific you can be to say whether or not if
 7 the tests were different, that it would be
 8 different for an individual patient.
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. Did anyone, do you recall, ask at that meeting
 11 whether or not any actual analysis had been
 12 done of specific cases to determine if the
 13 outcome for an individual patient would have
 14 been different or could have been different,
 15 was there any actual analysis, anybody
 16 actually asked, well, has anybody done this?
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. No, no, no.
 19 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 20 Q. Okay.
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. One of the things that was part of the
 23 discussion was, and I think I mentioned it
 24 probably in my--okay, it's there, as well.
 25 The third point says -

Page 350

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. It says here, "Intervention for post-
 3 menopausal women have positive impact by
 4 lengthening life in 47 percent of patients
 5 treated."
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. Right. And we did have a discussion on, you
 8 know, what -
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. What did that mean?
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. How, you know, is it a matter of days, weeks,
 13 months or years, right. And again, you know,
 14 it wouldn't be definitive to any particular
 15 case how--what the impact would be, what the
 16 benefit would be for an individual.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. I take it where you've written this, you
 19 understood from what you heard from the
 20 physicians at the meeting that intervention,
 21 which I take it would be actual treatment with
 22 Tamoxifen or the equivalent?
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Yeah.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 351

1 Q. For post-menopausal women has a positive
 2 impact by lengthening life of 47 percent of
 3 the patient who get so treated?
 4 MR. SINGLETON:
 5 A. Yeah.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. That's what that -
 8 MR. SINGLETON:
 9 A. Yeah, that's right, yeah.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. And you go on to say, you framed it, "The main
 12 ethical issue in this case pertains to
 13 disclosure. There are several considerations
 14 regarding the duty to disclose, the right of
 15 families to be informed of results from the
 16 retesting at Mount Sinai and who would
 17 manage"--I'm sorry, "who should manage the
 18 disclosure processes." And just before I go
 19 on to the last paragraph, sir, would--if you
 20 had understood at the time that there were
 21 mistakes or technical errors at the root of
 22 the problem, if you had understood that at the
 23 time, somebody had said that to you, somebody
 24 who would be in a position to know, had said
 25 that to you, would that have made any

Page 352

1 difference here to the analysis? If somebody
 2 had walked in and told you, look, you know,
 3 Mr. Singleton, or Rick, whatever they called
 4 you -
 5 MR. SINGLETON:
 6 A. Yeah.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. Look, you know, it's our view or we have
 9 reason to believe there were mistakes and/or
 10 technical errors here.
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Yeah, yeah.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. Would that have made--what, if any, difference
 15 would that have made?
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. I think, like, by the time I was writing this,
 18 like, we had had the discussion that came to
 19 the point of, you know, making recommendation
 20 that we ought to make it known to families
 21 that this information is available and they
 22 can pursue it on their own. And so -
 23 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 24 Q. No, I'm asking you to think back to before you
 25 made that decision.

Page 353

1 MR. SINGLETON:
 2 A. Yeah. I can't do that.
 3 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 4 Q. Like, in the course of determining it, do you
 5 at the time why is that relevant, why was that
 6 relevant? Because you said "Important facts
 7 to the history" are the following -
 8 MR. SINGLETON:
 9 A. Yeah, part of it, I guess, was, you know, that
 10 a way of looking at it or part of the
 11 framework that I was thinking of it in was the
 12 context that we had about individual cases
 13 where it's clear that there's, you know, a
 14 specific identifiable cause or person or
 15 whatever the case might be and from my
 16 understanding of it then that this was not
 17 that straightforward.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. If there -
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. That was relevant. To speculate on what we
 22 would have discussed, I mean, I can't do that.
 23 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 24 Q. Perhaps you could tell the Commissioner,
 25 though, what is the approach if the patient is

Page 354

1 dead, a single patient is dead, there's an
 2 ethics consult and during that you are told at
 3 the meeting that there were mistakes made
 4 related, that arguably related to the person's
 5 death, that caused the person's death or
 6 contributed to it?
 7 MR. SINGLETON:
 8 A. Yes. Well -
 9 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 10 Q. What effect does that have in that sort of
 11 situation?
 12 MR. SINGLETON:
 13 A. Are you asking me -
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. Yes, I'm asking you.
 16 MR. SINGLETON:
 17 A. To describe what is the process if -
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Yes.
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. - the death is clearly the result of -
 22 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 23 Q. Clearly or arguably.
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. Yeah. Of a--well, clear immediate disclosure

Page 355

1 of the facts by somebody who is competent and
 2 responsible to answer the questions and those
 3 things. I mean, there's a protocol list set
 4 out in the guidelines on disclosure of adverse
 5 events, you know, within our organization.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. So if there is seen to be or understood to be
 8 a nexus between what could be described as a
 9 mistake or a technical error, okay, on the one
 10 hand.
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Um-hm.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. And the person's death on the other, then it's
 15 your understanding of the adverse health
 16 events policy of the organization you work for
 17 that the organization is required then to tell
 18 the relatives?
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Yes, and -
 21 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 22 Q. That that's so?
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. - further to that -
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 356

1 Q. Is that -
 2 MR. SINGLETON:
 3 A. - my understanding is -
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. Is my understanding -
 6 MR. SINGLETON:
 7 A. I'm sorry.
 8 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 9 Q. Is my understanding of that correct, this is -
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Yes. And in fact, my understanding of it in
 12 that discussion was that what you're
 13 describing there is what was being done for
 14 patients who had been identified. But for
 15 patients where, patients who were living where
 16 giving them this information was--would be
 17 relevant to the, you know, to their treatment
 18 and what have you or that it would be material
 19 to any decisions they would have to make. And
 20 it's a part of the discussion that we were
 21 having in the ethics consult that evening.
 22 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 23 Q. So to come back then, if at the time you had
 24 been told in the group that there--we have
 25 reason to believe there were mistakes made

Page 357

1 here or there were--or technical errors were
 2 made here and they may have contributed to, in
 3 some cases, patients' deaths earlier than
 4 otherwise or contributed to in deaths here,
 5 would that have made, bearing in mind the
 6 policy, any difference to the approach taken
 7 here in the report, in the final report?
 8 MR. SINGLETON:
 9 A. I'm not sure how it would have been part of
 10 it. I think it would be a substantial part of
 11 the discussion. I'm not sure if the
 12 recommendations would be very different, but
 13 it would be relevant, for sure, yeah, yeah.
 14 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 15 Q. And certainly, in the case of a single patient
 16 and a single patient's death, the policy would
 17 be that -
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. A clearly isolated case, yeah.
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. - yes, then you have to go and tell the
 22 family?
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Yeah, yeah.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

Page 358

1 Q. And if we could then, just continue on. It
 2 says here "the obligation to disclose the
 3 information to families is based, from an
 4 ethics perspective, on the negative right of
 5 families to the information about the
 6 deceased. A negative right respects the right
 7 of individuals or families to access
 8 information, but it does not oblige anyone to
 9 make direct contact with individuals or
 10 families to provide the information. The
 11 obligation to inform is different in this
 12 situation than in situations where a mistake
 13 has been made, where the information would
 14 make a difference or potential difference in
 15 the care plan or interventions of a patient."
 16 So here then, and I take it for the
 17 living, this would be the care plan, the last
 18 phrase refers to them.
 19 MR. SINGLETON:
 20 A. Um-hm.
 21 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 22 Q. "Information would make a difference or
 23 potential difference in the care plan or
 24 interventions of a patient."
 25 MR. SINGLETON:

Page 359

1 A. Yeah.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. But you also say here, "the obligation to
 4 inform is different in this situation than if
 5 situation"--it should be "than in situations
 6 where a mistake has been made." So where -
 7 MR. SINGLETON:
 8 A. Thinking back to that conversation that I had
 9 described earlier, you know.
 10 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 11 Q. Okay, and then, if we could, please, you go on
 12 to say, and I take it this is where Mr.
 13 Boone's advice probably surfaces, "while
 14 legally, no one has the right to a deceased
 15 person's health record or other health
 16 information in the context of the core values
 17 of Eastern Health, and in the spirit of
 18 goodwill, it is appropriate that Eastern
 19 Health take reasonable steps to inform the
 20 community that this problem has occurred, and
 21 that information is available. This can be
 22 done through local media and is part of the
 23 follow up from previous media coverage of the
 24 issue."
 25 Here, sir, I take it Mr. Boone would have

Page 360

1 had input into that first subclause, "while
 2 legally no one has the right"?
 3 MR. SINGLETON:
 4 A. Yes, and that's my reflection of what he might
 5 have said.
 6 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 7 Q. Okay, but the rest of it then, there was, I
 8 gather, a consensus in the spirit of goodwill,
 9 that we'd make this--we, Eastern Health, would
 10 make this known publicly?
 11 MR. SINGLETON:
 12 A. Yes.
 13 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 14 Q. That this information would be available.
 15 MR. SINGLETON:
 16 A. Yeah.
 17 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 18 Q. And there's a reference to "access to the
 19 information, a health record must be requested
 20 in writing, and of course, must come from an
 21 individual or individuals in line of priority"
 22 and then you go on about the--I take it, in
 23 accordance with the health care directives.
 24 MR. SINGLETON:
 25 A. Um.

1 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 2 Q. And substitute decision makers. "The request
 3 will be handled according to the policies and
 4 practices pertaining to health records," and
 5 then you conclude by saying "contact with
 6 families ought to be managed mainly by the
 7 risk manager with the assistance of competent
 8 staff from the Corporate Communications
 9 department. The ethics consultation had
 10 several recommendations in this regard. 1. A
 11 press release prepared as this matter is being
 12 resolved ought to mention that information
 13 pertaining to deceased patients may be
 14 available by contacting the appropriately
 15 designated officer number."

16 Okay, so it was the considered view of
 17 the group that such a press release should go
 18 or should be available?

19 MR. SINGLETON:

20 A. Yeah.

21 COFFEY, Q.C.:

22 Q. "Efforts too should be--2. Efforts should be
 23 made to ensure information about the retested
 24 samples be presented by an individual
 25 competent to explain the matters to the family

1 recommendations on it was that Corporate
 2 Communications, you know, the preparation of a
 3 press release and so on, and Louise suggested
 4 to me that I be sure to send a copy to
 5 Corporate Communications, to Susan Bonnell, to
 6 make sure that she saw that recommendation,
 7 and I remember specifically preparing that e-
 8 mail and sending it to her saying that we had
 9 an ethics consult on this matter and there was
 10 a recommendation there about a press release
 11 and so on, so forwarded that to her.

12 COFFEY, Q.C.:

13 Q. To the people who should be so advised,
 14 because they'd eventually end up involved
 15 potentially in it?

16 MR. SINGLETON:

17 A. Yeah, yeah.

18 COFFEY, Q.C.:

19 Q. Just on this point, if we could look back at,
 20 please, 1718? Now, I apologize, page--I'll
 21 just go back here to page one. There you go.
 22 Thank you. It's--the actual report of the
 23 group, I take it, you sent to Dr. Williams and
 24 you understood Dr. Williams would distribute
 25 it to Dr. Cook?

1 member." I take it that's the reference to
 2 the risk management?

3 MR. SINGLETON:

4 A. Yes, or whether or not risk management, but
 5 you know, handle logistics of it and be a
 6 physician, you know, that matter we talked
 7 about a few moments ago, yeah.

8 COFFEY, Q.C.:

9 Q. And "3. If families of deceased patients whose
 10 samples have not been retested request the
 11 same information, then it should be explained
 12 that the sample has not yet been retested and
 13 it will be retested if that is the preference
 14 of the family, and that the retesting would be
 15 done at the site doing this testing procedure
 16 for Eastern Health when the request is made."
 17 And you thanked all who participated in the
 18 consultation for their time and attention.
 19 Was there ever any feedback on this at all to
 20 you?

21 MR. SINGLETON:

22 A. No, not--no, just had some conversations about
 23 it with who? With Louise Jones after--because
 24 I sent her and Bob Williams a copy of it, and
 25 one of the items that I--one of the

1 MR. SINGLETON:

2 A. Yeah, I'm not sure--well, I think by the
 3 nature of the--I likely sent it to all the
 4 people who participated.

5 COFFEY, Q.C.:

6 Q. Okay. Just on this point, because Dr. Cook
 7 had originally asked "these patients have not
 8 been signed out for release from the system.
 9 I would appreciate direction in how to proceed
 10 further with these cases." Does the report
 11 address that at all?

12 MR. SINGLETON:

13 A. Well, it addressed what--he was there in the
 14 discussion, so he participated in it, and it
 15 seemed to address the issues and that would be
 16 one of the things we do in the wrap up is go
 17 around the room a last time to see if there's
 18 any outstanding issues or concerns or so on,
 19 because it is generally a consensus process.
 20 So we'd want to be sure that if someone had
 21 issues or reservations that they brought it
 22 forward, and my understanding is, and I think
 23 the discussion itself verified that that was
 24 really the heart of the matter. They had
 25 these records and that was the issue, that

1 there was information they weren't sure if it
 2 should be filed or forwarded to someone or how
 3 it had to be handled.
 4 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 5 Q. Again, on this point, you understood what Dr.
 6 Cook was saying when he said "direction in how
 7 to proceed further with these cases," which is
 8 sign out for release.
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. I understood it that they had the results back
 11 from some cases where for some it was follow
 12 up with the patients, for some the patients
 13 were dead and so what do we do with them now.
 14 We have--do we send the information to the
 15 family doctor? Do we send it to the family?
 16 Do we send it in health records as signed off
 17 and case closed, or whatever.
 18 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 19 Q. Okay, do we send it like internally, in
 20 effect, not to the family, it just stays
 21 internally, or do we consult--that's what you
 22 understood he meant by that?
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. Yeah, yeah, that's right, yeah.
 25 COFFEY, Q.C.:

1 point" and she goes on from there. And then
 2 finally at the top of the page, Ms. Crowley on
 3 July 6th, 2007, sends an e-mail to a number of
 4 individuals, including yourself and explains
 5 the context in which this occurred, that is
 6 her discussion with Mr. Newman. So why, I
 7 raise this with you is this, why were you
 8 concerned at all about this at the time on
 9 July 5th, 2007?
 10 MR. SINGLETON:
 11 A. Well mainly because we have an ethics service
 12 within the organization and typically that's
 13 where we would expect our--the ethics consult
 14 to go--or to come.
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. And well then sir, and then overall from your
 17 perspective then, here, what if any difference
 18 did the fact that there was more than one
 19 deceased patient involved make in the approach
 20 ethically, if any?
 21 MR. SINGLETON:
 22 A. I'm not sure if the fact that there were so
 23 many patients involved made as much difference
 24 as the fact that to me, it seemed like the
 25 root of it was so systemic that it wasn't as

1 Q. Okay. If I could, please, exhibit P-0704?
 2 Now, sir, this is a series of e-mails of July
 3 5th and 6th, 2007. The one at the bottom of
 4 the page if 9:07 a.m. on July 5th from
 5 yourself to Louise Jones and you say, "Hi
 6 Louise, last Friday the steering committee on
 7 confidentiality, Marion Crowley, happened to
 8 mention that the privacy commissioner
 9 recommended release of health records, names
 10 blocked out of patients involved in ER/PR.
 11 She then went on to mention that she consulted
 12 Rick Newman of HIC re: the ethics of such a
 13 release. He advised he saw no problem with it
 14 and made the analogy to anonymous chart
 15 reviews, et cetera, as part of research. A
 16 few concerns came to my mind, why would she
 17 consult on the ethics outside her own
 18 structure? Why would she consult with someone
 19 who was not an ethicist and the comparison of
 20 CBC chart access and researchers is somewhat
 21 deficient." Signed by yourself. And then
 22 later that day, that morning, Ms. Jones
 23 responds to you and says, "Thanks for this. I
 24 will pass this along to Pam, cc Pat Pilgrim."
 25 And she says, "Pam, I would agree with Rick's

1 if there was one cause, that that, I think,
 2 was more relevant to it than the other piece.
 3 In fact, you know, I think one of the pieces
 4 that I brought to the discussion and I think
 5 it comes out of my work in the area of grief
 6 and bereavement counselling is that I would be
 7 concerned, I think conscientious to make sure
 8 that we shouldn't lose sight of the fact that
 9 whether you're dealing with one or hundreds,
 10 that they are all families, all individuals
 11 and the, you know, the immensity of the grief
 12 and the distress is not one bit likened by the
 13 fact that there are many and I suppose you'd
 14 make an argument that it's probably
 15 intensified by it.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. So what difference did it make that there was
 18 more than once cause--potentially more than
 19 one cause?
 20 MR. SINGLETON:
 21 A. Well I think part of it is that, you know,
 22 it's not only that there was more than one
 23 cause, but to my understanding of it at that
 24 time that it wasn't clear what that--what
 25 those multiplicity of causes was or who or

Page 369

1 what would be responsible, that it was more,
 2 you know, as I said, a whole system problem
 3 and to some extent my understanding was that
 4 it wasn't unique to Eastern Health, that
 5 issues of false positives and all that kind of
 6 stuff.
 7 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 8 Q. Who gave you that understanding?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. Well in the generalities of the description of
 11 what, you know, how the laboratory testing is
 12 done generally and the issue of false
 13 positives and for that particular type of
 14 testing, so I can't say who exactly -
 15 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 16 Q. I take it you mean false negatives in this
 17 context?
 18 MR. SINGLETON:
 19 A. Yes, I'm sorry, yes.
 20 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 21 Q. And so you can't recall exactly who would have
 22 told you or spoken to you about that.
 23 MR. SINGLETON:
 24 A. No, but I think it was kind of the, I'm sure
 25 it would have been somebody who was informed

Page 370

1 about laboratory medicine, you know.
 2 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 3 Q. Okay, and the physicians involved, that you
 4 actually dealt with, just to be clear on this,
 5 they never did tell you first nor last, even
 6 to this day they haven't told you about the
 7 contents, for example, of Dr. Banerjee's
 8 report?
 9 MR. SINGLETON:
 10 A. No.
 11 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 12 Q. That I read to you or I pointed to you
 13 earlier, that kind of -
 14 MR. SINGLETON:
 15 A. Yeah, that's right.
 16 COFFEY, Q.C.:
 17 Q. Thank you, Commissioner.
 18 THE COMMISSIONER:
 19 Q. All right, it's getting a little late in the
 20 day, but let's do the rounds of the room? Mr.
 21 Pritchard?
 22 MR. PRITCHARD:
 23 Q. I don't have any questions, Commissioner.
 24 THE COMMISSIONER:
 25 Q. Mr. Browne?

Page 371

1 MR. BROWNE:
 2 Q. Commissioner, I don't have any questions.
 3 THE COMMISSIONER:
 4 Q. All right, Ms. O'Dea?
 5 MS. O'DEA:
 6 Q. No questions, Commissioner.
 7 THE COMMISSIONER:
 8 Q. Ms. Newbury?
 9 MS. NEWBURY:
 10 Q. Probably about ten minutes.
 11 THE COMMISSIONER:
 12 Q. Mr. Crosbie?
 13 CROSBIE, Q.C.:
 14 Q. I would think I would half hour of questions,
 15 yes.
 16 THE COMMISSIONER:
 17 Q. All right. Mr. Pike?
 18 MR. PIKE:
 19 Q. No questions.
 20 THE COMMISSIONER:
 21 Q. Mr. Simmons.
 22 MR. SIMMONS:
 23 Q. The issue is going to be that Mr. Singleton
 24 right now, as you may have noticed from the
 25 material -

Page 372

1 THE COMMISSIONER:
 2 Q. Yes.
 3 MR. SIMMONS:
 4 Q. - in his C.V. is chairing a national bioethics
 5 conference today and tomorrow.
 6 THE COMMISSIONER:
 7 Q. I understand that he is not available and
 8 that's really the reason for my question, that
 9 means that we're going to have to fit you in
 10 at a later date, if that's all right with you,
 11 Mr. Singleton. We don't want to interfere
 12 anymore than we already have -
 13 MR. SINGLETON:
 14 A. I appreciate That.
 15 THE COMMISSIONER:
 16 Q. - with your activities with your conference.
 17 MR. SINGLETON:
 18 A. Uh-hm.
 19 THE COMMISSIONER:
 20 Q. Mr. Simmons, do you want to tell me how much
 21 time you think, so we'll know what we're
 22 looking for?
 23 MR. SIMMONS:
 24 Q. I'm expecting no more than ten or fifteen
 25 minutes, depending, of course, on what comes

1 out of the examination from either counsel.
2 THE COMMISSIONER:
3 Q. Well I recognize that, all right. Next week,
4 I think we'll all be thoroughly occupied with
5 the witnesses who have been already scheduled,
6 so perhaps, Mr. Simmons, if you and Commission
7 counsel would put your heads together about an
8 appropriate day where we can fit Mr. Singleton
9 in, perhaps a day where we're getting
10 witnesses who are not going to take a
11 particular long period of time or something
12 like that. In accordance with your schedule,
13 we'll accommodate your schedule as best we
14 can, Mr. Singleton.
15 MR. SINGLETON:
16 A. I really appreciate that, thank you very much.
17 THE COMMISSIONER:
18 Q. All right then, well I suggest we adjourn
19 until the morning at 9:30.

1 CERTIFICATE
2 I, Judy Moss, hereby certify that the foregoing is
3 a true and correct transcript in the matter of the
4 Commission of Inquiry on Hormone Receptor Testing,
5 heard on the 19th day of June, A.D., 2008 before
6 the Honourable Justice Margaret A. Cameron,
7 Commissioner, at the Commission of Inquiry, St.
8 John's, Newfoundland and Labrador and was
9 transcribed by me to the best of my ability by
10 means of a sound apparatus.
11 Dated at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador
12 this 19th day of June, A.D., 2008
13 Judy Moss

Inquiry on Hormone Receptor Testing

<p>-?-</p> <p>'05 [4] 46:10 75:15 127:17 277:5</p> <p>'06 [8] 12:3,10 16:21 23:11 130:8 133:1 245:8 260:5</p> <p>'07 [2] 95:10 337:4</p> <p>'90s [1] 211:7</p> <p>'97 [2] 276:7 277:5</p> <p>'97-August [1] 307:7</p>	<p>13 [1] 62:21</p> <p>13th [5] 243:15 244:1,2 245:8 270:13</p> <p>148 [1] 62:17</p> <p>15 [1] 45:17</p> <p>153 [2] 2:4,5</p> <p>15th [9] 127:13,17 129:4 129:12 130:1 158:19 159:10 177:2 181:1</p> <p>16 [1] 109:22</p> <p>1628 [1] 67:8</p> <p>1652 [2] 19:12 23:20</p> <p>1687 [1] 205:1</p> <p>1694 [2] 204:25 205:1</p> <p>16th [2] 243:16 244:1</p> <p>17 [4] 168:8 241:17 311:2 346:9</p> <p>170 [2] 2:5,6</p> <p>1718 [3] 303:22,23 363:20</p> <p>1719 [1] 303:3</p> <p>174 [3] 307:21 311:1 346:7</p> <p>176 [7] 72:9,12,17,18 86:9,14 140:5</p> <p>17th [1] 283:13</p> <p>186 [2] 21:14,15</p> <p>18th [4] 64:24 65:3 113:13 304:13</p> <p>19 [8] 1:4 271:22 338:24 345:23 346:20,25,25 347:1</p> <p>1988 [1] 208:3</p> <p>1997 [1] 345:18</p> <p>19th [18] 24:12 36:1 51:16 54:22 242:15 245:5 247:16 249:2 253:7 274:9 274:12,15,20 283:15 306:1 340:5 374:5,12</p> <p>1:12 [1] 245:5</p> <p>1:43 [1] 136:6</p> <p>1st [2] 17:7,11</p>	<p>242:16 253:16 270:5 271:9 273:13 295:3 304:4 304:13 306:1 336:23 337:24 338:6,22,24 339:17</p> <p>2007 [7] 113:13 115:14 202:13 335:19 366:3 367:3,9</p> <p>2008 [3] 1:4 374:5,12</p> <p>201 [2] 2:6,7</p> <p>204 [2] 2:7,9</p> <p>205 [1] 3:2</p> <p>20th [7] 32:2,7 34:4 36:19 245:8 295:3 336:3</p> <p>21 [1] 271:23</p> <p>211 [1] 21:17</p> <p>213 [4] 21:17 62:13 63:3 86:6</p> <p>21st [8] 122:1 152:10 159:13 171:10,18 174:22 191:11 336:3</p> <p>22 [5] 64:18,18 167:22 337:24 343:20</p> <p>22nd [1] 136:2</p> <p>23 [2] 338:6 339:17</p> <p>23rd [24] 31:9 34:11 38:8 39:10 51:1 84:16,22 97:18 103:8 112:12 132:24 134:20 135:18 137:15 138:7 139:17 150:3,9,22 164:15 193:23 197:16 200:20 253:16</p> <p>24 [2] 307:7,12</p> <p>25th [1] 175:19</p> <p>2760 [1] 100:8</p> <p>278 [2] 166:25 167:15</p> <p>279 [1] 167:21</p> <p>28 [1] 58:8</p> <p>280 [1] 168:6</p> <p>2800 [10] 56:24 57:10 58:8,13 59:2,22 86:22 87:15,19 101:1</p> <p>29 [1] 335:19</p> <p>29th [2] 243:14,25</p> <p>2:54 [1] 136:21</p> <p>2:59 [1] 136:25</p> <p>2nd [3] 12:20 37:24 51:20</p>	<p>3:40 [1] 51:2</p> <p>3:43 [1] 270:5</p> <p>3:55 [1] 137:3</p> <p>3rd [3] 4:14 241:14 304:4</p> <p>-4-</p> <p>4 [2] 2:3 286:4</p> <p>40 [1] 70:7</p> <p>41 [2] 24:10 35:25</p> <p>42 [5] 40:19 62:11 138:25 143:8 164:21</p> <p>47 [3] 310:8 350:4 351:2</p> <p>4:32 [1] 271:9</p> <p>4th [3] 88:20 93:8 130:7</p> <p>-5-</p> <p>5 [4] 253:8 274:10,12,20</p> <p>5:33 [1] 104:23</p> <p>5th [3] 366:3,4 367:9</p> <p>-6-</p> <p>6th [4] 271:9 273:5 366:3 367:3</p> <p>-7-</p> <p>73 [2] 47:5 307:8</p> <p>763 [4] 86:22,22 87:8,23</p> <p>-8-</p> <p>8 [1] 110:11</p> <p>8:24 [1] 110:4</p> <p>8:39 [1] 253:16</p> <p>-9-</p> <p>900 [1] 107:6</p> <p>939 [2] 87:23 100:8</p> <p>950 [1] 307:7</p> <p>9:07 [1] 366:4</p> <p>9:30 [1] 373:19</p> <p>9:36 [1] 272:1</p> <p>9:47 [1] 273:14</p> <p>9th [2] 160:10 273:13</p>	<p>137:24 199:12,17</p> <p>ability [5] 23:15 26:16 95:3 183:13 374:9</p> <p>able [26] 26:16 43:16 49:14 53:25 54:6 55:15 67:3 77:23 78:6,9,13 111:12 170:1 176:10 226:14 235:22 253:10,20 260:24 270:15 279:13 282:20 293:11 316:16 320:7 324:16</p> <p>above [3] 43:12 243:21 340:16</p> <p>absent [1] 284:3</p> <p>absolutely [6] 39:7 50:24 101:7 120:19 155:2 200:6</p> <p>academic [2] 219:25 221:10</p> <p>acceded [1] 300:17</p> <p>accept [1] 157:11</p> <p>acceptable [7] 60:8,13 60:14 70:22 71:5,13 87:17</p> <p>accepted [3] 30:5,7 112:15</p> <p>access [8] 10:12 308:18 308:25 331:16 334:9 358:7 360:18 366:20</p> <p>accessed [1] 43:17</p> <p>accommodate [1] 373:13</p> <p>accompanied [1] 114:3</p> <p>accomplished [1] 206:6</p> <p>accord [1] 168:12</p> <p>accordance [2] 360:23 373:12</p> <p>according [2] 86:21 361:3</p> <p>accordingly [1] 182:10</p> <p>accords [1] 167:1</p> <p>account [4] 184:21,25 224:21 234:22</p> <p>accountable [1] 209:19</p> <p>accreditation [3] 67:11 67:21 116:2</p> <p>accurate [4] 123:23 159:2 186:4 253:24</p> <p>acknowledge [1] 108:10</p> <p>acknowledged [1] 29:9</p> <p>ACOG [1] 245:7</p> <p>Act [1] 312:11</p> <p>acted [2] 153:3,9</p> <p>acting [3] 153:8 184:18 259:13</p> <p>action [14] 1:15 24:10,13 24:23 26:1,15 27:4 28:3 29:25 35:25 36:16 55:2 71:2 330:4</p> <p>actioned [1] 134:2</p> <p>actions [1] 124:18</p> <p>activities [11] 209:4,13 209:20 212:16 217:14 219:22 223:3 224:20,22</p>
<p>---</p> <p>-I [1] 9:12</p> <p>-if [1] 46:1</p> <p>-it's [1] 320:6</p> <p>-well [1] 266:22</p> <p>-you [1] 59:2</p> <p>-0-</p> <p>0173 [1] 24:7</p> <p>0174 [1] 37:14</p> <p>0783 [1] 346:18</p>	<p>13th [5] 243:15 244:1,2 245:8 270:13</p> <p>148 [1] 62:17</p> <p>15 [1] 45:17</p> <p>153 [2] 2:4,5</p> <p>15th [9] 127:13,17 129:4 129:12 130:1 158:19 159:10 177:2 181:1</p> <p>16 [1] 109:22</p> <p>1628 [1] 67:8</p> <p>1652 [2] 19:12 23:20</p> <p>1687 [1] 205:1</p> <p>1694 [2] 204:25 205:1</p> <p>16th [2] 243:16 244:1</p> <p>17 [4] 168:8 241:17 311:2 346:9</p> <p>170 [2] 2:5,6</p> <p>1718 [3] 303:22,23 363:20</p> <p>1719 [1] 303:3</p> <p>174 [3] 307:21 311:1 346:7</p> <p>176 [7] 72:9,12,17,18 86:9,14 140:5</p> <p>17th [1] 283:13</p> <p>186 [2] 21:14,15</p> <p>18th [4] 64:24 65:3 113:13 304:13</p> <p>19 [8] 1:4 271:22 338:24 345:23 346:20,25,25 347:1</p> <p>1988 [1] 208:3</p> <p>1997 [1] 345:18</p> <p>19th [18] 24:12 36:1 51:16 54:22 242:15 245:5 247:16 249:2 253:7 274:9 274:12,15,20 283:15 306:1 340:5 374:5,12</p> <p>1:12 [1] 245:5</p> <p>1:43 [1] 136:6</p> <p>1st [2] 17:7,11</p>	<p>242:16 253:16 270:5 271:9 273:13 295:3 304:4 304:13 306:1 336:23 337:24 338:6,22,24 339:17</p> <p>2007 [7] 113:13 115:14 202:13 335:19 366:3 367:3,9</p> <p>2008 [3] 1:4 374:5,12</p> <p>201 [2] 2:6,7</p> <p>204 [2] 2:7,9</p> <p>205 [1] 3:2</p> <p>20th [7] 32:2,7 34:4 36:19 245:8 295:3 336:3</p> <p>21 [1] 271:23</p> <p>211 [1] 21:17</p> <p>213 [4] 21:17 62:13 63:3 86:6</p> <p>21st [8] 122:1 152:10 159:13 171:10,18 174:22 191:11 336:3</p> <p>22 [5] 64:18,18 167:22 337:24 343:20</p> <p>22nd [1] 136:2</p> <p>23 [2] 338:6 339:17</p> <p>23rd [24] 31:9 34:11 38:8 39:10 51:1 84:16,22 97:18 103:8 112:12 132:24 134:20 135:18 137:15 138:7 139:17 150:3,9,22 164:15 193:23 197:16 200:20 253:16</p> <p>24 [2] 307:7,12</p> <p>25th [1] 175:19</p> <p>2760 [1] 100:8</p> <p>278 [2] 166:25 167:15</p> <p>279 [1] 167:21</p> <p>28 [1] 58:8</p> <p>280 [1] 168:6</p> <p>2800 [10] 56:24 57:10 58:8,13 59:2,22 86:22 87:15,19 101:1</p> <p>29 [1] 335:19</p> <p>29th [2] 243:14,25</p> <p>2:54 [1] 136:21</p> <p>2:59 [1] 136:25</p> <p>2nd [3] 12:20 37:24 51:20</p>	<p>3:40 [1] 51:2</p> <p>3:43 [1] 270:5</p> <p>3:55 [1] 137:3</p> <p>3rd [3] 4:14 241:14 304:4</p> <p>-4-</p> <p>4 [2] 2:3 286:4</p> <p>40 [1] 70:7</p> <p>41 [2] 24:10 35:25</p> <p>42 [5] 40:19 62:11 138:25 143:8 164:21</p> <p>47 [3] 310:8 350:4 351:2</p> <p>4:32 [1] 271:9</p> <p>4th [3] 88:20 93:8 130:7</p> <p>-5-</p> <p>5 [4] 253:8 274:10,12,20</p> <p>5:33 [1] 104:23</p> <p>5th [3] 366:3,4 367:9</p> <p>-6-</p> <p>6th [4] 271:9 273:5 366:3 367:3</p> <p>-7-</p> <p>73 [2] 47:5 307:8</p> <p>763 [4] 86:22,22 87:8,23</p> <p>-8-</p> <p>8 [1] 110:11</p> <p>8:24 [1] 110:4</p> <p>8:39 [1] 253:16</p> <p>-9-</p> <p>900 [1] 107:6</p> <p>939 [2] 87:23 100:8</p> <p>950 [1] 307:7</p> <p>9:07 [1] 366:4</p> <p>9:30 [1] 373:19</p> <p>9:36 [1] 272:1</p> <p>9:47 [1] 273:14</p> <p>9th [2] 160:10 273:13</p>	<p>137:24 199:12,17</p> <p>ability [5] 23:15 26:16 95:3 183:13 374:9</p> <p>able [26] 26:16 43:16 49:14 53:25 54:6 55:15 67:3 77:23 78:6,9,13 111:12 170:1 176:10 226:14 235:22 253:10,20 260:24 270:15 279:13 282:20 293:11 316:16 320:7 324:16</p> <p>above [3] 43:12 243:21 340:16</p> <p>absent [1] 284:3</p> <p>absolutely [6] 39:7 50:24 101:7 120:19 155:2 200:6</p> <p>academic [2] 219:25 221:10</p> <p>acceded [1] 300:17</p> <p>accept [1] 157:11</p> <p>acceptable [7] 60:8,13 60:14 70:22 71:5,13 87:17</p> <p>accepted [3] 30:5,7 112:15</p> <p>access [8] 10:12 308:18 308:25 331:16 334:9 358:7 360:18 366:20</p> <p>accessed [1] 43:17</p> <p>accommodate [1] 373:13</p> <p>accompanied [1] 114:3</p> <p>accomplished [1] 206:6</p> <p>accord [1] 168:12</p> <p>accordance [2] 360:23 373:12</p> <p>according [2] 86:21 361:3</p> <p>accordingly [1] 182:10</p> <p>accords [1] 167:1</p> <p>account [4] 184:21,25 224:21 234:22</p> <p>accountable [1] 209:19</p> <p>accreditation [3] 67:11 67:21 116:2</p> <p>accurate [4] 123:23 159:2 186:4 253:24</p> <p>acknowledge [1] 108:10</p> <p>acknowledged [1] 29:9</p> <p>ACOG [1] 245:7</p> <p>Act [1] 312:11</p> <p>acted [2] 153:3,9</p> <p>acting [3] 153:8 184:18 259:13</p> <p>action [14] 1:15 24:10,13 24:23 26:1,15 27:4 28:3 29:25 35:25 36:16 55:2 71:2 330:4</p> <p>actioned [1] 134:2</p> <p>actions [1] 124:18</p> <p>activities [11] 209:4,13 209:20 212:16 217:14 219:22 223:3 224:20,22</p>
<p>-1-</p> <p>1 [5] 245:17 284:2,10 303:23 361:10</p> <p>1.5 [3] 74:9,14 243:16</p> <p>10 [1] 312:17</p> <p>100 [3] 58:14 87:14 104:24</p> <p>1000 [1] 189:20</p> <p>101 [10] 43:1 47:4 63:9 72:20 73:5 166:10 311:2 345:22 346:8,19</p> <p>104 [8] 41:16,25 42:2,3 42:17 58:17 63:4 86:6</p> <p>109 [11] 56:19,22 57:6,10 59:2,22,22 61:3 62:2 64:13 87:14</p> <p>10:00 [1] 243:14</p> <p>10:20 [1] 295:3</p> <p>10:36 [1] 95:16</p> <p>10th [2] 44:21 55:25</p> <p>11 [1] 79:19</p> <p>111 [1] 140:6</p> <p>1131 [1] 253:15</p> <p>117 [10] 41:18 42:8,15 100:6,9 104:19 107:6,13 110:7 150:19</p> <p>11:20 [2] 242:16 250:9</p> <p>11th [14] 84:14 88:13 95:16 96:2 97:22 98:8 99:2 101:9 104:21 110:1 110:5 112:14 147:7 149:17</p> <p>12 [3] 168:8 171:13 176:23</p> <p>121 [2] 2:3,4</p> <p>12:06 [1] 243:23</p> <p>12th [2] 79:19 112:3</p>	<p>13 [1] 62:21</p> <p>13th [5] 243:15 244:1,2 245:8 270:13</p> <p>148 [1] 62:17</p> <p>15 [1] 45:17</p> <p>153 [2] 2:4,5</p> <p>15th [9] 127:13,17 129:4 129:12 130:1 158:19 159:10 177:2 181:1</p> <p>16 [1] 109:22</p> <p>1628 [1] 67:8</p> <p>1652 [2] 19:12 23:20</p> <p>1687 [1] 205:1</p> <p>1694 [2] 204:25 205:1</p> <p>16th [2] 243:16 244:1</p> <p>17 [4] 168:8 241:17 311:2 346:9</p> <p>170 [2] 2:5,6</p> <p>1718 [3] 303:22,23 363:20</p> <p>1719 [1] 303:3</p> <p>174 [3] 307:21 311:1 346:7</p> <p>176 [7] 72:9,12,17,18 86:9,14 140:5</p> <p>17th [1] 283:13</p> <p>186 [2] 21:14,15</p> <p>18th [4] 64:24 65:3 113:13 304:13</p> <p>19 [8] 1:4 271:22 338:24 345:23 346:20,25,25 347:1</p> <p>1988 [1] 208:3</p> <p>1997 [1] 345:18</p> <p>19th [18] 24:12 36:1 51:16 54:22 242:15 245:5 247:16 249:2 253:7 274:9 274:12,15,20 283:15 306:1 340:5 374:5,12</p> <p>1:12 [1] 245:5</p> <p>1:43 [1] 136:6</p> <p>1st [2] 17:7,11</p>	<p>242:16 253:16 270:5 271:9 273:13 295:3 304:4 304:13 306:1 336:23 337:24 338:6,22,24 339:17</p> <p>2007 [7] 113:13 115:14 202:13 335:19 366:3 367:3,9</p> <p>2008 [3] 1:4 374:5,12</p> <p>201 [2] 2:6,7</p> <p>204 [2] 2:7,9</p> <p>205 [1] 3:2</p> <p>20th [7] 32:2,7 34:4 36:19 245:8 295:3 336:3</p> <p>21 [1] 271:23</p> <p>211 [1] 21:17</p> <p>213 [4] 21:17 62:13 63:3 86:6</p> <p>21st [8] 122:1 152:10 159:13 171:10,18 174:22 191:11 336:3</p> <p>22 [5] 64:18,18 167:22 337:24 343:20</p> <p>22nd [1] 136:2</p> <p>23 [2] 338:6 339:17</p> <p>23rd [24] 31:9 34:11 38:8 39:10 51:1 84:16,22 97:18 103:8 112:12 132:24 134:20 135:18 137:15 138:7 139:17 150:3,9,22 164:15 193:23 197:16 200:20 253:16</p> <p>24 [2] 307:7,12</p> <p>25th [1] 175:19</p> <p>2760 [1] 100:8</p> <p>278 [2] 166:25 167:15</p> <p>279 [1] 167:21</p> <p>28 [1] 58:8</p> <p>280 [1] 168:6</p> <p>2800 [10] 56:24 57:10 58:8,13 59:2,22 86:22 87:15,19 101:1</p> <p>29 [1] 335:19</p> <p>29th [2] 243:14,25</p> <p>2:54 [1] 136:21</p> <p>2:59 [1] 136:25</p> <p>2nd [3] 12:20 37:24 51:20</p>	<p>3:</p>	

<p>326:5 372:16 activity [2] 224:24 232:17 actual [21] 37:8 80:4,25 116:17 124:2 245:19 248:15 261:21 268:17 269:14 294:19 315:1 335:10 336:2 338:20 340:11 346:4 349:11,15 350:21 363:22 acute [4] 210:7 211:25 212:4 216:3 add [4] 196:16,18 219:17 228:16 added [2] 215:12 234:10 addition [5] 168:7,8 213:21 217:5 227:3 additional [9] 10:19 11:4 16:24 21:8 44:10 116:3,14 119:23 178:21 address [7] 69:9 89:8 135:17 196:23 338:21 364:11,15 addressed [9] 18:5 20:21 54:12 116:10 186:13 241:14 283:13 324:17 364:13 addressing [1] 28:10 adequacy [1] 284:18 adequate [2] 110:25 308:22 adequately [2] 270:20 270:21 adheres [1] 284:20 adjourn [2] 203:18 373:18 adjust [1] 232:17 administered [1] 20:3 administration [2] 206:6 271:3 administrative [4] 19:17 169:4 213:22 270:6 admit [3] 124:11 201:24 201:25 admittedly [1] 178:4 advance [12] 129:15 193:6 194:1,1,7 197:13 197:24 199:14 200:4,22 311:19 320:4 Advanced [3] 312:11,17 318:18 advantage [2] 313:15 314:3 adverse [7] 278:3,24 289:8 320:5 325:6 355:4 355:15 advice [31] 25:10 28:15 29:4 30:4,16 54:7 55:20 98:19 122:5 123:1 129:19 156:5 157:11 173:21,23 173:24 174:7,7 177:3 184:9,15,17 186:23 191:6 191:12 258:17 263:1 265:19 331:21 332:18 359:13 advise [6] 137:7 159:6</p>	<p>190:5,21,21 284:21 advised [6] 35:1 270:17 273:6 283:21 363:13 366:13 advising [1] 284:23 advisor [2] 16:8 118:6 advisory [2] 184:18 212:24 advocacy [1] 200:14 advocating [1] 185:4 affected [8] 59:24 62:4 86:7 107:13 189:21 193:21 330:25,25 afraid [1] 220:22 after-hours [1] 246:12 aftermath [1] 109:25 afternoon [10] 40:5 121:21 136:6,21 170:22 229:24 273:5 294:22,24 302:21 afterwards [1] 93:15 again [82] 7:20 9:25 16:5 21:7 22:14 23:6,9 26:23 28:19 35:20 46:16,19 47:25 48:2 49:17 53:6 56:6 58:10 60:14 63:10 65:25 66:2,6 67:2 70:6 72:21 78:22 81:13 82:8 82:8 85:16,19,19 90:4,7 90:7 106:13 109:24 110:22 115:10 116:12 117:6 119:13 120:1 122:15 124:7 125:16,24 128:7,8 129:8,20 146:24 149:10 157:12,23 158:12 158:22 159:1,9 165:21 166:4 168:11,14 169:9 180:25 185:3,15 186:12 187:17 189:15 192:21 199:16 261:10 274:2,3 302:13,15 329:11 330:19 350:13 365:5 against [2] 26:1 28:19 agency [4] 28:22 113:6 257:24 283:12 agenda [2] 135:16 138:14 ago [10] 6:20,21,23,24 36:4,8 98:20 142:15 165:20 362:7 agree [3] 158:9 192:14 366:25 agreed [10] 20:14 21:10 69:15 107:3 108:6,7,13 108:19 154:8 197:20 ahead [15] 80:16 101:10 117:6 130:7 138:2 185:11 195:14 204:3 212:9,13 242:9 274:17,20 333:13 333:19 al [1] 1:10 alarm [1] 193:20 alarmed [1] 36:7 alerted [2] 118:17 119:20 alive [3] 64:5 289:25 318:8</p>	<p>alleviated [1] 94:5 allow [3] 83:25 287:16 323:25 allowed [1] 287:9 alluded [1] 174:13 almost [5] 158:3 169:8 213:8 271:18 274:8 along [7] 182:25 222:22 223:12 246:22 254:17 271:16 366:24 always [10] 25:9 26:20 26:20,24 61:19 89:15 109:11,16 113:4 275:8 among [6] 32:21 33:4 130:8 144:5 199:19 302:9 amongst [6] 24:8 95:14 96:1 235:8 266:13 347:13 amount [13] 16:22 18:1 20:1 21:18 23:7 45:14 70:9,21 76:18 101:23 217:12 234:3 263:22 analogy [1] 366:14 analysis [10] 38:19 40:23 47:3 59:8 143:10 217:18 263:5 349:11,15 352:1 analyst [1] 154:20 analyzed [2] 73:17 139:4 angle [1] 183:16 announcement [6] 47:8 49:12 77:21 132:4,11 142:1 announcements [1] 91:22 announcing [2] 200:10 200:17 annual [2] 13:11 220:21 annually [1] 20:4 anonymous [1] 366:14 answer [21] 16:8 27:19 27:20 43:24 44:21 46:3 48:10 56:14 98:1 111:13 111:21,25 112:4,10 170:1 170:2 238:17 287:14 318:7 320:7 355:2 answered [5] 16:3 54:13 135:19 170:6 192:12 answering [2] 133:6 332:10 answers [3] 12:7 110:12 165:19 antibodies [2] 161:5,11 anticipate [2] 222:8 245:18 anticipated [2] 247:16 291:3 anticipation [2] 96:23 133:5 anyhow [1] 36:18 anytime [2] 113:5 230:3 anyway [11] 33:23 81:13 207:2 221:21 230:11 234:3 250:16 275:11 295:24 299:14 328:3 apologize [11] 17:19 83:3 85:4 86:13 168:14</p>	<p>168:19 176:4 283:11 337:23,23 363:20 apparatus [1] 374:10 apparent [1] 284:4 appeal [1] 189:6 appear [1] 94:24 Appearances [1] 1:5 appended [2] 343:19,19 appreciate [15] 45:16 58:11 156:21 159:9 170:13 181:3 191:2 215:16 241:22,25 277:5 300:4 364:9 372:14 373:16 appreciated [2] 169:1,9 appreciation [3] 169:14 183:12,15 apprised [2] 301:5,6 approach [11] 113:4 143:4 165:21 187:2 200:2 216:10 326:15 329:6 353:25 357:6 367:19 approached [4] 13:22 38:2 238:22 326:14 appropriate [17] 19:23 20:25 21:12 63:12 91:3 91:19 115:20 163:20 173:5 183:7 230:20 319:15 321:1 326:16 345:12 359:18 373:8 appropriately [1] 361:14 April [3] 97:14 306:23 307:3 archiving [1] 118:23 area [17] 88:6 164:13 206:15 207:12,12,15,16 209:4 212:17 214:5,7 221:3,6 226:23 248:24 322:3 368:5 areas [7] 170:24 207:21 213:21,23 214:20,23 216:21 arguably [2] 354:4,23 argue [1] 69:23 argument [2] 70:18 368:14 arising [2] 203:13,15 aromatase [1] 62:16 arose [1] 289:24 arrange [7] 12:9 13:3 133:5 137:15 159:3 230:5 304:14 arranged [2] 38:7 236:6 arrangement [3] 218:18 219:9,11 arrangements [1] 159:7 arranging [1] 134:21 arrived [4] 42:12 62:3,7 274:25 arrow [1] 313:13 article [2] 51:15 54:24 articulate [1] 78:14 Arts [1] 205:24</p>	<p>ASAP [1] 187:8 aside [3] 169:5 234:16 234:21 asks [1] 234:19 Assembly [4] 40:6 85:5 137:1,11 assessed [1] 73:14 assigned [1] 227:17 assist [5] 10:11 133:6 209:20 219:21 252:15 assistance [6] 5:23 6:3 10:11,20 11:14 361:7 assistant [6] 4:20 25:22 111:20 118:5 154:19 270:6 assisting [2] 92:15,20 Association [2] 1:16 206:8 assume [5] 154:24 176:15 177:18 178:20 195:10 assumed [3] 148:10 153:11 289:24 assuming [2] 159:1 202:1 assumption [1] 149:6 assure [1] 115:18 assured [1] 116:21 attached [4] 37:19 95:19 338:2,4 attachment [1] 37:20 attempt [1] 143:10 attend [12] 101:10 127:13 134:23 231:14,16 235:1 252:12 253:10,11,12,20 270:15 attendance [7] 18:13 22:23 23:24 32:25 39:14 51:4 65:10 attended [6] 39:10 101:14 127:19 142:9 150:2 344:16 attending [4] 16:16 17:5 122:3 244:12 attention [11] 35:12 103:18,24 104:8 240:22 284:6,16 286:5,11 301:16 362:18 attitude [2] 108:22,24 attract [1] 23:15 attributed [5] 74:17,22 77:8 82:23 161:18 au [2] 4:21 10:16 August [32] 12:2,10,20 17:2 23:10 37:24,25 51:20 64:24 65:2,15 85:20 117:18 127:13,17 129:4,12 130:1 133:1 139:12,15,21,25 155:16 158:19 159:10 174:11 177:2 181:1 182:1 188:1 190:11 authorities [3] 1:20 75:3 75:9 authority [5] 1:12 28:18</p>
--	---	--	--	---

<p>122:19 179:19 302:6 automated [2] 124:25 127:10 avail [1] 225:2 availability [1] 272:5 available [45] 10:4,13 10:20 11:11 39:1 141:20 162:20 163:7 167:24 177:5 178:9 215:1,3 227:23 253:11 254:10,14 254:16,19,20 255:7,12 256:14,14 260:23 272:20 273:8 275:4 287:2 288:4 288:5,8,9 313:25 314:9 314:20,25 315:7 324:3 352:21 359:21 360:14 361:14,18 372:7 Avalon [1] 214:23 avoidable [1] 323:13 avoidable/unavoidable [2] 309:5 323:5 aware [38] 14:17,18 24:19 35:16 36:18 49:14 54:20 72:3,5 88:10,23 89:1 99:6 101:16 102:11 102:14 115:23 118:20 131:5 135:22 137:12 138:1 146:1,8 157:13 192:2,5,7 202:19 203:1 234:22 238:23,24 249:14 258:23 259:2 260:12 345:9 away [17] 27:21,23 44:10 73:12 87:13,17 88:2 128:17 183:1 184:13,14 189:3 191:23 241:8 245:7 275:13 291:4 awfully [1] 110:19</p>	<p>222:4 282:6 310:21 326:25 330:22 346:2,23 358:3 basis [3] 15:5 45:25 49:19 batch [3] 232:24 345:19 345:21 batches [2] 232:13 292:13 beams [1] 98:21 bear [1] 31:2 bearing [2] 237:19 357:5 became [12] 19:17 21:1 50:16 166:14 168:21 189:4 190:14 208:20 209:25 210:1 256:13 345:9 become [1] 217:1 becomes [2] 117:11 271:18 beforehand [2] 132:13 290:15 began [3] 44:2 275:13 275:21 begin [3] 75:21 208:1 256:4 beginning [5] 37:24 138:19 256:22 275:4 331:13 begins [1] 312:12 behalf [7] 5:7 58:16 194:13 212:24 259:13 320:16 321:5 behind [5] 66:3,4 182:3 188:1 279:6 beliefs [1] 223:17 below [3] 311:7 312:25 340:11 beneficial [1] 195:13 benefit [3] 140:24 197:12 350:16 bereavement [3] 206:18 214:5 368:6 Bernard [3] 1:6 2:9 204:4 best [12] 8:2 156:5 157:11 159:21 174:6 184:9,15 184:16 191:6,12 373:13 374:9 better [12] 19:22 44:20 44:20,23 46:8 60:18 170:2 175:5 177:9 183:11 183:15 197:12 between [18] 8:7 45:10 81:15 88:21 89:9 90:12 91:9 101:21 130:12 137:13 169:19 198:11 218:19 222:13 297:12 298:10 328:1 355:8 beyond [1] 118:22 bid [2] 24:10 35:25 big [3] 74:2 162:3 279:7 billing [1] 21:8 bioethicists [1] 221:8 bioethics [5] 220:22</p>	<p>221:4,7,16 372:4 bit [21] 16:17 26:7 87:8 119:1 130:7 151:14 167:20 182:5 183:17 227:12 230:2 246:13 256:16 268:23 270:16 274:11 295:19,25 320:4 333:25 368:12 Blair [1] 1:19 blank [1] 180:15 blocked [3] 5:8 13:13 366:10 blood [1] 222:25 board [10] 16:18,24 73:9 73:14 108:16 175:4 212:18,22,24,25 boardroom [2] 40:8,8 boards [1] 214:22 Bob [11] 39:17 270:18 295:4 296:25 297:18,20 298:8 304:15 305:15 338:2 362:24 Bonavista [2] 131:23 214:24 Bonnell [21] 39:21 52:17 57:5,12 58:3 81:12 88:23 91:13 93:1 130:9 136:8 136:21,25 137:4 145:14 145:16,22 146:17,25 198:7 363:5 books [2] 118:13,14 Boone [25] 256:10 257:12 259:12,23 260:6 262:21 265:17 267:16 271:20 272:9,17 273:7 274:10 295:16 296:12,14 297:12 299:2,20 300:8 306:19 331:7 332:20 344:23 359:25 Boone's [6] 257:6 262:5 266:1 300:17 334:8 359:13 borne [1] 141:5 bother [1] 166:15 bottom [12] 5:21 42:25 63:8 72:18 86:14 105:6 166:9,24 242:14 250:8 304:19 366:3 bouncing [1] 279:16 bracket [1] 308:9 Bradbury [1] 115:3 branch [1] 330:17 break [8] 121:9 203:19 203:21 294:20,22,24 302:19,21 breakdown [1] 40:15 breast [13] 1:14 24:10 68:18 105:1 110:6,21,23 136:17 160:13 163:11,18 239:5 345:10 brief [5] 102:3 124:1 144:9 205:20 268:19 briefed [2] 135:13 158:23 briefing [67] 7:19,22 8:25 12:9,22 13:3 15:6</p>	<p>28:1 35:6 37:17,18 38:3 38:14,22 39:5 47:11 49:13,20 51:11,19 54:23 55:6 56:1,6 64:25 65:3 76:13 79:16,19 81:18,23 82:3 83:5,6 84:2,14 88:13 92:15,18 93:10 95:17,18 97:19 100:18 101:9 104:18 109:25 110:6 112:11 117:18 136:23 137:5,6,9 139:16 142:19 147:7,25 193:24 194:2,8 196:24 197:13 197:24 199:13 200:4,22 briefings [1] 158:3 bring [19] 83:20 124:21 127:12 155:4,6,8,12 175:3 177:13 200:8 249:1 249:3 251:18 277:14 301:15 334:12 338:17 339:19 343:4 bringing [3] 151:22 252:15 318:24 brings [2] 206:17 267:21 broad [4] 143:24 152:14 185:19 326:25 broader [5] 53:22 173:6 207:12 217:5 282:5 broke [2] 176:15 187:22 broken [3] 62:13 63:11 68:24 brought [16] 23:19 26:21 35:12 90:16 151:21 177:18 200:13 227:6,8 230:25 307:17 322:5 323:18 328:17 364:21 368:4 Browne [51] 2:5 153:22 153:23,24 154:1,17,23 155:10,18,25 156:7,16 157:1,8,20 158:8,15,25 159:8,16 160:2,8,18 161:3,10,24 162:7,13,18 163:8,15,22 164:7,12 165:5,11,16 166:7,18 167:5,14,19 168:5,16,17 168:19 169:16 170:7,11 370:25 371:1 Browne/ [1] 1:9 Brunger [1] 218:14 Brunswick [1] 179:12 budget [1] 200:8 build [2] 114:3 131:7 built [1] 209:18 bullet [4] 68:6 72:9,19 157:10 Burin [1] 214:23 business [3] 169:7 275:12 278:10 busy [4] 8:13 96:21 178:5 291:1 button [1] 189:19 BW [1] 304:15</p>	<p>Cabinet [1] 117:17 calculate [1] 144:17 calculated [1] 143:12 calculation [2] 58:7 59:18 calculations [1] 85:25 caller [1] 110:4 calls [3] 12:4 229:18 294:7 Cameron [2] 1:3 374:6 Canada [1] 237:24 Canadian [17] 1:17 80:15,19 81:16 170:23 194:2,9,10,13 195:4,22 196:2 198:12 200:14 212:19 220:21 330:16 cancelled [1] 274:14 cancer [30] 1:14,17 24:11 80:15,20 81:16 104:20 105:1 110:6,21,23 136:17 160:13 162:21 163:11,18 170:23 194:2,9,10,13 195:4,22 196:2 198:12 243:11 245:7 283:12 330:16 345:10 cancers [1] 239:5 cannot [2] 110:18 191:7 canvas [1] 154:5 canvassed [1] 348:13 canvassing [1] 238:6 capacity [3] 184:18 210:5 249:9 capitalized [1] 67:15 captured [1] 308:21 care [66] 74:2 100:5 110:15 123:15 206:19 207:10,15 208:10,10,12 208:13,17,18,21 210:3,8 210:10,14,21,22 211:1 211:25 212:8 213:17,17 213:25 215:1,24 216:4 216:10,23 218:20 219:1 220:7,11,12 222:15,16 223:4,24 225:24 226:24 227:25 229:18 230:18 236:3 249:22 274:13 277:24 303:13 311:19 312:11,17 318:19 320:5 335:17 338:4,19 345:25 346:22 347:3,23 358:15 358:17,23 360:23 career [3] 174:16,17 207:19 careful [2] 29:5,11 caring [1] 173:5 carried [1] 124:18 carry [2] 141:10,21 carrying [2] 126:7 142:7 case [60] 19:22 26:2,4,12 28:7 40:23 55:19 92:3 132:17 143:4 160:4 161:8 176:18 178:19 180:20 221:16 226:11 227:12 228:6 229:12 230:17 232:1,12 234:8 243:17 244:25 254:21 255:20</p>					
<p align="center">-B-</p> <p>b'y [1] 25:25 B.C [1] 283:12 Bachelor [1] 205:24 background [7] 150:23 177:12 205:21 262:15 265:15 268:23 279:10 bad [1] 57:22 balance [1] 222:12 balancing [1] 223:1 Bandau [1] 338:11 Bandrauk [14] 218:14 254:16 266:23,25,25 267:2 274:2 282:11 306:19 338:14,25 342:5 344:18 347:13 Bandrauk's [2] 339:20 343:21 Banerjee [3] 14:10 283:12,19 Banerjee's [1] 370:7 Barrington [2] 136:7 137:4 based [21] 7:18 29:4 30:4 30:16 33:11 55:20 70:19 77:24 102:7 129:19 150:21 157:17 171:8</p>		<p>books [2] 118:13,14 Boone [25] 256:10 257:12 259:12,23 260:6 262:21 265:17 267:16 271:20 272:9,17 273:7 274:10 295:16 296:12,14 297:12 299:2,20 300:8 306:19 331:7 332:20 344:23 359:25 Boone's [6] 257:6 262:5 266:1 300:17 334:8 359:13 borne [1] 141:5 bother [1] 166:15 bottom [12] 5:21 42:25 63:8 72:18 86:14 105:6 166:9,24 242:14 250:8 304:19 366:3 bouncing [1] 279:16 bracket [1] 308:9 Bradbury [1] 115:3 branch [1] 330:17 break [8] 121:9 203:19 203:21 294:20,22,24 302:19,21 breakdown [1] 40:15 breast [13] 1:14 24:10 68:18 105:1 110:6,21,23 136:17 160:13 163:11,18 239:5 345:10 brief [5] 102:3 124:1 144:9 205:20 268:19 briefed [2] 135:13 158:23 briefing [67] 7:19,22 8:25 12:9,22 13:3 15:6</p>		<p>bring [19] 83:20 124:21 127:12 155:4,6,8,12 175:3 177:13 200:8 249:1 249:3 251:18 277:14 301:15 334:12 338:17 339:19 343:4 bringing [3] 151:22 252:15 318:24 brings [2] 206:17 267:21 broad [4] 143:24 152:14 185:19 326:25 broader [5] 53:22 173:6 207:12 217:5 282:5 broke [2] 176:15 187:22 broken [3] 62:13 63:11 68:24 brought [16] 23:19 26:21 35:12 90:16 151:21 177:18 200:13 227:6,8 230:25 307:17 322:5 323:18 328:17 364:21 368:4 Browne [51] 2:5 153:22 153:23,24 154:1,17,23 155:10,18,25 156:7,16 157:1,8,20 158:8,15,25 159:8,16 160:2,8,18 161:3,10,24 162:7,13,18 163:8,15,22 164:7,12 165:5,11,16 166:7,18 167:5,14,19 168:5,16,17 168:19 169:16 170:7,11 370:25 371:1 Browne/ [1] 1:9 Brunger [1] 218:14 Brunswick [1] 179:12 budget [1] 200:8 build [2] 114:3 131:7 built [1] 209:18 bullet [4] 68:6 72:9,19 157:10 Burin [1] 214:23 business [3] 169:7 275:12 278:10 busy [4] 8:13 96:21 178:5 291:1 button [1] 189:19 BW [1] 304:15</p>		<p>bring [19] 83:20 124:21 127:12 155:4,6,8,12 175:3 177:13 200:8 249:1 249:3 251:18 277:14 301:15 334:12 338:17 339:19 343:4 bringing [3] 151:22 252:15 318:24 brings [2] 206:17 267:21 broad [4] 143:24 152:14 185:19 326:25 broader [5] 53:22 173:6 207:12 217:5 282:5 broke [2] 176:15 187:22 broken [3] 62:13 63:11 68:24 brought [16] 23:19 26:21 35:12 90:16 151:21 177:18 200:13 227:6,8 230:25 307:17 322:5 323:18 328:17 364:21 368:4 Browne [51] 2:5 153:22 153:23,24 154:1,17,23 155:10,18,25 156:7,16 157:1,8,20 158:8,15,25 159:8,16 160:2,8,18 161:3,10,24 162:7,13,18 163:8,15,22 164:7,12 165:5,11,16 166:7,18 167:5,14,19 168:5,16,17 168:19 169:16 170:7,11 370:25 371:1 Browne/ [1] 1:9 Brunger [1] 218:14 Brunswick [1] 179:12 budget [1] 200:8 build [2] 114:3 131:7 built [1] 209:18 bullet [4] 68:6 72:9,19 157:10 Burin [1] 214:23 business [3] 169:7 275:12 278:10 busy [4] 8:13 96:21 178:5 291:1 button [1] 189:19 BW [1] 304:15</p>		<p>Cabinet [1] 117:17 calculate [1] 144:17 calculated [1] 143:12 calculation [2] 58:7 59:18 calculations [1] 85:25 caller [1] 110:4 calls [3] 12:4 229:18 294:7 Cameron [2] 1:3 374:6 Canada [1] 237:24 Canadian [17] 1:17 80:15,19 81:16 170:23 194:2,9,10,13 195:4,22 196:2 198:12 200:14 212:19 220:21 330:16 cancelled [1] 274:14 cancer [30] 1:14,17 24:11 80:15,20 81:16 104:20 105:1 110:6,21,23 136:17 160:13 162:21 163:11,18 170:23 194:2,9,10,13 195:4,22 196:2 198:12 243:11 245:7 283:12 330:16 345:10 cancers [1] 239:5 cannot [2] 110:18 191:7 canvas [1] 154:5 canvassed [1] 348:13 canvassing [1] 238:6 capacity [3] 184:18 210:5 249:9 capitalized [1] 67:15 captured [1] 308:21 care [66] 74:2 100:5 110:15 123:15 206:19 207:10,15 208:10,10,12 208:13,17,18,21 210:3,8 210:10,14,21,22 211:1 211:25 212:8 213:17,17 213:25 215:1,24 216:4 216:10,23 218:20 219:1 220:7,11,12 222:15,16 223:4,24 225:24 226:24 227:25 229:18 230:18 236:3 249:22 274:13 277:24 303:13 311:19 312:11,17 318:19 320:5 335:17 338:4,19 345:25 346:22 347:3,23 358:15 358:17,23 360:23 career [3] 174:16,17 207:19 careful [2] 29:5,11 caring [1] 173:5 carried [1] 124:18 carry [2] 141:10,21 carrying [2] 126:7 142:7 case [60] 19:22 26:2,4,12 28:7 40:23 55:19 92:3 132:17 143:4 160:4 161:8 176:18 178:19 180:20 221:16 226:11 227:12 228:6 229:12 230:17 232:1,12 234:8 243:17 244:25 254:21 255:20</p>	
<p align="center">-B-</p>		<p align="center">-C-</p>		<p>C.V [1] 372:4</p>		<p align="center">-C-</p>		<p>C.V [1] 372:4</p>	

Inquiry on Hormone Receptor Testing

<p>256:2,11,19 257:10 261:3 261:5 263:3 265:2,17 267:22,24 269:9,12 271:14 274:7 275:11,14 288:15 289:21 319:15 320:18 326:23 340:23 341:19 348:7,21 350:15 351:12 353:15 357:15,19 365:17</p> <p>caseload [1] 169:2</p> <p>cases [39] 56:24 58:8,13 218:21 222:5 230:8 231:9 232:8,14 233:2 234:11 235:1,7 237:12 241:23 244:22 248:10 254:24 255:10,11,13 257:20 258:2,7 268:22 276:8 277:25 283:22,22,24 323:1 325:17,18 349:12 353:12 357:3 364:10 365:7,11</p> <p>casual [1] 222:10</p> <p>catch [1] 87:2</p> <p>catching [1] 340:15</p> <p>categories [4] 40:16 62:14 143:9,18</p> <p>category [1] 63:12</p> <p>Catholic [1] 207:7</p> <p>caucus [1] 84:25</p> <p>causation [13] 30:23 31:1,6 51:10,11,23 54:17 55:17 65:23 66:2 105:13 139:8 142:19</p> <p>caused [6] 13:1 55:7 77:4 241:3 300:8 354:5</p> <p>causes [5] 133:24 137:22 138:13 139:2 368:25</p> <p>caution [1] 122:22</p> <p>cautious [6] 25:6 28:17 28:25 29:11,25 122:17</p> <p>caveat [1] 196:18</p> <p>CBC [8] 24:11 36:1 37:9 51:16 54:24 104:19 111:7 366:20</p> <p>cc [1] 366:24</p> <p>Central [1] 1:19</p> <p>centralized [2] 67:10,16</p> <p>centre [1] 116:2</p> <p>CEO [3] 90:11 144:8 159:6</p> <p>certain [13] 30:3 32:16 33:11 70:9 78:10 94:22 110:23 172:24 177:15 191:2 252:16 263:22 306:14</p> <p>certainly [66] 8:24 13:6 16:5 17:2 23:4 28:16 33:3,16 35:15 37:21 41:16 45:12 48:23 55:10 61:6 62:1 79:6,6 111:17 113:7 114:2 119:16 122:25 139:1 148:10 155:4 157:16 168:22 172:23 173:17 174:12,20 175:3,4 176:12 178:22 180:24 182:14 183:24 190:11 191:19 194:16,19</p>	<p>195:10 196:9 197:20 198:10,18 199:3,17 209:17 214:18 215:2 224:5 251:18 256:6 258:5 259:17,18 261:4 300:1 302:3 325:15 326:23 347:12 357:15</p> <p>certainty [1] 318:7</p> <p>certificate [4] 2:11 206:4 206:7 374:1</p> <p>certify [1] 374:2</p> <p>cetera [5] 116:7,10 117:20 135:7 366:15</p> <p>chain [5] 136:3,20,24 137:3 326:5</p> <p>chair [2] 108:16 213:1</p> <p>chairing [1] 372:4</p> <p>challenge [7] 52:25 53:11 58:6 162:3 243:13 267:23 275:8</p> <p>challenged [1] 66:7</p> <p>challenges [2] 215:5 222:11</p> <p>chance [1] 102:25</p> <p>change [35] 7:6 9:16,16 15:17 22:6,9 41:19 42:18 43:14 45:1 57:20 58:16 58:17 61:11,16,18 62:6 62:11,13 63:4,13 64:7 86:5,17 98:11 100:5,10 119:3 126:14 140:24 143:11 192:25 193:14,19 337:10</p> <p>changed [16] 22:14 42:7 66:20 94:16,19 98:22 104:7 107:16 119:7 172:13 187:21 208:7,8 213:15 216:9 314:18</p> <p>changes [10] 43:13,20 56:19,22 57:7 61:3 63:5 87:14,15 315:13</p> <p>channel [1] 92:25</p> <p>Chaplin [3] 131:2,6,6</p> <p>characteristics [1] 284:2</p> <p>chart [2] 366:14,20</p> <p>chatted [1] 10:1</p> <p>chatting [1] 14:3</p> <p>Chaytor [295] 1:7 2:3 4:2,3,5,10,22 5:12,16 6:7 7:3 8:4,18 9:5,17 10:6 10:24 11:16,21 12:1,11 12:16 13:20 14:5,16,21 15:9,16,23 16:10 17:8 17:12,22 18:11,16,20,24 19:6,10,19 20:7,19 21:19 22:5,17,22 23:18 24:5 24:20 25:1,11 26:11 27:2 27:25 29:2,17,23 30:12 30:21 31:4,11,17,21,25 32:18 33:6,10,18 34:1 34:12,20,25 35:10,17,22 37:2,5,12 38:6,12 39:3,8 39:13,22 40:1,17,22 41:3 41:8 42:1,6,16,21 43:3 43:18,23 45:3,8 46:4,22 47:9,14,20 48:11,25 49:11 50:6,12,19,23</p>	<p>51:14 52:6,13,19,24 53:3 53:20 54:9,19 55:3,12 55:22 56:18 57:11,15,24 58:5,21 59:4,9,15,25 60:6,17,21 61:8,21 62:9 63:15 64:4,17,22 65:5,9 65:13,18 66:12,18,24 67:6,24 68:3,15 69:1,8 69:20 70:14,23 71:17,24 72:4,8,25 73:6,16,21 74:8,20,25 75:6,12,22 76:5,20,25 77:6,12 78:2 78:8,18 79:3,8,13 80:1 80:23 81:5,9,19 82:11 82:17,25 83:3,9,23 84:12 84:20 85:11,22 86:10,19 87:1,5,18,22 88:1,4,11 88:19 89:2,11,21 90:13 90:21 91:7,23 92:5,22 93:6,13,18 94:1,9,13,20 95:5,11 96:5,14,18,25 97:5,9,15 98:6,12,16,24 99:8,15 100:14,22 101:2 101:8,13,17 102:10,16 102:20 103:11,16,22 104:3,11,16 105:16 106:17 107:4,19,24 108:9 108:21 109:2,21 112:1,8 112:22 113:5,10,18 114:2 114:10,23 115:5,12 116:13,18 117:7,21 118:7 118:16,21 119:15,19 120:6,13,20 121:4,23 140:14 149:15 154:8 202:9 203:10,13,14</p> <p>check [1] 27:14</p> <p>checked [1] 9:25</p> <p>chemo [1] 110:14</p> <p>Ches [1] 69:22</p> <p>Chesley [1] 1:13</p> <p>chief [5] 169:4 174:14 251:13,14 252:11</p> <p>children [1] 312:12</p> <p>choice [1] 6:17</p> <p>chose [3] 25:17,19 176:19</p> <p>chronology [2] 4:13 99:18</p> <p>CIHR [4] 212:19 213:2 213:4,6</p> <p>circle [1] 43:1</p> <p>circled [1] 63:8</p> <p>circles [1] 109:16</p> <p>circulated [1] 340:21</p> <p>circumstances [2] 76:19 333:7</p> <p>citizen [1] 332:21</p> <p>claims [2] 28:19 260:7</p> <p>clarification [4] 178:3 194:20 196:18 329:12</p> <p>clarify [3] 31:3 140:7 196:7</p> <p>class [8] 1:15 24:10,13 26:14 35:25 36:16 55:2 71:2</p> <p>clear [24] 9:10 12:14 13:17 28:14 49:17 53:14 59:7 73:9 84:6 122:4</p>	<p>142:16 149:25 150:2 160:22 189:1 200:16 251:4 255:5 279:8 319:5 353:13 354:25 368:24 370:4</p> <p>clearer [1] 122:8</p> <p>clearly [10] 53:15 60:11 78:14 82:6 200:25 282:2 291:12 354:21,23 357:19</p> <p>Clerk's [1] 40:7</p> <p>client [3] 326:18,18 332:17</p> <p>clinical [14] 19:16 140:21 141:1,10 169:4 177:19 216:20 219:23 228:8,11 251:13,14 313:15 314:3</p> <p>clinician [1] 155:8</p> <p>clinicians [2] 56:9 69:6</p> <p>clinics [1] 244:9</p> <p>clippings [2] 103:1,3</p> <p>close [1] 322:10</p> <p>closed [1] 365:17</p> <p>closer [1] 80:4</p> <p>Co-counsel [2] 1:6,7</p> <p>coast [1] 10:17</p> <p>code [2] 265:20,20</p> <p>Coffey [395] 1:6 2:9 97:13 203:23,24 204:5 204:14,18,24 205:6,12 205:18 206:10,23 207:3 207:25 208:4 209:6,23 210:4,9,15,20 211:2,6 211:11,15,20,24 212:3,7 212:12 213:5,9,14 214:13 215:10,15,22 216:2,8,16 217:7 218:2,8,25 219:10 219:14 220:1,8,13 221:18 223:5,21 225:4,11,19 227:15 228:7,15,22 229:1 232:3 233:8,19 236:1,14 236:18,22 237:1,7,16 238:4,14,19 239:16,25 240:4,9,13 241:12 242:7 242:12,23 244:16 245:2 245:13,25 246:17 247:2 247:15,25 248:12,25 249:8,16,23 250:6,22 251:6,12,17,22 252:2,6 252:13,20 253:13 254:5 255:21 256:3,24 257:4 257:14,21 258:8,19 259:1 259:7,20 260:3,11,17 261:8,16 262:3,8,13,19 263:14 264:7,20 265:5 265:23 266:9,19 267:1,6 267:13 268:4,11,16,25 269:13,17,22 270:1,11 271:7 272:25 273:4,11 273:20,24 274:21 275:16 275:24 276:16 277:2 278:2,17 279:18 280:1,5 280:13,18 281:5,9,13,20 282:8 283:1,9 285:5,9 285:13 286:3,17,21 287:3 287:11,15 288:25 293:14 294:11,12,17,24 295:1 296:7,18 297:19 298:13 298:17 299:1,6,11,15,19 300:3,7,11,16,20,24</p>	<p>301:3,10,14 302:11,18 302:24,25 303:8,16,20 304:3,10,18,23 305:7,13 305:19,23 306:4,13,18 306:22 307:2,6,11,19,25 308:5,10 309:1,6,12,17 309:22 310:1,7,12,16,20 310:25 311:6,11,16,21 311:25 312:14,19,24 313:9,16,20 314:7,12,17 314:23 315:8,21 316:3 316:10,23 317:2,10,16 317:24 318:10,15 319:8 319:12,17 321:2,9,13,21 322:18 323:15 325:2,12 325:22 327:10,14,19,24 328:8,12,23 329:8 330:11 330:21 331:6,18 332:9 332:14 333:8,12,18 334:4 334:14 335:2,7,13 336:4 336:11,16,21 337:1,9,15 337:21 338:10,16 339:4 339:10,16,24 340:19 341:10,25 342:4,9,14,24 343:3,8,13,17,25 344:4 344:8,14,22 345:5 346:12 346:16 347:6,10,17 348:4 348:12,19 349:9,19 350:1 350:9,17,25 351:6,10 352:7,13,23 353:3,18,23 354:9,14,18,22 355:6,13 355:21,25 356:4,8,22 357:14,20,25 358:21 359:2,10 360:6,13,17 361:1,21 362:8 363:12 363:18 364:5 365:4,18 365:25 367:15 368:16 369:7,15,20 370:2,11,16</p> <p>Coffey's [1] 291:16</p> <p>Cole's [1] 239:15</p> <p>collaborative [1] 209:15</p> <p>colleague [1] 244:23</p> <p>colleagues [3] 75:18 92:16 285:17</p> <p>colleagues' [1] 285:1</p> <p>collect [1] 268:23</p> <p>collected [1] 343:9</p> <p>collectively [3] 79:22 102:6 149:5</p> <p>comfort [4] 16:5 111:6 116:6 189:18</p> <p>comfortable [6] 109:19 117:3,5 144:16 183:21 276:20</p> <p>coming [18] 34:10 88:12 117:16 121:19 122:16 132:18 147:25 155:22 169:22 179:24 191:23 224:15 240:21 258:15 286:18 290:6 309:7 312:3</p> <p>commenced [2] 24:24 28:3</p> <p>comment [13] 49:1 52:14 81:25 111:24 112:13,21 113:1 141:6 147:4 321:3 324:21 327:4 334:20</p> <p>commenting [1] 183:22</p> <p>comments [10] 34:16 77:8,11 310:4 322:22</p>
--	--	---	---	---

Inquiry on Hormone Receptor Testing

326:3 334:7,8 341:24 349:3 Commission [8] 1:1,6 1:7 204:8 205:14 373:6 374:4,7 commissioner [98] 1:3 4:1,6 25:2,6 120:24 121:8,12,16 153:21,25 154:12 161:7 168:19 170:14,17,18 192:10,20 193:3 201:7,13,16,17,21 203:12,16,22,25 204:20 204:22 205:2,7 220:17 225:5 233:9 235:10,14 235:24 237:4 238:20 245:4,14 250:24 254:7 287:8,20 288:7 289:22 290:10,17,22 291:9,15 292:18,23 293:7,13,24 294:10,14,15,21 302:19 302:20,23 303:1,4 317:4 329:10,22 330:2,9 332:12 332:16 333:4,10 339:5 353:24 366:8 370:17,18 370:23,24 371:2,3,6,7 371:11,16,20 372:1,6,15 372:19 373:2,17 374:7 commitment [1] 246:16 committee [4] 213:2,3 226:13 366:6 committees [5] 207:23 226:2,4,6,9 common [1] 216:22 Commonly [1] 204:15 communicate [3] 27:9 92:9 173:8 communicating [3] 136:9 288:1,3 communication [4] 89:9,13 231:24 248:21 communications [14] 27:15 39:20 89:24 92:7 94:16 130:12 136:9 145:17 155:5 156:12,13 361:8 363:2,5 community [3] 10:14 217:13 359:20 company [4] 55:21 122:7,15 258:21 compare [2] 97:20 340:12 comparison [1] 366:19 compensation [1] 114:6 competency [1] 219:20 competent [3] 355:1 361:7,25 compilation [1] 38:19 complaint [1] 22:18 complaints [1] 95:7 complete [4] 46:16 48:1 204:8 292:8 completed [1] 39:4 completely [1] 202:2 completion [1] 124:22 complex [5] 56:1,7,12 123:17 279:17	complexities [1] 256:19 complicated [3] 246:6 256:23 324:11 complications [2] 240:19 263:8 component [4] 125:11 125:19 126:24 217:16 compromise [2] 26:5 55:19 computed [1] 22:13 computer [1] 120:3 computers [1] 336:7 concentrate [1] 71:3 concentration [1] 214:7 concept [2] 116:3 308:24 concern [22] 34:21 79:14 83:24 84:2 94:21 99:3 116:19 131:12 141:14 160:4 172:18 177:23,25 295:21 320:14 321:16 324:14,14,15,18 326:10 332:24 concerned [13] 44:15 45:24 49:2 111:3 131:15 170:3 172:7 230:10 272:13 281:22 295:20 367:8 368:7 concerning [6] 89:23 122:2 130:6 167:7 223:25 314:13 concerns [12] 20:20 49:23 50:13 90:17,23 94:5 98:21 168:7 196:12 260:22 364:18 366:16 conclude [1] 361:5 conclusion [4] 145:19 150:11 280:22 348:14 conclusions [1] 284:9 concrete [1] 278:15 condensed [1] 239:14 condition [1] 231:13 conducted [1] 110:8 conference [6] 56:15,16 195:11 245:7 372:5,16 confidential [2] 49:20 54:7 confidentiality [1] 366:7 confirm [3] 69:23 181:9 272:4 conflict [8] 82:23 91:9 263:19,22 264:8,24 265:8 266:16 conflicts [1] 263:17 confusing [1] 196:2 connected [1] 234:7 connection [4] 76:12 104:10 258:4 265:1 connotation [1] 198:8 conscientious [1] 368:7 conscious [1] 325:15 conscript [1] 271:24 consensus [12] 156:3,8 173:19 235:5,8,15,18,23	277:17 318:24 360:8 364:19 consequences [1] 89:20 consider [2] 199:11 241:9 consideration [12] 223:13 230:14 231:21 234:15 244:19 264:11 272:8 278:22 288:3 314:6 325:24 326:19 considerations [5] 231:19 259:19 263:16 322:4 351:13 considered [6] 21:8 22:7 231:7 235:20 251:1 361:16 considering [1] 230:19 consistency [1] 67:20 constituency [1] 4:19 constituent [1] 5:8 constituents [2] 12:4,25 consult [67] 227:5 228:19 230:21 231:5 232:5 233:7 233:18 234:1,6,14 235:2 238:1,10,23 239:11 242:25 243:2,6 245:20 247:24 248:15 252:19 253:17 259:9,9 260:23 262:7 263:16 264:1 267:19,20 269:7 272:15 289:5,19,24 291:18 292:6 295:5 297:2,3,24 298:11 302:4 304:11 319:3 324:24 325:7,23 326:4 326:11 327:23 329:2,12 331:4 335:21 338:1,3,7 338:24 354:2 356:21 363:9 365:21 366:17,18 367:13 consultants [3] 116:8 117:4 151:21 consultation [27] 209:11 224:1,8,8,13,25 225:8 225:16,17 226:20 228:8 228:11,13 232:12 233:25 234:7,8,18 236:9 237:11 239:19 247:18 259:11 303:15 344:13 361:9 362:18 consultations [6] 11:5 216:20 217:21 226:7 227:7 236:5 consulted [6] 217:15 233:13 237:23 328:5 331:1 366:11 consulting [2] 291:22 330:14 consults [7] 227:20 228:2 232:7 247:21 266:14 274:25 333:16 contact [23] 5:17 9:22 85:12 91:12 117:23,25 129:14,16 134:7,16 193:1 282:22 289:6,10,16 291:5 291:6,20 293:21,23 329:15 358:9 361:5 contacted [17] 5:22 7:8 8:20,22 9:4,6,6,8,13	33:25 117:13 118:2 134:9 171:9 183:7 240:1 248:8 contacting [8] 290:1,8,8 290:19 291:23 293:19 308:16 361:14 contacts [8] 11:17,22 12:15 119:5 120:2 182:21 253:3 329:3 contained [2] 64:24 161:17 contemplated [3] 190:4 192:13 327:15 contemplating [1] 192:16 content [6] 297:6,7 298:2 298:6,6,12 contents [2] 2:1 370:7 context [25] 35:20 36:20 46:8 60:2 165:15 221:22 222:16 223:8,16 240:10 242:20 245:15 270:21 296:2 301:17 309:8 315:9 317:25 325:21,24 332:18 353:12 359:16 367:5 369:17 contingent [1] 319:16 continually [1] 158:24 continue [8] 55:24 67:9 300:13 303:2 324:8 328:22 345:15 358:1 continued [5] 4:4 207:16 208:8 214:17 217:2 continuing [1] 214:3 contractual [2] 219:8 219:11 contribute [2] 331:8 333:17 contributed [3] 354:6 357:2,4 contribution [1] 203:18 control [9] 175:25 176:10,13 177:7,10 179:5 180:19 191:8 325:1 controls [7] 284:3,4,6,7 286:6,8,13 controversial [1] 332:23 convene [1] 138:11 convened [1] 138:16 conversation [12] 24:18 58:19 62:1 63:17 94:8 117:20 131:1 139:25 146:22 168:20 239:6 359:8 conversations [6] 114:21 128:6 254:18 272:16 323:1 362:22 conversion [2] 307:8,12 conversions [1] 100:2 converted [4] 99:23,25 119:11 283:25 convey [2] 157:24 158:17 COO [3] 210:7 211:21 247:12 Cook [40] 75:17 123:4 239:7,12 240:1,14,15	241:15,24 242:3 243:10 248:4,13 250:3,10,18 251:8 252:10 253:10 271:16,23 275:20 276:17 277:3 279:1 282:18 283:13,21,24 292:7 303:25 304:5,25 305:8 306:10 326:10 344:24 363:25 364:6 365:6 cooperation [1] 185:14 cooperative [1] 230:7 copied [3] 198:5 243:18 247:4 copies [1] 95:24 copy [11] 24:11 43:6 95:20 113:20 239:7 295:19 317:15 342:22 344:17 362:24 363:4 copying [2] 243:18,19 cordial [1] 109:14 core [1] 359:16 Corporate [3] 361:8 363:1,5 Corporation [18] 123:15 208:13,17,18 210:11,22 211:1 215:2 215:24 216:11 218:20 219:2 220:7 223:24 225:24 236:3 277:24 303:14 correct [36] 39:7 40:21 42:5 58:15 59:1 70:11 72:16 74:24 77:9 101:24 105:15 110:17,24 113:17 114:17 123:6,12 133:9 143:1 148:3 154:6 159:13 159:15 161:23 162:6 202:1 300:25 301:7 308:1 308:4 309:14 327:16,20 341:19 356:9 374:3 corrected [4] 42:15 69:16 145:23 339:9 correctly [2] 158:10 339:1 correspondence [1] 22:15 couch [1] 79:1 coughing [1] 293:12 counsel [3] 258:12 373:1 373:7 counselling [8] 206:9 206:18 208:10 214:1 322:4 323:8,20 368:6 country [4] 162:25 163:5 179:12,19 couple [18] 12:24 13:7 14:3 20:12 105:7 121:25 148:5 170:24 177:1 188:18 189:23 230:3 232:20 270:3 271:17 291:10 308:18 325:4 course [28] 5:8 9:18 13:13 26:12 28:5 37:18 39:25 41:17 57:9,9 61:18 72:19 117:10 124:24 136:5 145:25 150:19 178:3 205:24 215:17 216:24 231:25 257:5
---	---	---	---	---

<p>273:15 306:5 353:4 360:20 372:25 court [3] 70:4 271:21 274:8 courtesy [3] 80:14 132:8 195:19 courts [7] 28:4 31:3 51:12 53:12 71:16 111:24 112:19 cover [1] 170:24 coverage [7] 101:23 102:12,17 103:13,17,18 359:23 covered [6] 120:22 121:2 121:2,25 164:16 332:18 covers [1] 215:17 created [1] 214:5 credentials [2] 219:20 221:11 credit [1] 108:2 crisis [1] 323:11 Crosbie [8] 1:13 36:2,2 69:22 201:8,9 371:12,13 cross [2] 265:6,9 cross-examination [1] 167:6 cross-examine [1] 282:23 crossed [1] 265:12 Crowley [2] 366:7 367:2 crucial [1] 322:17 crucible [1] 70:4 culling [1] 293:5 culture [1] 223:16 current [6] 205:15 217:24 251:13 252:11 255:24 283:5 cut [2] 317:8,20 CV [1] 205:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-D-</p> <p>D [3] 242:19,19 305:15 DAKO [1] 284:10 Dan [16] 121:17 256:10 257:12 265:16 267:16 271:20 272:3,17 274:10 295:16,19 296:12 297:12 299:2 306:19 334:8 Dan's [2] 295:21 334:7 Daniel [3] 1:11 2:4 121:14 Darrell [9] 2:2 4:3 49:22 66:9 99:13 121:14 153:23 170:20 201:19 Daryl [3] 218:13 242:22 254:10 data [3] 38:19 310:21 341:16 database [1] 187:19 date [13] 4:15 24:12 37:14 56:4 135:12,20 243:23 336:1,2 340:11 340:13,16 372:10</p>	<p>dated [5] 338:5,22 339:17,25 374:11 dates [4] 270:12 272:4 335:24 342:20 daughter [2] 46:18 48:3 Dawe [32] 80:4,9,19,25 82:4,13,19 105:7,22 106:1,9 107:21 108:2,5 108:15,23 109:8,9,18 111:7 112:24 194:2,8,13 195:12 196:2 199:13,21 200:21 201:23,24 330:15 days [7] 115:10 207:11 220:7 224:11 339:18,25 350:12 daytime [1] 246:11 dead [15] 44:16 238:13 260:8 289:17 290:14 291:7 292:10,17 293:23 294:9 314:4 319:25 354:4 354:1 365:13 deal [13] 82:8 161:13 165:24 178:10 179:1,13 179:24,25 194:23 242:3 255:23 323:10,21 dealing [13] 45:18 118:3 175:7,23 176:8,9 179:8 180:7 183:16 191:15 223:25 326:22 368:9 dealt [3] 110:24 114:13 370:4 death [12] 105:24 106:12 206:8,19,20,22 310:9 354:5,5,21 355:14 357:16 death-related [1] 206:15 deaths [2] 357:3,4 debate [1] 222:15 debrief [1] 85:10 debriefed [2] 80:4,25 debriefing [1] 195:3 decade [1] 220:4 deceased [46] 43:10,15 43:19 46:13,24 47:15 48:13 49:6,7 50:7,14 63:19 66:19 72:10,12 140:4,6,12 141:3,15 165:14 167:9 239:20 240:24 241:5,17 243:1,5 253:18 274:5 307:21 311:2 312:6 314:1 316:6 326:1 329:17 331:16 345:23 346:8,19 358:6 359:14 361:13 362:9 367:19 December [26] 29:3 30:3 30:8,11,22 44:21 55:6 55:25 79:19 84:14 88:20 93:7 95:16 96:2 97:21 98:8 99:2 101:9 104:21 110:1,5 112:3,14 130:7 147:7 149:17 decide [4] 71:16 185:22 228:23 255:17 decided [6] 29:18 44:12 129:13 177:2 188:5 272:17</p>	<p>deciding [1] 326:6 decision [29] 29:3,4 30:2 48:12,14,15,23 49:4,6 129:4 130:1 131:25 132:2 132:10 153:16 177:14 186:22 192:4 202:11,18 202:23 203:2,6 221:13 230:25 302:7 330:23 352:25 361:2 decisions [8] 91:5 128:7 131:17,20 222:3,8 233:3 356:19 declare [1] 266:15 deeply [1] 107:13 default [2] 336:1 340:10 defence [16] 29:8,12 30:2 30:17 53:9,19 55:19 65:24 66:2,5 69:21,24 70:4,13 71:3,19 defendant [2] 26:14,22 defended [1] 48:24 defending [6] 71:2 258:21 259:12,14,23 260:7 defer [2] 177:3 184:15 deferred [1] 34:9 deficiencies [1] 152:24 deficient [3] 285:17,18 366:21 define [1] 78:14 definitely [2] 170:5 201:1 definition [1] 19:16 definitive [12] 21:22 24:17 68:25 77:18 113:9 128:3 148:23 158:22 192:24,24 202:6 350:14 definitively [1] 265:13 degree [1] 22:21 degrees [1] 215:8 delay [4] 38:14 76:7 77:4 190:1 delayed [1] 270:15 delaying [1] 188:24 delays [6] 74:9,17,22 75:1,24 189:25 deliberately [1] 27:16 Denic [30] 242:18 243:10 249:24 250:11,18 251:8 252:11 253:9 274:1,1 275:20 276:17 277:4 279:1 282:18 295:10,18 296:3,8,9 297:12 298:19 298:22 299:21 300:13,13 306:12,14,15 344:24 Denic's [1] 305:9 denied [2] 105:19 107:7 Denise [4] 270:5,8,12 304:13 department [102] 8:7 8:15 9:1 11:14 16:12 17:4 18:4,9 21:13,16 24:8,14 25:16 26:3 28:8 32:10 33:4,7 34:22 39:20 51:25 54:1 55:4 70:25</p>	<p>71:1,19 75:13 79:9,15 84:16,23 88:5,14 89:10 89:16 90:12 91:3,11 94:15 95:9 99:1 101:14 101:19 103:2 104:12 109:4,13 111:9 112:15 112:25 113:15 115:16,18 115:23 116:5,21 117:11 118:22 119:11 120:15 122:14 127:23 130:13 131:1,14,18 132:6,12 148:19 149:1 151:19 172:3 173:12,13,18 176:22 178:9,14 180:13 182:4 184:17 185:9 187:11 189:13 191:1,5 191:13,21 192:4 199:7 199:20 200:7 202:19 208:24 209:2 213:23 228:3 246:7 294:4 335:18 338:5 361:9 department's [2] 115:2 173:17 departmental [1] 155:4 depending [1] 372:25 deposition [1] 189:17 depth [2] 79:25 179:17 deputy [16] 13:9 17:17 25:22,23 39:18 90:10 92:21 109:12 111:17,19 111:20 155:3 159:5 174:18 197:18 199:16 describe [7] 206:14 220:14 221:21 228:17 251:3 303:14 354:17 described [8] 123:17 125:17 173:23 174:6 255:24 262:25 355:8 359:9 describing [5] 58:4 222:11 231:25 294:5 356:13 description [9] 154:14 220:24 222:1 223:12 225:18 276:5 332:24,25 369:10 descriptions [2] 275:15 282:18 Designate [1] 212:22 designated [4] 212:21 212:23 228:4 361:15 designates [1] 213:2 desire [1] 217:17 despite [1] 32:25 detail [6] 91:15 124:2,4 124:6 164:1 232:22 detailed [3] 7:22 21:24 22:16 details [2] 276:2,22 detected [3] 6:13,15,20 determine [7] 28:4 147:9 163:20 164:4 227:13 345:11 349:12 determining [2] 312:20 353:4 develop [4] 207:16 215:7 217:2 232:16</p>	<p>developed [5] 214:4,20 217:16 224:6 277:23 developing [2] 233:24 278:22 development [12] 67:14 207:19,22 208:15 209:11 214:10 216:19 217:19,23 221:14 228:11 277:21 deviate [1] 109:17 diagnosed [1] 307:1 diagnosis [2] 9:19 253:22 diagnostic [1] 307:3 dialogue [1] 231:24 dictate [2] 197:4,9 died [9] 105:20 162:9 288:15 289:12 290:23 291:22 292:2 293:1,2 differed [1] 233:4 difference [23] 26:15 119:10 261:7 281:17,21 297:11 298:9 301:16 316:20,22 317:5,19 318:3 352:1,14 357:6 358:14 358:14,22,23 367:17,23 368:17 differences [1] 235:11 different [33] 24:24 37:10 56:20 67:18 123:20 143:9 154:25 155:22 164:20 215:19 230:2 234:16 237:25 255:8 264:6 291:11 292:4 318:1 333:25 345:25 346:21 347:3,23 348:1,3,23 349:7,8,14,14 357:12 358:11 359:4 differentiating [1] 8:7 differently [3] 253:23 318:9 348:2 difficult [5] 51:6 54:17 118:8 244:9,25 difficulty [5] 70:25 75:8 118:17 119:21 274:6 diploma [1] 206:5 direct [5] 185:10 189:5 191:4 199:17 358:9 direction [10] 13:16 111:18 199:12 241:22 271:1 286:25 287:25 288:5 364:9 365:6 directions [1] 222:9 directive [4] 311:20 312:11,18 318:19 directives [2] 222:17 360:23 directly [10] 11:22 26:13 95:2 113:21 114:22 131:21 134:17 138:5 151:16 173:9 director [24] 39:19 145:16 189:6 207:10 208:9,12,20 210:1,3,14 210:21 213:16,17 225:7 228:3 236:5 250:1,2,3 255:16 283:5,5 298:3 345:8</p>
---	---	--	---	---

<p>disagree [3] 108:7,14,19 disagreement [2] 299:14 301:23 discipline [4] 206:16 220:25 251:5 276:25 disclose [6] 177:16 319:15,20,21 351:14 358:2 discloses [2] 311:13 320:6 disclosing [2] 71:1 322:13 disclosure [23] 48:1 105:25 106:19 185:7 242:25 243:4 253:18 261:1 271:15 274:4 277:22 278:3,23 289:8 325:5 327:8,11,15,25 351:13,18 354:25 355:4 discourse [1] 177:25 discover [1] 291:7 discovered [7] 238:12 248:6 289:10 290:13 291:21 293:21 294:9 discovery [1] 224:15 discrepancy [1] 105:10 discuss [5] 54:17 107:1 243:3 274:4 315:4 discussed [16] 9:20 22:1 23:11 24:16 28:8 36:21 42:23 51:17 75:13 89:13 93:9 137:8 226:3 304:13 328:1 353:22 discussing [10] 11:25 65:21 159:19 161:20 257:5 261:2 271:14 318:23 324:24 329:5 discussion [135] 2:10 12:2 16:22 21:1 23:2 24:14 32:15,21 33:14,15 37:6 40:12 41:4,15,16 44:2,14 46:23 48:21 52:3 60:22 62:21 64:10,18,23 68:9,24 75:1 76:6 77:25 79:9 88:14 93:5,14,19 101:21 102:3 104:12 116:25 117:1,8,12 138:20 138:24 140:2 142:18 144:5,10 145:11 146:1 146:11 147:1 157:4,14 165:1,6 167:8,10 181:7 181:18 191:19,20 193:24 221:12 227:14 233:23 234:4 240:22 243:8,9 250:17 255:1,20 256:9 256:20 259:5,6 267:24 269:11 270:13,19,24 276:9,12,14 277:16 279:4 286:25,25 287:24,25 288:22 289:4,14,20 290:5 290:16 293:17 294:3 295:6 297:4,11,25 298:2 308:15,20,22 313:23 315:19 318:6 319:21 320:10,13,21 321:20,25 322:5,6 323:19,24 324:7 325:8 328:18 331:11 349:1,23 350:7 352:18 356:12,20 357:11 364:14</p>	<p>364:23 367:6 368:4 discussions [26] 17:3 18:9 22:24 50:18 84:21 84:23 115:6 120:8 137:13 181:8 187:8 217:19 219:21 226:24 231:4 232:13 235:3 241:1,2 258:2,6,15 262:23 275:3 275:6 316:15 disease [4] 62:20,21,24 64:7 dislike [1] 84:8 dispute [2] 264:2 324:25 distances [1] 10:12 distinctly [1] 320:21 distinguished [1] 174:16 distress [1] 368:12 distribute [4] 227:22 341:13 342:17 363:24 distributed [3] 298:12 342:6 343:16 district [2] 10:15 12:4 divided [1] 59:22 Divinity [1] 206:1 Division [1] 1:17 doctor [2] 48:5 365:15 doctor's [1] 21:5 Doctorate [1] 206:2 doctors [8] 1:10 17:18 110:13,16 155:8 156:15 178:4 267:15 document [26] 4:12 31:13 40:13,14,19,24 41:5,10 43:1 56:25 86:21 95:25 98:7 99:21,23 135:25 138:21,24 149:12 164:22 229:5 295:10 298:19 303:10 335:17 342:21 documentation [3] 99:2 99:17 120:10 documented [2] 234:2 241:17 documents [3] 97:21 342:16 343:9 doesn't [7] 38:7,8 54:13 73:12 137:5 196:5 337:13 domain [2] 248:23 265:22 Don [1] 306:10 Donald [3] 241:24 283:24 304:5 done [50] 15:8 34:2 69:9 70:20 74:16 75:21 98:13 111:9,11 124:3 133:17 134:5 139:3 141:11 151:15 182:17,21 184:1 185:7 186:2 187:23 188:20 190:1 194:23,25 222:18,21,23 230:7 232:19 233:4,16 246:5 253:23 288:12 289:11 295:15 296:12 297:13 318:9 320:3 326:6 330:24 336:22 349:12,16 356:13</p>	<p>359:22 362:15 369:12 doubt [2] 138:3 222:17 down [24] 44:8 47:16 58:18,19 62:14 63:11 68:25 85:18,23 88:1 105:6 136:2 138:19 157:10 160:23 166:9 250:8 275:12 276:19 285:25 305:5 306:9 344:9 346:7 Dr [173] 14:9 17:17,17 18:13,19,21 19:2,16 20:11,15 39:16,18 41:22 44:14 45:10 46:10 48:19 48:20 49:1 63:17 75:17 106:14 108:15 113:20 114:6,12,18 115:3 123:4 141:5 146:22 147:3,3 154:4 155:11 156:20 158:10 160:11 161:16 165:2,22 167:10,11,23 168:21 169:19 174:17 176:13,18 193:2 239:7,8 239:8,12 240:1,14,15 241:15,15,16 242:3,17 242:18 243:10,10,10,11 244:25 245:1,5 246:18 247:9 248:4,13 249:17 249:18,24 250:3,10,10 250:10,11,24 251:7,7,8 251:23 252:10,10,11 253:9,9,10,11,16 254:1 266:25 270:7,8 271:16 271:23 273:25 274:1,1,1 274:2 275:20,20 277:3,4 279:1,1 282:17,18 283:11 283:13,19,21,23 292:6 295:3,9,18 296:3,8,9,20 297:12 298:19,22 299:21 300:13,13 301:15,17 302:1,13 303:24,25 304:5 304:6,25 305:8 320:14 320:22 321:3 322:19,22 324:15,22 326:10,10 335:20 337:25 338:6,11 338:23 339:20 342:5,22 343:21 344:18,23,24,24 347:13 349:2 363:23,24 363:25 364:6 365:5 370:7 draft [3] 305:16 340:21 342:6 drafting [1] 325:5 drafts [1] 341:23 dragged [1] 184:2 draining [1] 169:8 dramatic [2] 62:6 64:14 draw [1] 319:4 drawing [1] 275:13 dreadful [1] 186:15 driving [1] 131:3 Drs [2] 250:17 276:17 drug [5] 41:24 110:11 160:13,13 162:24 drugs [4] 64:9 105:21 162:20 163:3 due [4] 68:4 75:24 76:7 271:21 Dunn [2] 270:5,8</p>	<p>during [16] 13:6 16:11 23:9 52:23 109:9 114:22 134:3 165:7 188:1 199:3 246:11 259:9 269:14 280:6 325:6 354:2 duties [3] 19:17 142:6 169:4 duty [2] 308:16 351:14 dying [2] 206:19,20 dynamic [2] 167:2 223:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-E-</p> <hr/> <p>e [4] 95:13 118:23 271:8 363:7 e-mail [42] 4:14 5:3,21 6:5 7:20 24:7 89:22 109:23 111:18 113:12,21 113:24,25 118:9,12,18 119:8,16 136:1,6 175:18 187:9 198:15,23,23 242:15 243:20,23 245:6 245:16 247:4 250:9,13 253:15 270:4 273:13 294:13 295:2 296:20 337:24 343:20 367:3 e-mailed [1] 137:24 e-mails [6] 118:3 198:6 252:16 254:12 270:3 366:2 e-t-o-n [1] 204:11 ear [1] 18:7 earliest [4] 135:8 207:6 220:7 224:10 early [12] 13:7 17:1 37:15 115:14 125:7 130:25 151:15 154:7 182:15 185:12 273:7 336:3 Eastern [179] 1:11 8:8 8:10,16 11:7 27:8,8,18 28:18,22 29:3,15 30:2 34:7 38:3,17 39:21 40:24 48:10,17 51:9 55:4,13 65:14,20 70:2,24 72:10 72:12,21 73:17 75:2 76:1 76:9 77:20 78:25 80:8 81:8,15 82:6 83:7,17 85:5 86:7 89:9,15,17 90:12 91:1,12,20 92:1,8 92:17 94:17,21 95:23 97:17 104:5 108:22 112:12 115:24 121:18 122:5,25 130:12,17 131:8 131:12,16,22 132:10 133:22 134:7,9,16 135:2 135:10,17 136:8 137:14 139:19 142:5 144:15,21 145:2,19 146:2,2,10,20 147:10 148:6,13 149:17 150:20 151:15,23 153:7 153:12 157:18 159:6,18 173:13,22 174:16 175:9 175:22 176:7,15 181:18 182:3,16 183:12,22 184:10,18 185:11,13 186:21 187:12 188:2 189:16,19 191:17 192:3 196:5,23 197:2,3,9,23 198:12 200:24 202:11,12 202:16 203:3,6 208:20</p>	<p>208:21 209:13,24 210:1 214:3,4,16,21 215:4,17 216:11,14 217:1,3 218:19 219:3 223:22 226:1 227:16 236:4 254:23 255:7 258:20 259:13,14 259:22,24 260:7 291:19 302:7 303:17 335:18 338:5 345:8 359:17,18 360:9 362:16 369:4 editorial [1] 340:17 education [11] 25:17 26:9 205:20 206:8,11 209:21,21 216:15 217:13 217:22 228:10 effect [8] 49:13 98:2 263:4 312:20 316:18 334:24 354:10 365:20 effective [1] 226:19 efficient [1] 226:6 effort [1] 244:11 efforts [8] 166:4 282:21 289:5,9,15 291:6 361:22 361:22 either [11] 32:11 52:17 81:12 82:5 99:1 134:21 172:10 226:10 254:14 282:10 373:1 electronically [1] 247:11 eliciting [1] 91:25 eligible [2] 10:23 11:10 Elliott [1] 337:7 elsewhere [1] 237:23 embargo [1] 99:18 emerging [1] 206:16 emotion [2] 45:14 169:18 emotional [4] 45:20 168:22,24 169:7 emphasis [1] 126:25 employed [1] 218:12 employer [1] 16:19 employment [1] 11:13 encompass [1] 221:23 end [26] 9:20 20:10,13 38:18 63:2 83:10,15 144:11 146:25 169:10 178:10 202:8 227:10 244:6 246:25 266:22 267:2 269:7 273:21 275:19 276:10 280:2 296:4 319:4 331:11 363:14 ended [2] 83:11 175:2 endorsed [1] 304:6 engaged [5] 8:11 117:4 123:1 209:15 268:3 enhances [1] 219:24 ensure [1] 361:23 enter [1] 110:22 entered [7] 204:21 205:3 205:4 303:3,5,6,7 entire [1] 215:9 entirely [5] 69:13 72:16 82:16 147:2 330:22</p>
--	--	--	--	--

<p>entitled [2] 334:19 335:17 entity [1] 208:19 entry [7] 314:8 316:11 316:12 317:3 318:11,16 319:9 envelope [1] 247:11 equipment [1] 278:13 equivalent [4] 211:16 249:18 303:17 350:22 ER [2] 89:25 123:9 ER/PR [40] 6:11 12:22 27:5 32:5 40:23 56:10 60:8 89:23 92:1 93:8 95:17 96:13 110:4 115:13 119:24 152:17 154:10 161:21 171:5 176:24 202:10,12 203:2 236:19 237:17 238:21 239:12,19 240:6 241:17 243:4 259:22 295:5 306:23 335:21 338:3,7,24 345:15 366:10 Erica [9] 4:15,16,19 5:1 6:4,8,9 7:25 10:2 error [47] 15:21,21 27:22 28:6,7,11 36:5,14 50:18 56:24 57:17 58:1 59:5 60:3,8,13,23 61:2,5,6 70:10,12,16,21 71:5,14 87:15 99:24 101:1,5 105:10 123:20 124:15 125:5 126:6,13,17 143:11 143:12 144:17,18,23 145:3 147:11,16 150:21 355:9 errors [9] 71:4 185:17 279:22 280:8,20 348:9 351:21 352:10 357:1 especially [9] 5:25 20:24 175:22 185:12 230:8 241:2 279:4 282:19 319:1 essence [1] 161:12 essentially [5] 15:14 141:8 192:23 232:24 332:7 establish [1] 313:5 established [4] 73:10 73:15 312:8 320:2 et [6] 1:10 116:7,10 117:19 135:7 366:15 etcetera [5] 7:8 12:8 169:5,5 295:12 ethic [2] 333:16 338:7 ethical [4] 221:12 243:3 276:13 351:12 ethically [1] 367:20 ethicist [17] 218:14,16 218:16 220:17,18 242:22 242:24 243:10 250:25 251:1,7 268:1,5,6 340:25 342:11 366:19 ethicists [11] 218:11,12 218:23 219:4 220:15 221:2,7 254:13 255:1 271:25 272:22 ethics [141] 206:20</p>	<p>207:12,13,15,23,23 208:16,16,21,23 209:4 209:11,13,20,21 210:3 210:17 212:15,17,21,23 213:2,3,18 214:11 215:12 216:3,10,13,15 217:16 217:17,18,20 221:3,6,22 222:11,12,15,16,25 223:3 224:1,1,7,17,24,25 226:2 226:4,7,13,15,19,23,24 226:25 227:5,7,9,25 228:10,13,18 231:5 232:5 232:7 233:6,24 234:1 237:10 239:10 242:25 243:2,6 247:24 253:17 254:24 258:2 259:5,8,9 260:23 262:7 263:16 264:1 265:20,21 266:2 266:14 267:19,20,24 268:2 269:7 270:13,19 271:4,14,15 272:15 274:13,24 289:4,19,23 295:5 297:2,2,24 298:11 302:4 303:14 304:11,14 319:3 324:23 325:7,23 326:4,11 327:23 331:4 335:18,21 338:1,2,4,19 338:24 344:13 354:2 356:21 358:4 361:9 363:9 366:12,17 367:11,13 Euro [1] 237:25 evening [13] 106:6 110:4 110:5 253:8 271:19,21 271:22 273:8 315:20 340:4,24 341:7 356:21 event [4] 62:6 114:15 237:4 278:3 events [8] 123:16 246:12 278:24 289:8 320:5 325:6 355:5,16 eventually [4] 42:8 246:9 253:4 363:14 everybody [5] 48:2 155:21 245:9 276:18 289:25 everybody's [2] 156:2 156:8 everyone's [1] 160:1 evidence [13] 26:5 121:20 154:3 156:22 160:9 170:25 171:6 190:4 193:25 205:5 284:13 303:6,7 exact [1] 40:15 exactly [19] 9:11 15:15 20:2,6 60:20 81:17 91:1 132:15 175:7 185:17,18 185:20 264:1 272:11 334:23 335:1 349:4 369:14,21 examination [13] 2:3,4 2:5,6,7,9 4:3 121:14 153:23 170:20 201:19 204:4 373:1 example [7] 25:15 58:7 188:2 216:4 316:4 330:15 370:7 excellence [1] 116:3 except [5] 179:12 200:24</p>	<p>232:9 279:13 304:5 exchange [3] 4:23 45:9 137:12 exchanges [2] 5:2 89:22 excited [4] 45:13 81:17 82:7 84:10 exclusive [1] 286:7 excuse [2] 85:6 266:5 executive [12] 16:22 17:3 22:2 23:11 32:2,15,22 35:5 37:15 118:5 154:19 174:15 exercise [1] 122:22 exhibit [21] 3:3,4 23:19 95:13 156:19 164:18,19 205:8 241:13 242:13 244:17 273:12 294:18 303:6,7 305:24 335:16 337:3 338:18 346:5 366:1 exhibits [8] 3:1,2 164:20 204:20,25 205:4 303:2 335:15 exist [1] 141:1 existed [2] 125:5 152:22 existing [1] 141:10 expanding [1] 214:19 expect [2] 132:5 367:13 expectation [3] 158:6 158:23 233:12 expectations [2] 158:1 223:19 expected [3] 98:5 103:6 224:16 expecting [1] 372:24 experience [14] 130:16 169:8 175:23 176:8 177:14 178:14,25 179:7 179:13 223:2 236:3 244:7 267:22 323:19 expert [3] 129:19 156:5 295:20 expertise [1] 88:6 explain [14] 56:10,11 64:3 67:3 70:7 78:6,7,9 78:20 105:9 143:19 190:1 240:5 361:25 explained [7] 70:2 127:5 129:24 177:6 220:16 348:17 362:11 explains [1] 367:4 explanation [11] 44:23 51:24 67:4 84:4 123:8 124:1,9 126:14 127:3 311:24 336:12 explicit [1] 325:11 express [1] 91:8 expressed [9] 79:14 109:6 159:20,24 199:9 200:24 320:14,15 322:20 extend [1] 163:24 extent [11] 29:6 122:21 126:2 215:2 260:24 264:14,17 267:25 275:22 292:12 369:3 external [10] 14:8 15:1</p>	<p>71:20 120:16 151:14,21 284:5 286:8 295:11 298:20 extra [1] 169:6 extraordinary [1] 76:18 eye [2] 81:25 87:2 -F- face [3] 45:14,15 168:23 22:2 23:11 32:2,15,22 facilitated [5] 207:18 225:16,22 226:21 344:13 facilitates [1] 322:15 facilitating [1] 267:24 facilitation [1] 227:4 facilitator [9] 227:5,11 227:18 245:23 268:6 277:14 280:23 319:4 344:11 facilitators [2] 228:4 246:8 facilities [1] 216:4 fact [39] 25:21 26:22 30:10 72:21 82:19 93:19 104:4 110:7 115:19 127:15 163:9 186:21 224:10,13 227:12 241:4 245:3 253:17 256:15 263:8 267:19 269:11 287:1 291:21 292:13 304:4 313:6 316:17 319:23 334:18 340:13,16 356:11 367:18,22,24 368:3,8,13 factor [4] 177:14 223:9 257:25 263:17 factored [1] 22:12 factors [1] 279:11 facts [8] 229:11,12 269:8 269:12 275:14 348:5 353:6 355:1 factually [1] 59:3 failed [1] 104:25 failure [1] 284:10 fair [18] 16:21 23:14 45:14 119:1 154:14 156:17 157:5 158:12,16 159:17 165:17,20 179:15 217:12 228:1 234:3 265:24 320:4 fairly [27] 7:21 19:18 22:15 28:20 35:7,11 40:8 44:19,24 45:5,21 50:16 85:20 96:11 116:4 120:7 142:16 157:2 167:16 177:17,24 182:21 200:2 277:20 322:8 326:25 341:8 fairness [1] 155:19 fall [3] 75:15 135:5 183:1 fallout [1] 79:15 false [3] 369:5,12,16 familiar [6] 19:3 23:24 226:23 265:17 279:13 336:7 families [34] 44:22 46:2</p>	<p>47:6,17,19 48:9,13 49:7 49:14 74:3 238:11 239:20 240:24 242:1 243:5 260:8 288:2,3 308:16 319:23 320:25 324:10 327:11,16 329:4 351:15 352:20 358:3,5,7,10 361:6 362:9 368:10 family [22] 44:13 72:11 72:23,23 172:11 230:21 231:3,11,12 263:24 324:10 325:25 326:20,21 327:1,5 357:22 361:25 362:14 365:15,15,20 far [8] 142:22 188:16 241:1 263:4,10 282:4 283:16 298:16 fashion [1] 183:17 father [1] 46:18 faulty [2] 24:10 284:11 features [2] 222:7 254:22 February [3] 110:9 115:14 202:13 feedback [6] 88:8 94:10 101:18 341:22 345:3 362:19 feeling [2] 53:23 90:9 feelings [1] 222:23 felt [10] 35:9 90:7 178:20 178:22 191:3 233:5 298:3 298:8 341:3,15 Fern [1] 218:13 few [8] 121:18 154:4 165:20 276:3 285:22 340:5 362:7 366:16 fifteen [1] 372:24 figure [2] 58:25 60:1 figured [1] 246:8 file [5] 96:13,22 185:6 241:8 337:20 filed [1] 365:2 files [1] 118:14 fill [3] 244:14 268:10 269:10 filled [1] 279:2 final [6] 41:18 42:14 149:12 151:13 342:17 357:7 finalized [1] 341:4 finally [3] 138:12 272:2 367:2 Finance [1] 200:7 finding [1] 227:12 findings [1] 14:8 finds [1] 191:8 fine [1] 237:3 finish [3] 67:8 287:9,22 firms [1] 255:6 first [47] 4:15 6:19 32:9 40:13 41:13 49:5 51:4 57:22 58:12 74:14 80:18 85:17 106:8 109:8 117:17 117:25 136:3 144:25 151:23 154:6,19 159:25 173:1 176:3 188:10</p>
--	--	--	--	---

<p>199:23 223:23 229:4,8 230:12 238:22 239:6,18 243:13 244:10 246:10 248:5 250:8 253:24 259:8 274:18 326:4 334:11 339:6 344:25 360:1 370:5</p> <p>fit [3] 325:21 372:9 373:8</p> <p>five [4] 6:24 213:8 281:15 322:24</p> <p>fix [2] 116:20 178:15</p> <p>fixation [5] 284:2,15,18 284:19,24</p> <p>fixed [3] 106:2,20 111:6</p> <p>flags [1] 196:9</p> <p>flippant [1] 48:5</p> <p>flood [2] 178:1,1</p> <p>flush [2] 34:8 168:22</p> <p>Flynn [1] 218:16</p> <p>focal [2] 19:18 50:16</p> <p>focus [8] 41:19 42:17 100:17 165:21,25 214:7 221:6 240:21</p> <p>focused [7] 64:16 100:12 100:15 163:9,23 166:5 240:25</p> <p>focusing [3] 167:25 172:19 179:3</p> <p>folded [1] 208:19</p> <p>folks [1] 120:3</p> <p>follow [21] 10:19,21 14:4 20:16 34:17 41:15 58:18 115:6 133:15 137:20 138:22 139:21 186:22 234:14 312:10 317:4 320:23 345:14 347:24 359:23 365:11</p> <p>follow-up [5] 163:21 345:12 346:1,22 347:3</p> <p>followed [5] 5:3 6:5 102:12 104:18 145:11</p> <p>following [8] 29:22 279:21 284:2 289:7 313:7 335:15 348:8 353:7</p> <p>follows [1] 229:10</p> <p>fool [1] 198:8</p> <p>forcefully [1] 54:5</p> <p>fore-point [1] 20:2</p> <p>foregoing [1] 374:2</p> <p>foremost [1] 160:1</p> <p>forget [2] 334:23 340:6</p> <p>form [5] 15:5 49:18 81:20 229:4 340:21</p> <p>formal [3] 32:23 221:25 222:1</p> <p>format [1] 194:18</p> <p>formation [2] 209:24 209:25</p> <p>former [4] 174:18 215:1 251:13 283:5</p> <p>formulary [1] 160:14</p> <p>formulate [1] 195:21</p> <p>forth [1] 90:16</p> <p>forthcoming [4] 16:1 91:2,12 94:22</p>	<p>forty-step [1] 124:10</p> <p>forum [1] 117:12</p> <p>forward [40] 16:23 27:3 36:25 45:25 47:7,10 49:15 55:5 61:20 65:15 66:11 70:3 71:11,15 78:10 80:12 83:16 97:19 113:19 116:12,22 120:24 136:17 141:25 144:22 145:18 146:3 149:6 184:22 227:6,21 228:2 248:18 258:18 272:20 295:6 307:17 312:5 334:12 364:22</p> <p>forwarded [11] 95:22 97:21 105:3,4 109:24 113:19 272:4 345:20,22 363:11 365:2</p> <p>forwarding [1] 95:21</p> <p>found [6] 37:8 71:21 144:12 161:11 190:12 209:16</p> <p>Foundation [1] 206:3</p> <p>four [7] 18:6 31:13 56:22 112:9 218:17 253:6 281:15</p> <p>frame [2] 171:23 345:7</p> <p>framed [1] 351:11</p> <p>framework [3] 323:8 325:16 353:11</p> <p>framing [1] 322:2</p> <p>free [3] 194:16,19 234:14</p> <p>frequent [1] 17:3</p> <p>frequently [2] 6:1 7:21</p> <p>Friday [2] 242:15 366:6</p> <p>friend [1] 322:10</p> <p>friends [1] 121:6</p> <p>front [13] 17:21 19:4 30:14 103:7,8 227:10 244:6 269:6 275:19 276:10 299:21,22 331:11</p> <p>front-line [1] 6:2</p> <p>frontline [1] 219:23</p> <p>frustrated [1] 20:13</p> <p>frustration [6] 18:1 23:7 32:17 33:3 74:13 91:16</p> <p>frustrations [1] 142:10</p> <p>full [7] 44:5,7 80:12 185:12 244:14 329:13,18</p> <p>fuller [1] 234:17</p> <p>fully [1] 181:12</p> <p>fuss [2] 295:25 299:7</p> <p>future [2] 28:19 106:5</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-G-</p> <hr/> <p>game [3] 98:2 100:1,4</p> <p>Ganguly [7] 17:18 18:19 18:21 20:11 113:20 114:12,18</p> <p>Ganguly's [1] 114:6</p> <p>gather [5] 141:17 215:11 249:10 270:6 360:8</p> <p>gathering [1] 230:11</p> <p>gee [4] 230:2 236:25</p>	<p>337:6 342:19</p> <p>general [10] 7:14 53:17 68:23 77:17 91:16 92:4 122:9 233:12 333:5 338:21</p> <p>generalities [1] 369:10</p> <p>generally [13] 217:10 220:24 221:9 222:2 226:5 230:7 233:22 234:25 235:6 237:10 289:6 364:19 369:12</p> <p>generate [1] 243:8</p> <p>generated [4] 33:14 37:6 235:4,8</p> <p>genetics [2] 232:25 236:7</p> <p>gentleman [1] 25:25</p> <p>gentlemen [1] 283:14</p> <p>genuine [1] 324:15</p> <p>geographical [1] 214:23</p> <p>geography [2] 215:18 215:18</p> <p>George [2] 39:16 174:14</p> <p>Geri [1] 36:6</p> <p>given [34] 25:10 36:3 43:24 60:1 61:9 75:7 76:18,21 80:16 82:4,19 83:12 84:15 105:20 112:11 126:14 129:20 131:25 135:16 141:23 147:18 161:4 167:24 191:1 227:24 237:21 238:5 264:12 272:8 325:24 328:2 330:12 332:18 333:1</p> <p>giving [16] 44:24 89:16 91:2 126:25 131:10 144:3 209:3 231:15 232:22 257:9 262:25 265:19 322:12 329:14 332:25 356:16</p> <p>gleaned [2] 8:24 280:24</p> <p>goals [1] 263:13</p> <p>goes [13] 36:12 177:7 186:7 204:2 220:3 241:1 282:4 284:12 286:4 298:16 347:18 348:5 367:1</p> <p>gone [11] 74:6 82:5 115:3 119:11 139:13 179:19 190:7 221:5 249:6 292:11 315:16</p> <p>good [24] 4:6,6,9 32:1 40:4 57:21 60:16 73:11 83:20 108:5 109:19 120:8 121:16 130:5 153:18,25 155:20 161:11 170:22 197:16 199:18 256:17 275:1 295:5</p> <p>goodwill [2] 359:18 360:8</p> <p>govern [1] 182:10</p> <p>government [32] 6:4 8:14 10:10 16:20 24:25 25:5,19 26:1,13,14 27:16 28:19,22,24 29:9,10,20 30:5,13,17 32:8 69:15 85:13 112:20 113:5,6,7</p>	<p>119:10 142:23,24 143:3 163:3</p> <p>government's [2] 26:16 27:5</p> <p>Graduate [1] 206:3</p> <p>granted [1] 283:6</p> <p>grapevine [1] 36:9</p> <p>great [4] 18:1 215:2 258:5 267:25</p> <p>greater [2] 185:23 215:8</p> <p>greatly [1] 64:19</p> <p>Greek [1] 206:22</p> <p>Grenfell [1] 122:19</p> <p>grief [8] 206:18 214:5 322:3,3 323:8,20 368:5 368:11</p> <p>grossing [1] 284:16</p> <p>group [25] 23:6 62:17,17 155:7 186:1,5 200:14 236:9 240:20 249:4 282:4 298:14,18 299:22,23 315:14 316:5 320:16 327:25 328:4,5 346:24 356:24 361:17 363:23</p> <p>groups [4] 200:3,15 222:7 223:18</p> <p>GroupWise [1] 119:9</p> <p>growing [1] 214:18</p> <p>grown [1] 217:4</p> <p>guarantee [2] 226:8 326:23</p> <p>guess [100] 5:10,20,22 6:5,17,21,25 7:25 8:14 10:17 12:5 16:17 18:2 25:5 26:19,19,22 27:14 28:15 38:23 41:14 43:17 44:3 53:6 54:4 56:17 57:9 58:14 67:23 68:14 69:5 70:3,19 72:23 73:13 73:25 74:12,13 78:6 79:25 87:21 88:2 89:15 89:18 97:12 100:12 102:14 106:24 111:21 112:19 114:8 115:24 116:5,25 123:14 150:22 151:18 152:14,15,16 153:4 156:6 158:14 159:5 161:20 163:9,23 166:4 166:15 167:8 169:17 176:21 177:15,15 178:25 183:8 185:21 186:22 188:16 190:25 193:21 194:16 195:21 196:16 197:4,23 202:15 207:6 213:20 221:25 240:18 250:3 262:12 271:2 272:12 293:16 318:22 322:2 333:21 353:9</p> <p>guide [1] 143:19</p> <p>guidelines [3] 222:19 289:7 355:4</p> <p>gut [1] 222:23</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-H-</p> <hr/> <p>half [8] 7:17 44:4 46:14 74:21 80:11 103:10 188:20 371:14</p>	<p>hand [6] 226:10 265:2 267:23 298:8 346:4 355:10</p> <p>handed [1] 321:18</p> <p>handle [6] 175:6 226:6 228:5 238:7 248:20 362:5</p> <p>handled [8] 122:23 226:4 231:9 234:5 255:18 327:8 361:3 365:3</p> <p>handles [1] 227:7</p> <p>handling [3] 230:16 263:3 274:7</p> <p>handout [4] 138:8 140:4 143:8 147:23</p> <p>hands [2] 16:20 219:23</p> <p>handwriting [2] 304:20 344:1</p> <p>handwritten [9] 12:19 56:21 57:1 276:3 305:25 306:6 337:16 339:12,13</p> <p>Hang [1] 329:11</p> <p>happening [6] 171:1 229:20 276:4 282:19 291:1,1</p> <p>happy [2] 22:3,4</p> <p>hard [2] 110:11 271:20</p> <p>harder [1] 197:1</p> <p>harkened [1] 41:22</p> <p>hate [4] 106:7 107:20,25 201:25</p> <p>hated [1] 201:24</p> <p>he'd [2] 195:19 283:20</p> <p>head [1] 58:25</p> <p>heading [2] 284:8 338:5</p> <p>headings [1] 143:24</p> <p>heads [14] 38:22 80:16 89:16 91:3,19 131:10,15 132:1,8 195:19 197:10 200:10 295:9 373:7</p> <p>health [249] 1:12,20 8:8 8:10,15,16 11:7,15 27:8 28:22 29:15 30:2 34:7 38:3,18 39:21 40:24 48:10,17 51:9 55:4,13 62:6 65:14,21 70:2,24 72:21 73:17 74:2 75:2,2 75:9 76:2,10 77:20 78:25 80:8 81:8,15 82:7 83:8 83:18 85:5 86:7 89:9,15 89:18 90:12 91:1,13,21 92:1,8,17 94:17,21 95:23 97:18 104:5 105:2,9 106:2 108:23 110:15 112:12 115:24 121:18 122:5,19,25 123:15 130:13,13,17 131:1,9,12 131:16,22 133:22 134:8 134:9,17 135:2,10,17 136:8 137:14 139:19 142:5 144:16 145:20 146:2,2,10,19,20 147:10 148:6,13 149:17 150:20 151:15,23 153:13 157:18 159:6,18 172:3 173:12 173:13,14,22 174:16,18 175:9,23 176:7,23 178:9 178:15 179:19 180:13</p>
--	--	---	--	--

<p>181:18 182:3,4,16 183:12 183:22 184:10,17,19 185:9,11,13 187:12 188:2 189:16,19 191:1,6,13,17 192:3,4 196:5,23 197:10 197:23 198:12 199:7,20 200:13,14,25 202:11,12 202:16 203:3,6 206:5,19 207:15 208:13,17,18,20 208:22 209:13,25 210:1 210:10,22,25 212:20 214:3,4,16,21 215:1,4 215:17,24 216:10,11,14 216:23 217:1,4 218:19 218:20 219:1,3 220:7 222:15,16 223:4,24 225:24 226:1,24 227:16 230:18 234:10 236:3,4 241:5 254:23 255:7 259:13,14,22,24 260:7 261:2 277:24 288:19 291:19 302:8 303:13,17 311:19 312:7,11,17 318:18 320:4 326:9 327:7 331:16 334:10,19 335:18 338:5 345:9 355:15 359:15,15,17,19 360:9 360:19,23 361:4 362:16 365:16 366:9 369:4</p> <p>Health's [12] 27:18 28:18 29:3 132:10 144:22 145:2 153:7 176:16 186:22 197:2,3 258:21</p> <p>hear [12] 36:7 89:3 101:19 110:12 112:24,25 146:10,16 180:21 186:6 272:6 285:6</p> <p>heard [12] 37:1 88:20 125:3 131:4 142:10 150:8 154:12 239:3 316:1,1 350:19 374:5</p> <p>hearing [9] 36:9 53:24 82:22 95:6 125:16 281:19 282:17 328:3 330:13</p> <p>heart [3] 180:1 264:5 364:24</p> <p>heartbreaking [1] 186:16</p> <p>heated [3] 44:19 45:5,9</p> <p>Heather [15] 242:18 248:1,1,7,10 254:18 256:9 262:24 270:14 271:10,13 272:16 282:20 294:4 306:10</p> <p>held [3] 210:22 211:16 211:19</p> <p>hello [1] 276:19</p> <p>help [15] 6:3 36:20 44:17 55:24 69:17 162:20,22 163:11,24 164:2,4 165:24 167:21 248:18 258:16</p> <p>helped [5] 41:23 49:3 110:14 162:10 166:6</p> <p>helpful [1] 136:23</p> <p>helping [2] 258:13,13</p> <p>helps [1] 163:17</p> <p>Hennebury [1] 1:10</p> <p>Hennessey [8] 13:23 14:7,12 92:20 105:5</p>	<p>110:2 127:25 187:11</p> <p>Herceptin [2] 160:12 161:15</p> <p>hereby [1] 374:2</p> <p>hesitate [1] 221:20</p> <p>hesitation [1] 200:24</p> <p>Hi [10] 6:9 243:1,25 253:19 270:12 271:14 274:3 295:4 338:1 366:5</p> <p>HIC [1] 366:12</p> <p>high [1] 21:18</p> <p>higher [2] 36:10 86:18</p> <p>highlighted [2] 150:20 266:13</p> <p>highly [1] 155:16</p> <p>himself [2] 13:18 273:8</p> <p>hindsight [4] 181:24 182:4 191:1,5</p> <p>HIROC [7] 257:20,24 258:5,9,10 259:13,14</p> <p>history [18] 6:9 206:24 207:1 248:7 249:6 251:19 255:10 256:18 257:10 275:21 276:6 278:7,9 279:3 288:23 289:18 348:6 353:7</p> <p>hit [1] 273:18</p> <p>Hodder [1] 4:20</p> <p>Hodder's [1] 10:15</p> <p>hold [12] 128:11,13,21 129:8 130:3 135:11 157:19 173:24 182:6 190:19 329:11 334:18</p> <p>holding [4] 174:23 181:13 190:13,18</p> <p>honest [2] 57:23 60:15</p> <p>honestly [2] 77:16 102:1 12:20 374:6</p> <p>hope [1] 106:3</p> <p>hoped [1] 335:8</p> <p>hopefully [1] 331:3</p> <p>hormone [7] 1:2 104:21 104:25 105:19 106:4 345:13 374:4</p> <p>hospital [5] 110:22 131:23 207:9 241:19 338:21</p> <p>hot [1] 54:21</p> <p>hour [1] 371:14</p> <p>hours [2] 243:17 246:11</p> <p>house [11] 40:6,7,10 85:3 85:4 92:18 112:3 132:18 137:1,11 191:18</p> <p>Howell [4] 39:17 48:19 52:22 147:3</p> <p>human [12] 11:12 15:21 36:14 105:10 124:15 125:5,11,19 126:3,12,17 126:23</p> <p>hundred [1] 86:8</p> <p>hundreds [3] 7:5,9 368:9</p> <p>Hynes [513] 2:2 4:3,7,8 4:11,18,25 5:14,19 6:16</p>	<p>7:13 8:9,23 9:9,24 10:9 11:6,19,23 12:13,23 13:5 13:24 14:14,19 15:2,13 15:19 16:4,11,15 17:10 17:14,25 18:14,18,22 19:1,8,12,14,21 20:9,22 21:21 22:10,20 23:3,20 24:3,15,22 25:4,14 26:18 27:3,6 28:12 29:13,21 30:6,19 31:8,13,15,19 31:23 32:7,13,20 33:9 33:22 34:3,14,23 35:2 35:13,19 36:22 37:4,23 38:4,10,16 39:6,11,15 39:24 40:3,19,20 41:1,6 41:12 42:4,10,19,24 43:5 43:21,25 45:6,11 46:5,6 46:25 47:12,18,22 48:16 49:9,16 50:10,15,21 51:5 51:8,15 52:2,8,16,21 53:1,5 54:2,14 55:1,9,16 56:3 57:4,13,18 58:2,6,9 58:23 59:6,11,20 60:4 60:10,19,24 61:13,22,25 62:25 63:20 64:12,20 65:1,7,11,16,19,22 66:14 66:22 67:1,13 68:1,8,21 69:4,11 70:1,17 71:6,22 72:2,6,15 73:4,8,19,24 74:11,23 75:4,10,14 76:3 76:11,22 77:2,10,15 78:3 78:5,11,21 79:5,11,17 80:7 81:1,7,11,22 82:14 82:21 83:2,14 84:3,18 85:1,15 86:2,12,24 87:3 87:11,20,25 88:9,16,25 89:7,14 90:2,18,24 91:14 92:2,12 93:3,11,16,21 94:6,11,18 95:1,8 96:3,8 96:16,20 97:3,7,11,23 98:7,10,14,18 99:5,11 99:17 100:11,19,24 101:6 101:11,15,25 102:13,18 102:23 103:14,20 104:1 104:9,14 105:3,14 106:10 106:22 107:9,20,22 108:3 108:12,25 109:5 111:2 111:15 112:6,17 113:2 113:12,16 114:1,16,25 115:9,13,22 116:15,24 117:9,15,24 118:10,19 118:25 119:17,25 120:11 120:14,18,21 121:1,14 121:17 122:11,24 123:5 123:11,22 124:5,23 125:9 125:15,23 126:8,19 127:7 127:20 128:2,10,15 129:7 129:18 130:2,18,24 131:19 132:7,14 133:8 133:12,18,25 134:10,15 134:22 135:4,21 136:1 136:11 137:16,23 138:17 139:6,22 140:13 141:4 141:12,22 142:8,25 143:5 143:14,21 144:7,20 145:4 145:8,13,21 146:7,13,18 147:12,17 148:2,9,16,22 149:4,9,24 150:4,13,17 151:4,9,24 152:3,8,13 153:1,10,18,19,23 154:1 154:15,21 155:1,14,23 156:4,10,19,24 157:6,15 157:25 158:13,21 159:4</p>	<p>159:14,22 160:6,16,21 161:1,22 162:5,11,16,23 163:13,19 164:3,10,14 164:24 165:3,8,13 166:2 166:13,19,22 167:3,12 167:17 168:2,13,18 169:20 170:9,13,20,22 171:7,14,20,24 172:5,21 173:4,15 174:3,9 175:1 175:16 176:2,11 177:11 178:11,18 179:9 180:4,9 180:23 181:4,15,21 182:13,24 183:5,20 185:1 186:8,24 187:3,16 188:7 188:13,25 189:11 190:9 190:22 191:16 192:6,11 192:19 193:10,17 194:4 194:14,24 195:7,18 196:8 196:17,25 197:14,19,25 198:4,17,22 199:2,8,15 200:5,23 201:3,5,19,21 202:3,14,21 203:4,9,17</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-I-</p> <p>idea [14] 8:1 72:10,13 75:19 80:24 83:20 93:23 141:17 184:22 197:16 199:18 256:25 316:4 318:1</p> <p>ideal [1] 177:8</p> <p>ideally [1] 180:16</p> <p>identifiable [3] 282:3,7 353:14</p> <p>identified [8] 6:11 62:18 115:19 208:23 217:21 250:15 346:25 356:14</p> <p>identifies [1] 140:5</p> <p>identify [2] 152:23 187:19</p> <p>ignore [1] 241:8</p> <p>IHC [1] 68:18</p> <p>illustrate [1] 245:3</p> <p>imagine [3] 77:19 229:16 275:8</p> <p>imbed [1] 342:20</p> <p>immediate [1] 354:25</p> <p>immediately [6] 96:10 98:15 172:8 195:12 229:10 237:2</p> <p>immensity [1] 368:11</p> <p>impact [10] 43:11 46:20 61:17 64:14 68:14 263:11 345:14 350:3,15 351:2</p> <p>impacted [14] 11:9 12:5 23:17 29:7 41:20 43:13 46:12 61:24 62:18 63:18 63:19 64:11,19 231:21</p> <p>impacts [1] 229:15</p> <p>impasse [1] 18:10</p> <p>imperative [1] 141:1</p> <p>implemented [3] 346:1 346:23 347:25</p> <p>implicit [1] 266:17</p> <p>implicitly [1] 316:15</p> <p>importance [1] 140:20</p> <p>important [7] 35:7</p>	<p>229:15 275:2 320:6 322:13 348:5 353:6</p> <p>impose [1] 334:13</p> <p>imposes [1] 336:2</p> <p>impossible [2] 271:18 348:20</p> <p>impression [6] 81:20 84:6 124:22 128:5 151:18 180:2</p> <p>improve [1] 94:24</p> <p>improvement [1] 67:23</p> <p>improvements [2] 115:24 116:7</p> <p>in-house [5] 255:15 256:1,8 261:15,18</p> <p>inadequate [3] 284:16 286:4,11</p> <p>inappropriate [1] 6:12</p> <p>inappropriately [1] 286:7</p> <p>inaudible [2] 287:14 293:11</p> <p>incidentally [1] 255:15</p> <p>incidents [2] 232:20 293:20</p> <p>include [2] 231:10 348:7</p> <p>included [7] 95:24 128:17 149:20 152:9 292:15 330:3 341:16</p> <p>includes [1] 62:17</p> <p>including [7] 24:9 50:7 62:14 95:15 100:25 154:4 367:4</p> <p>inclusive [2] 205:1,4</p> <p>incorrectly [2] 110:8,20</p> <p>increases [1] 16:14</p> <p>Indiana [1] 206:3</p> <p>indicate [2] 8:19 224:7</p> <p>indicated [10] 37:17 53:14 55:14,23 97:18 163:2 167:24 178:8 193:25 250:10</p> <p>indicates [1] 36:16</p> <p>indication [5] 44:7 61:9 75:7,23 76:6</p> <p>indirectly [1] 28:23</p> <p>individual [33] 12:15 24:17 25:18,21 33:11 100:2 128:23 154:2 173:8 182:20 183:25 186:10 187:7 200:9 223:14 234:11,25 235:7 237:12 237:13 244:22 265:16 277:25 278:20 281:23 325:17 348:22 349:8,13 350:16 353:12 360:21 361:24</p> <p>individual's [1] 233:3</p> <p>individuals [18] 7:7 8:19 8:22 41:20 51:3 67:18 81:10 120:2 222:7 242:17 243:24 244:21 273:14 358:7,9 360:21 367:4 368:10</p> <p>inevitable [1] 171:4</p>
--	---	---	---	--

<p>influence [1] 27:4 influenced [1] 223:15 info [4] 242:25 253:18 310:21 317:5 inform [6] 82:18 308:17 331:15 358:11 359:4,19 information [163] 5:6,7 5:11,23 6:2,24 7:19,24 8:25 9:1 10:3,23 14:13 15:25 16:1 20:15,24 27:12 30:3 33:12 36:4 38:22,25 43:16 44:8 45:22 46:15,15 49:18 50:4 58:4,11 61:20 64:1 65:19 71:18 76:14 77:22 80:12,17 82:3 83:22,25 85:13 89:17 91:2,10,17 91:18,25 92:7,10,17,21 92:24 93:1 97:14 98:5 100:20 111:13 115:17 122:16 128:16 130:17,21 132:15 134:14 135:11,14 139:4 141:23 147:24 150:16,24 158:18 169:12 172:12 175:12,14 177:4 177:9 178:2 180:17 182:19 185:8,13 188:22 190:23 194:10,12 195:21 197:3 199:21,25 233:4 241:4,10,20 243:4 248:5 248:17,20 257:10 274:5 282:20,25 287:2 288:4,8 288:11,18,21 290:4 292:4 292:10 295:14,16 296:10 296:13 302:8 307:16 308:18 312:4,6 313:4,6 314:9,13,19,25 315:6 316:19 317:14 319:24,25 320:7,24 322:12,13 323:25 324:2,13 326:7 329:15 334:12 348:24 352:21 356:16 358:3,5,8 358:10,13,22 359:16,21 360:14,19 361:12,23 362:11 365:1,14 informed [8] 244:24 256:18 263:6 267:8 288:20 349:5 351:15 369:25 informing [1] 203:7 inherent [1] 70:10 inhibitor [1] 62:17 initial [6] 9:14 159:12 240:25 241:2 305:16 307:20 initiative [1] 217:12 innocuous [1] 202:2 input [2] 194:12 360:1 inquire [2] 261:25 262:1 inquired [1] 25:24 inquiries [7] 11:18 12:25 13:21 27:12,20 115:16 178:2 inquiring [3] 117:19 187:12 332:3 inquiry [6] 1:1 5:5,13 132:25 374:4,7 ins [1] 256:12</p>	<p>instance [4] 82:13 119:6 200:12 245:1 institute [2] 212:19,22 institutes [1] 212:19 institutions [1] 215:19 insurance [5] 55:21 122:6,15 257:24 258:21 intake [1] 229:9 Integrated [2] 1:11,20 integration [1] 222:5 intend [1] 292:25 intended [1] 311:9 intensified [1] 368:15 intensive [2] 220:12 229:18 intensivist [4] 218:15 218:15 220:9 341:1 interact [1] 179:1 interchangeably [1] 8:13 interconnected [1] 217:25 interdisciplinary [1] 209:14 interest [21] 47:2,6 74:4 74:7 79:23 80:20 102:7 107:18 132:12 159:21,25 200:3 207:17 263:17,19 263:22,25 264:9 265:8,9 266:16 interested [1] 199:22 interesting [1] 233:23 interests [3] 264:3,6,24 interfere [1] 372:11 interferes [1] 275:6 interjected [1] 45:1 intermediate [1] 329:18 internal [10] 48:18 52:9 116:8 175:9 176:16 284:3 284:4,7 286:6,12 internally [6] 52:11 77:3 191:20 255:18 365:19,21 International [1] 206:8 interpretation [4] 258:16,18 309:13 326:12 interpreting [1] 105:11 interrogating [1] 224:19 interrupt [1] 212:13 interrupted [2] 254:6 287:19 intervention [3] 126:3 350:2,20 interventions [2] 358:15 358:24 intricacies [1] 127:5 introduced [1] 322:6 introduction [1] 160:12 introductions [1] 276:23 investigations [1] 282:13 investments [1] 116:7</p>	<p>invitation [1] 266:18 inviting [2] 326:20,25 involve [10] 124:17 230:22 232:1,9,21 246:15 253:2 271:4 322:25 326:17 involved [72] 16:11 27:1 95:2 124:15,20 126:3,13 131:21 151:17 159:11 175:7 207:15 212:16,18 213:6,21 214:10 227:9 227:13 228:9 229:13,24 230:9 231:4 232:23,25 237:21 241:11 244:13 246:1 247:3,4,23 248:2 248:8,9,10,13,14 249:21 255:2,8 256:11 257:1,12 262:14,14,16,18,21 264:10 266:14 269:9 270:19 272:18,18 276:8 277:6,20 280:7 302:10 305:20 315:11,14 325:3 325:4 326:1 363:14 366:10 367:19,23 370:3 involvement [10] 235:4 256:9 257:7,16 261:13 261:21,22 262:5,9 267:10 involves [1] 232:4 involving [8] 19:15 123:18 131:18 142:24 179:14 236:7,9 325:5 irregardless [1] 189:14 isolated [1] 357:19 issue [109] 5:10 9:23 10:7 12:10 13:1 17:4,6 20:5 24:13 26:10,17 27:5,17 27:18 30:18 31:1,6 32:9 32:12 33:20 42:11 48:22 51:12 55:7 63:24 64:5 73:22 74:1,2,4,7 78:4,25 79:1 81:23 82:3 84:24 88:15 89:12,25 90:6 92:1 92:9,25 93:2,8 103:5 105:13,24 106:12,25 107:13 114:24 115:7 117:10,12,14 118:4 119:24 120:4,9 131:9 132:20 142:15 143:4 154:10 155:22 156:12 159:19 160:12 167:23 175:25 176:10 177:17 179:14,17 181:8 184:3 186:21 187:21,22 226:10 232:2 233:1 236:19 238:9 240:23 242:2 248:19 250:4 256:16 259:4,5 260:14 261:5 289:12 295:7 301:21 308:15 315:24 324:18 326:8 333:21 345:6 351:12 359:24 364:25 369:12 371:23 issues [39] 18:3 19:15 20:14 28:10 30:23 34:8 53:18 68:4,10,12 69:10 77:13 78:16 89:17,25 90:5 91:4,20 114:5,6,8 114:12 116:11 142:19 175:24 176:9 179:25 239:4 243:3 263:9 276:13</p>	<p>288:1 314:5 320:9 331:25 364:15,18,21 369:5 issuing [1] 131:13 it'd [1] 246:13 It'll [1] 44:9 item [1] 297:4 items [1] 362:25 itself [6] 36:15 115:18 245:20 269:14 338:20 364:23 <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-J-</p> <hr/> <p>Jane [1] 1:10 Janeway [5] 207:9,21 207:24 208:1,11 January [5] 76:15,21 95:10 113:13 118:1 Jennifer [5] 1:17 2:6 170:20,22 218:16 jeopardize [8] 28:18 29:8,12 30:1,16 55:18 65:24 66:1 jeopardizing [2] 26:2,3 Jim [1] 4:20 job [1] 102:22 John [10] 14:1 17:16 39:18 77:7 84:10 109:8 109:10 137:8 193:25 199:11 John's [8] 10:18 11:4 215:23 216:5 223:24 236:4 374:8,11 join [3] 24:10 35:25 274:11 joint [1] 146:21 Jones [11] 210:7 211:14 242:17 247:3,17 273:25 305:14 338:1 362:23 366:5,22 jot [1] 285:25 jotted [1] 305:5 jotting [1] 318:22 Joy [1] 306:9 Judy [2] 374:2,13 July [16] 23:10 24:1 76:16 122:1 159:12 171:1 171:10,18 174:13,22 175:19 191:11 366:2,4 367:3,9 jump [1] 130:7 jumped [1] 150:11 June [30] 1:4 23:10 76:16 243:15 244:1,2 245:8 253:7 260:5 270:13 271:9 271:22 273:13 274:9,12 274:15,20 283:15 295:3 306:1 336:22 337:24 338:6,22,24 339:17 343:20 346:25 374:5,12 jurisdiction [1] 163:5 jurisdictions [1] 21:6 Justice [2] 1:3 374:6 Justice's [1] 26:3</p> </p>	<p style="text-align: center;">-K-</p> <hr/> <p>Kara [3] 1:10 242:18 305:14 keenly [1] 199:22 keep [1] 273:16 keeping [1] 80:9 kept [5] 32:24 109:9 186:9 246:24 341:24 key [3] 18:6 80:15 99:19 kin [2] 312:21 318:18 kind [97] 27:24 48:20 80:22 90:11 109:15 135:16 141:21 144:14,17 145:18 147:11 198:8 206:14,16,17,21 207:1,6 207:16,17 208:7,15 215:7 216:14 217:4 221:5,25 224:19,21 229:6,7,8 230:5,12 231:18,23 233:4 237:11 238:5 239:14 241:10 248:4,18 253:1 254:9 260:21 263:10 265:18,22 268:1,14 269:2 269:18 275:13 276:9,11 276:19 277:11,15,16 278:6,15 279:2,2 288:23 289:17,18,19 290:4 291:8 292:8 294:2 301:22 305:16 308:9,13 315:5 315:11 316:14 318:19,20 320:1,17 324:4,5,6 325:16,19,20 326:4,15 333:3,5 341:15 369:5,24 370:13 kinds [9] 227:14 244:10 257:11,13 276:8 278:14 282:22 288:16 331:17 knew [29] 15:6 30:8 34:10 46:1 53:7 55:4 63:10,23,24 90:8 93:22 117:14 142:23 172:8 239:12,21 241:6 246:6,9 246:11 256:12 262:15 277:13 283:7 290:14 292:1 293:18 324:8 329:16 knock [1] 340:10 knowing [6] 9:12 139:20 181:7 185:20 246:15 323:21 knowledge [6] 8:21 71:25 91:24 92:23 104:15 198:6 known [19] 6:6 32:10 34:6 35:8,8,11 43:11 117:19 139:5,23,24 140:5 290:18 315:18 318:2 324:2 345:18 352:20 360:10 <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-L-</p> <hr/> <p>lab [26] 15:22 52:5,15 56:11 66:4 67:20 68:11 69:7 115:25 151:25 152:16,17 153:14 168:9 189:6 203:3 239:13 241:6 243:11 250:1,2 283:6</p> </p>
--	--	---	--	--

<p>292:7 295:11 298:20 345:21 laboratory [4] 251:9 345:8 369:11 370:1 labour [1] 11:12 Labrador [6] 104:20,24 106:3 122:18 374:8,11 Labrador-Grenfell [1] 1:19 labs [1] 70:20 lack [9] 19:22 68:6,16,17 68:18,22 79:23 105:25 106:18 lacking [1] 68:20 lady [3] 6:22 10:14,21 laid [1] 325:16 Laing [38] 1:10 17:17 18:13 39:18 41:22 44:14 45:10 46:10 48:20 63:17 106:14 146:22 147:3 154:4 155:11 160:11 161:16 165:2,22 167:10 167:11,23 168:21 169:19 176:13 242:18 243:11 244:25 245:5 249:17 250:11,18 251:23 253:10 253:17 254:1 274:1 305:14 Laing's [3] 19:16 49:1 141:6 land [1] 276:10 language [2] 125:16 226:24 large [4] 22:21 177:17 214:9 257:25 largely [1] 208:25 larger [3] 89:19,19 243:20 last [15] 80:3 110:9 137:2 157:10 164:13 214:8 256:6 259:8 317:22 344:25 351:19 358:17 364:17 366:6 370:5 lastly [1] 166:19 late [5] 40:4 196:13 273:5 274:11 370:19 latest [1] 104:20 latterly [1] 154:20 launch [2] 80:5,25 law [3] 258:18 334:2,3 laws [5] 222:18 249:13 258:14,17 260:25 lawsuit [8] 51:5,23 53:7 53:24 54:16 105:8 139:9 259:15 lawyer [31] 121:17 122:7 254:20 255:6,11,14 256:11,13,17 257:1 258:20 260:7,23 261:18 261:18,19,20 263:2 265:16 266:2,3 270:14 271:25 272:9,17,22 274:7 274:10 331:23,24 332:21 lawyer's [1] 261:12 lawyers [4] 55:21 221:4 255:3 333:16</p>	<p>lay [2] 246:22 276:10 layperson [4] 60:15 70:7 124:8 127:4 lays [1] 229:6 lead [16] 12:2,8 56:14 130:6 134:19 144:10 207:22 208:15 209:3,21 212:23 214:2 222:8 223:18 245:23 246:6 leading [4] 147:1 162:24 163:4 259:8 leads [2] 221:19 235:5 leak [1] 183:13 leaning [1] 174:23 learn [2] 84:14 127:16 learned [2] 121:6 129:25 least [33] 9:3 19:2 36:18 50:3 52:10 53:15 71:23 89:25 176:24 178:19 182:14 186:5 199:19 204:1 209:4 231:11 232:8 236:2 240:19 265:8 267:8 275:14 278:8 282:2 286:10 287:9 288:20 292:12,16 302:8 308:23 327:22 340:25 leave [3] 13:11 21:6 113:14 leaves [1] 230:4 leaving [5] 13:11,25 65:20 83:18 275:7 led [8] 40:12 41:4 48:21 117:20 138:20,24 169:17 267:25 left [10] 13:18 85:6 95:9 115:15 117:10 128:5 147:22 200:19 207:19 229:5 legal [35] 24:23 25:7 26:23 28:2 29:4 30:4,16 53:9,19 55:18 65:24 66:1 69:21,23 70:13 71:19 122:14 123:1 139:8 234:4 249:11 254:25,25 255:15 256:8 258:12 260:25 261:15 262:25 265:19 273:1 331:12,13,20 334:25 legalities [1] 248:22 legally [4] 332:4,5 359:14 360:2 legible [1] 306:6 legislation [4] 200:11 200:11,13,15 lengthening [2] 350:4 351:2 lengthy [3] 21:24 22:15 96:11 lens [10] 154:13 155:4,6 155:8,12 156:2,14 165:21 169:24 268:2 lenses [2] 154:25 155:22 Leona [1] 136:7 less [5] 67:18,18 125:21 144:6 222:1 lesser [1] 215:8</p>	<p>letter [23] 5:20 20:16,17 21:23,24 22:1 172:12 188:3,8 189:15,24 190:4 190:10 192:13,15,21,23 193:6,15 241:14 303:23 303:24 304:4 letterhead [2] 40:25 338:20 letterheads [1] 340:9 letters [4] 122:17 186:13 187:13,22 level [4] 16:6 91:15 164:1 189:18 liability [2] 257:25 261:5 lies [1] 222:24 life [14] 21:5 22:7 105:24 106:12 163:24,25 180:8 210:25 221:17 222:25 277:23 323:12 350:4 351:2 light [5] 6:25 78:10 115:4 122:18 136:14 likelihood [1] 164:5 likely [12] 63:19 227:1 230:10,21 232:15 234:21 246:13 305:3 322:8 324:9 339:8 364:3 likened [1] 368:12 limit [4] 30:3 316:19 317:5,13 limited [6] 28:9 101:23 141:20 149:20 150:16 164:6 line [10] 90:12 110:4 143:23 167:21 172:15 296:3,4,4 340:14 360:21 lines [2] 168:8 340:13 link [2] 15:3 139:14 linkage [3] 104:2 126:20 126:22 list [5] 3:1 32:23 80:3 250:16 355:3 listed [2] 250:20 328:2 listen [3] 102:21,21 182:6 listened [1] 174:20 listening [9] 277:12 278:6,9,18 281:2 308:19 333:22 334:1 348:17 literature [1] 21:11 litigation [7] 31:7 106:25 111:23 112:5,14 142:17 142:24 lives [2] 64:15 222:9 living [17] 42:2 44:16 45:25 49:2,5 140:10,23 141:15 165:24 168:1 170:3 222:11 260:8 315:13,16 356:15 358:17 living's [1] 315:12 loads [3] 19:22 142:4 320:19 local [3] 5:22 10:13 359:22 locally [1] 225:25 logistic's [1] 245:4</p>	<p>logistically [1] 177:21 logistics [2] 230:13 362:5 logs [1] 9:25 London [1] 206:1 longer [1] 188:16 longest [1] 218:13 look [38] 12:17 19:11 23:23 24:6 31:12 35:23 37:13 40:18 61:14 71:9 83:19 86:4 88:7 95:12 104:17 113:11,23 151:25 152:15,16,22 155:11 161:12 162:2 169:24 230:23 259:21 303:9 326:12 335:15 337:13 341:2,9 345:6 346:5 352:2,8 363:19 looked [9] 14:7,12 63:7 70:20 85:18,24,24 140:10 140:11 looking [23] 5:22 12:1 16:2,24 37:21 38:2 45:16 56:5 58:14 120:14 147:9 165:14 171:23 178:2 181:24 244:1 245:16 330:20 331:25 337:22 339:11 353:10 372:22 loop [3] 80:9 246:24 247:14 loose [1] 7:18 Lorraine [1] 271:10 lose [1] 368:8 loss [1] 323:22 losses [1] 323:11 lost [6] 119:5 124:8,11 168:15 322:8,9 lots [1] 248:17 Louise [12] 210:7 211:14 242:17 247:11 270:17 273:25 305:14 338:1 362:23 363:3 366:5,6 loved [2] 46:17 322:8 low [1] 23:13 lower [1] 36:10 lowered [1] 21:20 lump [1] 20:4 LUNCH [1] 203:21 luncheon [1] 203:19</p>	<p>makers [3] 192:4 230:25 361:2 makes [2] 229:9 246:23 malfunctioning [1] 278:14 manage [4] 27:19 178:23 351:17,17 managed [1] 361:6 management [5] 248:24 255:12,13 362:2,4 manager [3] 10:22 249:9 361:7 managers [1] 209:2 mandate [1] 120:25 manipulating [1] 124:20 manipulation [3] 70:8 123:19 124:3 manner [2] 110:25 184:23 manual [4] 70:8 125:17 125:25 127:9 manuals [1] 118:13 March [4] 4:14 6:14 7:10 8:21 Margaret [1] 374:6 margin [14] 56:24 57:16 57:25 59:5 60:3,8,12 61:6 70:15 71:14 87:15 144:17,23 150:21 margins [1] 143:11 Marion [1] 366:7 mark [3] 1:16 68:5,7 marks [1] 100:1 mass [2] 177:23 236:11 massive [1] 179:14 mastectomies [1] 105:20 Master's [1] 205:25 material [5] 97:17 138:8 197:6 356:18 371:25 materially [1] 194:25 materials [9] 95:17 96:12 147:6 149:16,23 150:10 224:5 268:17,18 math [3] 58:25 63:2 87:8 matter [48] 56:7 111:23 113:25 136:17 172:9 191:25 202:22 216:3 226:10 233:11 236:7,13 237:17 238:10,21,23,24 241:25 244:24 245:15 255:17 258:12 259:23 260:8 261:22 262:10 264:5 265:9 267:22 270:16,20,21 273:6 277:4 280:25 298:4,7 302:12 320:22 322:12 324:22 326:16 350:12 361:11 362:6 363:9 364:24 374:3 mattered [1] 59:24 matters [15] 206:15,20 209:22 221:12,17 226:3 234:4 241:3 247:23 253:22 255:9 261:1 268:2</p>
---	--	---	---	---

-M-

323:21 361:25 may [114] 6:6,12 10:4,4 10:17,20 11:7 17:1,2,7,9 17:11 23:10,25 28:5 30:1 34:8,9 35:7,8,8 36:20 37:5,9 52:11 64:10 69:16 69:19 71:7,8 75:20,24 77:3,18,19,22,25 83:19 83:24 85:17 86:15 92:8 92:8,14 93:4 94:22 99:21 100:5 102:25 107:14 108:4,17 110:21 114:4 117:11 120:23 121:3,6 132:17 138:1 139:23 145:25 147:3 156:22 163:11 167:21 169:18 183:22,22 186:4 191:3 196:13 198:5 222:22 224:22 231:3,6 241:14 242:15 243:14,25 244:22 245:5 247:16 249:2 253:16,20,22 260:5 270:5 270:14 271:4,5 288:4,8 291:4,6 295:23 304:4,13 307:7 328:17,22 335:18 337:4 345:9,14,24 346:21 347:2,23 357:2 361:13 371:24 McCarthy [9] 245:1 249:18 253:11 274:2 306:9 320:15 324:15 344:23 349:2 McCarthy's [3] 321:3 322:19,22 mean [201] 6:14,18 7:20 8:15 9:1,12 13:6,16 15:12,22 16:7,17 24:23 25:8 26:23,24,24 27:7 27:10,13,15,17 28:23 30:20 32:14,23 33:14 35:4,7 42:13 43:12,15 44:15 45:12,16 46:7,9 47:24 48:3,4,6 49:21 50:3,17,22 52:7 53:2,6,6 53:13 57:3,7,19,20 58:12 58:17,24 60:7,25 61:14 61:19 62:5 63:1,15 64:1 65:2 66:5 67:16,17,19 69:25 71:12 73:10,13 78:3,9,12,22 79:1,18 84:6 86:4 87:12 91:16 92:3,14 93:22 94:19 97:25 100:20 102:14,25 103:5,21 105:23 106:23 107:11 108:4,7,14 109:15 113:3,4 117:2 120:2 122:25 127:8 128:22 131:24 132:16 139:7,25 140:16 141:13 142:9,11 144:8,21 145:22 150:14 151:5,5 155:2 156:11 158:22 160:7 162:24 163:16 166:3,4 169:9,14 169:21 170:1,4 172:23 173:17 174:19 176:12,13 177:15,20,22,23 178:12 179:10,16,23 181:5,16 182:14 183:1,6,23,23 184:4,8 185:3,6 186:1 186:15,18 187:4,20 188:8 188:17,19 189:1,2,4,12 189:14 190:10,13 191:17	191:21 194:15,17,18,20 195:8,10 196:10,13 198:18 200:6,8 202:4,4 221:23 222:2 235:19 238:9 281:12 285:22 328:16 331:3 350:10 353:22 355:3 369:16 meaning [2] 257:22 319:20 means [5] 78:19 231:15 293:10 372:9 374:10 meant [18] 5:24 7:1 8:10 23:14 33:19 57:16,19 60:11 77:16 80:21 108:4 116:17 195:8 240:6 263:5 270:21 347:19 365:22 meantime [6] 246:5 258:1 266:12 286:24 295:7 327:4 measure [1] 95:3 measured [1] 153:3 measures [2] 67:25 115:20 mechanism [1] 163:23 media [53] 27:12 33:12 44:22 46:1 47:11 48:7 51:6 52:10 54:21 55:5 63:24 73:22 74:4,7 79:16 79:18,23 80:18 91:21 100:17 101:23 102:7,11 102:17 103:1,3,12,17 104:4,18 107:11,11,14 142:19 147:7,25 148:8 148:15,21 149:3,16 170:2 175:24 176:8 180:1,22 183:14 186:7 191:8 195:9 199:23 359:22,23 medical [27] 1:16 10:3,7 10:10,12 11:13 56:12 69:3 129:19 155:12 156:5 156:14 157:18 173:23 174:6 175:22 176:7 184:10,15 218:12,18,19 219:6 279:9 298:3 309:19 343:10 medication [1] 62:23 medications [1] 163:6 medicine [2] 345:8 370:1 meet [12] 25:18,19 26:8 38:20 90:11 93:22 97:13 157:12,23 158:12 169:11 226:13 meeting [169] 12:20 17:5 17:20,24 19:13,18 22:2 23:8,11 25:20 32:2 34:4 34:5,6,10 36:19 37:15 39:9 40:2,4,11 44:19 45:2,4 46:9 48:19 50:17 50:25 51:2,21 52:1,23 53:8,15,25 54:10,13,18 55:14 57:2 61:23 63:3 65:4,6,20 70:24 71:23 72:1 75:11,15 81:3,24 82:10 83:11,11,15,21 84:21,22 85:3 87:13 88:21,23 89:4,4,6,8 90:15 93:7 94:3,15,24 102:4 108:13 109:9 114:3 117:17 122:1,4 123:4	125:8 127:12,18 128:7 129:4 130:6,8,11,15 132:23 133:23 134:20,21 135:1,18 136:5 137:15 137:21 138:2,4,11,15,15 139:20 140:3,8 141:18 143:6 144:2 146:12,21 147:23 150:2,9,22 155:7 155:13,16,21 156:20,21 157:4,14 158:19,20 159:3 159:10,12 160:10 163:1 164:15,23,25 165:7,18 166:23 174:11,13,22 175:2 181:1 190:11 193:23 200:19 220:21 230:8 268:18 269:14 271:19 274:4,14,17 280:6 294:19 298:14,18,22 300:12 301:5 306:1 316:7 325:7 331:8 344:16 346:25 347:12 349:10 350:20 354:3 meetings [16] 16:22 22:23,24 35:5 108:15 115:7 135:7 142:10 154:9 154:11 158:2 160:5 169:2 209:10 247:22 323:2 member [3] 172:11 212:25 362:1 members [4] 1:14 230:22 263:24 325:25 memo [1] 336:2 Memorial [4] 218:4 219:5 220:3 242:24 memory [2] 21:2 49:17 memos [2] 340:8,13 menopausal [1] 350:3 mental [3] 87:8 200:12 200:14 mention [5] 232:23 335:24 361:12 366:8,11 mentioned [17] 13:8 46:7 134:3 142:14 145:5 151:1 158:4 164:24 244:20 272:21 295:12 296:8 323:3 325:4,17 340:8 349:23 message [3] 136:1,20 137:3 messages [1] 99:19 met [5] 23:5 25:21 100:13 109:8 171:10 metastatic [2] 62:20,24 methods [1] 105:11 MHA [5] 4:21 5:5,22 6:1 172:14 MHAs [1] 7:24 mid [1] 211:7 might [60] 6:23 29:8 36:9 79:16 93:9 107:11 126:12 134:5 158:4 178:25 183:16 184:22 196:13 197:1,6,11 198:18 214:19 217:18,23 221:15 222:25 224:1 228:6 229:12 230:14 231:4,7,13 232:13 238:7 239:3 247:21 248:22 253:2 261:1,3	266:17 268:1 272:21 285:25 288:15 295:24 305:16 313:8 323:12 324:7 327:7 330:3,4,7 330:20 334:13 336:10 337:6,8,19 341:17 353:15 360:4 mightn't [1] 337:18 mill [1] 238:1 Mills [1] 1:8 mind [21] 24:24 41:21 45:20 59:13 61:1 63:1 63:16 64:1 77:5 86:16 108:17 159:21 160:1 177:15 195:20 237:2,19 265:6,12 357:5 366:16 mindset [1] 265:13 mine [2] 257:3 304:22 minimum [1] 271:24 minister [136] 11:24 12:3 12:6,8,22,24 13:4,9,9,15 14:6,11,17,23 15:11,24 17:5,15,17 20:14,17 21:10,23,25,25 24:9 25:17,19,23 26:8 28:15 28:16 35:1 37:16,18,21 37:25 39:19 40:10 42:11 43:8 44:2 46:11 47:23 49:20 51:20,25 54:7,20 63:22 71:9 77:23 78:24 79:21 82:18 85:2 90:10 91:10 92:19,23 95:15,20 98:15 99:4 101:21 102:3 105:5 106:15 109:12,17 110:3,25 111:10,20 112:2 112:9,11 113:22 114:4 114:20,21 117:16,22 118:5,6 122:2 127:18,22 132:17,23 133:6,23 134:13 135:3,6,19 136:16 136:19,22,25 137:5 139:13 144:10 155:3 157:11,14,16,24 158:3 158:11,17 159:6,12,20 166:22 167:7 172:2,6,22 173:7,18 174:18 178:13 184:5,12 185:3 186:9,20 187:4 190:5 192:14,15 197:15,18,18,20 minister's [11] 5:5 13:25 18:7 47:21 54:11 91:4 111:18 172:18 183:24 186:23 187:20 ministers [3] 23:5 108:6 108:16 ministry [2] 206:2 207:7 Minnie [5] 110:6,13,18 110:21 111:3 Minnie's [1] 116:19 minute [3] 176:25 180:3 182:9 minutes [5] 32:5,23 322:24 371:10 372:25 misinterpret [1] 306:7 miss [1] 224:10 missed [2] 76:4 176:3 missing [1] 120:10 misspell [1] 58:15	misspelling [1] 343:21 mistake [5] 61:4 278:11 355:9 358:12 359:6 mistakenly [1] 105:19 mistakes [10] 6:10 36:7 279:21 280:8,14 348:8 351:21 352:9 354:3 356:25 mistrust [1] 84:8 misunderstand [1] 195:6 mix [6] 230:1,15 231:10 231:20 243:7 255:3 mixture [1] 207:2 model [3] 115:25 226:19 226:21 Moir [1] 187:11 moment [10] 62:11 80:2 113:23 142:14 160:19 191:11 234:13 243:20 287:4 336:6 moments [2] 165:20 362:7 Monday [5] 104:21 105:2 243:14 274:9,11 money [1] 20:6 monitoring [1] 224:20 month [5] 34:7,13,15 188:1 274:8 months [9] 6:21 32:8 45:18 76:17 112:9 114:9 182:25 230:3 350:13 mood [1] 45:2 morality [2] 221:15 223:8 morning [19] 4:6,6,9 37:16 95:16,18 121:9,16 121:21 132:23 149:15 153:25 154:6 161:13 164:24 195:2 273:7 366:22 373:19 Moss [2] 374:2,13 most [17] 7:7 20:11 156:13,14 178:14 216:23 222:5 226:12,14 234:24 274:24 288:22 305:3 319:1 322:8 330:25 339:8 mostly [1] 232:15 mother [2] 46:18 288:14 Mount [17] 74:10,15,17 74:22 75:5,25 76:8 189:25 190:7 193:8 241:19 243:5 290:6 315:1 345:20,22 351:16 mouse [2] 31:14 160:25 move [11] 47:7,10 116:12 119:3 136:20 242:8,8 248:18 258:17 272:20 276:11 moved [10] 54:18 115:11 119:1,13 145:23 182:25 208:9 214:16 271:16 277:15 moves [1] 120:24 moving [3] 55:5 118:22 126:4
--	--	--	--	--

Inquiry on Hormone Receptor Testing

<p>Ms^[166] 4:2,19 5:17 9:22 12:1 13:23 14:7,10,12 24:7 30:21 33:10 37:5 52:17 57:5,12 58:3 79:21 81:12 83:3 85:6 88:1,22 88:22 90:6,16,22 91:8 91:13,24 92:9,20,24,25 93:1 94:2 95:14,21 101:22 102:2 105:4,5 106:5 109:24 110:1,2 111:1,16 113:5 114:2 121:23 127:25 131:2,5,6 136:18,21 137:3,4,4,13 140:14 145:14,16,22 146:16,25 149:15 150:2 154:8 170:15,16,19,20 170:21 171:11,16,22 172:1,17 173:2,11,20 174:5,21 175:11,20 176:5 176:20 178:6,16,24 179:21 180:6,11 181:2,5 181:10,19,23 182:22 183:3,10 184:7 185:24 186:19 187:1,14,24 188:11,21 189:9,22 190:17,24 192:1,8,14 193:5,12,22 194:6,22 195:1,9,15,24 196:15,20 197:8,17,21 198:2,7,14 198:20,25 199:5,10 200:1 200:18 201:2 202:9 203:10,13 247:3,16 250:13,14 257:5 266:23 267:15 271:11 272:1 273:25 274:2 277:7 282:11 306:19 344:23 366:22 367:2 371:4,5,8 371:9</p>	<p>nationally^[1] 74:5 nature^[9] 192:13 199:21 222:14 257:15 261:13,22 262:4 323:2 364:3 natures^[1] 221:15 near^[1] 106:4 necessarily^[3] 11:1 175:13 196:1 necessary^[4] 35:9 111:13 159:7 178:10 need^[53] 6:3 9:21 111:1 111:10,13 135:1 137:5 170:5 175:3 178:21 193:18 209:5,17 217:22 217:23 229:14,21,22,23 231:10,11 234:21 243:6 243:9,16 244:8,13 249:14 250:10,17 253:21 254:24 255:18 264:15 265:14 270:18 271:16,24 272:20 272:22 276:15 289:3,14 295:23 301:25 313:24 315:3 322:14 323:24 326:12 330:20 333:17 341:15 needed^[16] 14:3 89:12 152:25 209:12 227:4 241:6,8 243:12 250:12 250:15 252:25 253:1,3 260:25 276:11 341:3 needlessly^[1] 105:20 needs^[7] 37:18 227:13 229:13 230:9 270:17 288:2 324:16 negative^[10] 78:17 100:9 283:25 284:3 308:24 311:12 316:12 318:14 358:4,6 negatives^[1] 369:16 negligible^[1] 57:20 negotiations^[2] 16:13 16:18 neither^[1] 137:25 never^[32] 27:16 30:8,9 53:13,14 54:4 65:2,3 66:7 97:6 98:4 99:6,7 118:11 145:5 146:10 149:11 151:10 160:3 181:20 187:21,23,23 189:2 200:25 247:20,24 265:12 297:16 301:6 331:1 370:5</p>	<p>192:8,15 193:5,12,22 194:6,22 195:1,9,15,24 196:15,20 197:8,17,21 198:2,14,20,25 199:5,10 200:1,18 201:2 371:8,9 newer^[2] 126:21,22 Newfoundland^[8] 5:25 21:3 104:19,24 106:3 330:16 374:8,11 Newman^[2] 366:12 367:6 news^[15] 24:11 35:24 36:17 37:3,20 54:24 57:21 60:16 95:25 99:18 100:7 102:21 105:3 111:6 171:4 next^[29] 4:12 34:7 72:9 77:7 88:12 112:3 114:19 136:20,23 137:10 157:12 157:23 203:19,25 221:19 230:3 239:2 271:4,17 272:1 273:7 296:11 312:21 313:10 316:11 318:11,18 341:6 373:3 nexus^[1] 355:8 night^[1] 110:3 nine^[3] 6:20 56:21 99:22 NL^[3] 1:8,16,17 NLMA^[1] 16:13 nobody^[5] 65:14 93:14 101:14 118:17 291:2 nomenclature^[1] 154:13 none^[1] 104:15 nor^[5] 224:16 259:8 260:16 266:13 370:5 normal^[3] 168:9,9 237:25 normally^[3] 119:6 131:21 264:18 note^[32] 12:19 32:5 33:16 37:17,19 43:6 44:1 49:20 51:4 54:23 56:4 56:21 63:21 64:25 65:3 72:17 76:14 77:7 78:22 80:3 85:24 92:18 111:21 117:18 154:7 157:9 239:7 246:21 254:13 297:18,20 342:15 noted^[3] 51:3 65:25 171:15 notes^[36] 7:19,23 8:25 12:21 17:20 19:4 28:1 31:2 32:1,4 50:22,25 51:13 57:1 65:25 67:8,8 85:20 92:16 118:3 138:22 156:20 185:6 239:9,15 276:3 285:23 304:24 305:25 306:6 308:13,18 323:4 340:5 346:3,4 noteworthy^[1] 106:21 nothing^[8] 120:7,9 139:1 202:4 203:15 239:2 239:3 330:24 notice^[2] 200:4 250:7 noticeable^[1] 341:23 noticed^[1] 371:24</p>	<p>notification^[18] 122:17 128:8 129:1 172:8 173:25 179:2 181:13 182:12 183:19,25 184:14 185:25 186:10 188:4,6 190:20 238:11 242:1 notifications^[3] 91:19 187:7 188:10 notified^[13] 32:6,9,11 33:2,8,20,21 132:6 171:13 172:25 182:20 191:24 246:18 notify^[2] 177:4 188:23 notifying^[9] 128:24 171:3 172:20 174:23 182:7 186:5 190:2 239:20 240:23 noting^[1] 77:4 novelty^[1] 237:19 November^[34] 14:13 30:11,22,25 31:9 34:10 38:8 39:1,9 46:10 51:1 84:16,22 97:18 100:13 100:16 103:8 112:12 132:24 134:19 135:8 136:2 139:18 150:3,9,22 160:10,15 164:15,23 165:1 193:23 197:16 200:20 now^[132] 6:14 12:1 16:16 28:2 48:7 51:15 58:6 64:8 67:9,15 77:3 80:11 82:8 83:16 113:13 119:9 126:25 127:12 129:20 130:7 133:11 134:16 135:24 141:10 143:8 146:1 147:6,21 148:5 155:11 156:17,20 157:11 159:9 160:9 164:13,15 166:19 178:5 179:12 186:3 189:23 198:15 203:1 206:16 208:19 213:10,15 215:4,11 219:3 219:15 220:2,9,23 221:19 223:6 225:17 226:1 232:4 232:19 233:9 236:2,4 237:17,18 238:20 240:20 244:1 245:14 246:18 247:8 250:7 252:7,14,22 253:15 255:14 256:25 258:9 261:7,9,10,15 265:6 266:12,20 270:2,4 270:13 271:8 272:7,18 273:12 274:9 276:2 277:3 279:17,19 283:17 284:20 286:24 294:19 296:2,19 296:23 297:10,15 301:24 303:17 311:24 315:9 325:3,23 329:9,17 331:7 331:19 335:14 338:18 341:20 343:5 345:6 346:2 346:17 347:18 348:20,23 363:20 365:13 366:2 371:24 nuances^[1] 21:9 number^[58] 13:12,15 17:6 19:15,23 20:25 21:13 23:12,14 40:9,15 41:17 42:7,15,17 59:1 61:10 62:8,14 63:8 64:18</p>	<p>66:19 72:18 100:4 107:14 108:15 119:14 123:18 134:3 135:6 141:20 150:19 154:2,9 158:1 164:19,19 165:14 171:9 171:12 175:8 178:13 189:23 191:17 227:24,25 228:1 237:20 242:16 243:24 273:14 276:8 283:22 305:8,9,10 361:15 367:3 numbers^[60] 22:12 23:13 30:24 38:21 40:14 42:12,22 43:12 44:5 49:23 50:14 58:13 61:15 63:11 66:7 75:19 80:13 84:15 85:16,25 86:1 87:10 88:7 97:24 98:2,3 100:1,2,3,7,7,15 102:8 103:9,25 107:5,8,15 138:9,9 143:9,20 145:1 147:13,18,23 148:15,20 149:11,21 151:1 169:25 175:6 227:23 276:7 277:7 277:7 282:21 307:18 338:21 nurse^[1] 320:12</p>
<p>-O-</p>				
<p>multi^[1] 127:9 multi-stepped^[1] 70:6 multiplicity^[1] 368:25 Mundon^[30] 24:7 39:19 79:21 85:7 88:22 90:6 90:22 91:8,24 92:9,24 93:1 94:2 95:14,21 101:22 102:2 105:4 106:5 109:24 110:1 111:1,16 130:9 136:7,18,24 137:3 137:14 150:2 Mundon's^[1] 90:16 must^[6] 161:4 274:15 299:5,25 360:19,20 mute^[1] 190:14</p>	<p>new^[18] 21:9 33:11 35:14 67:14,25 85:20 105:11 107:2 115:25 119:12 179:12 200:10,11 208:19 255:22 274:11 306:23 307:3 Newbury^[74] 1:17 2:6 170:19,20,21,22 171:11 171:16,22 172:1,17 173:2 173:11,20 174:5,21 175:11,20 176:5,20 178:6 178:16,24 179:21 180:6 180:11 181:2,5,10,19,23 182:22 183:3,10 184:7 185:24 186:19 187:1,14 187:24 188:11,21 189:9 189:22 190:17,24 192:1</p>	<p>name^[12] 4:13 5:8 121:17 154:1 168:15 204:1,8 338:14,25 339:12 339:20 343:22 named^[3] 10:21 26:22 248:1 names^[3] 17:19 189:20 366:9 Nash^[2] 242:18 305:9 Natalie^[6] 218:14 254:16 338:11,25 339:20 341:1 national^[6] 21:11,11 67:21 70:19 135:7 372:4</p>	<p>O'Dea^[4] 170:15,16 371:4,5 O'Dea^[1] 1:18 objected^[1] 299:3 obligated^[1] 332:4 obligation^[7] 331:15 334:11,17,25 358:2,11 359:3 oblige^[1] 358:8 obliged^[4] 332:4,5 334:2 334:3 observation^[2] 155:20 226:12 obtain^[1] 92:10 obtained^[4] 86:20,23 87:7,23 obviously^[24] 6:18 7:15 8:10,15 16:17 37:7 44:15 49:3 54:20 64:16 78:15 106:23 132:16 186:1 189:2 197:1 213:24 263:8 265:3 298:6 301:23 302:2 302:6 307:15 occasionally^[1] 255:2 occupied^[1] 373:4 occur^[5] 87:6 103:23 123:20 126:6 129:2 occurred^[6] 6:10 53:10 110:16 123:16 359:20 367:5 October^[16] 24:12 32:2 32:7 34:4 36:1,19 51:16 54:22 176:14 183:9 184:4 187:22 190:15 283:12,17 283:21 off^[30] 40:12 54:18 95:20 96:9,11 121:25 128:11 128:13,21 129:8 130:3</p>	

<p>130:17 135:11 157:19 173:24 174:23 181:13 182:7 190:13,18,19 206:11 242:8 243:21 279:16 292:8 317:8,21 326:9 365:16</p> <p>offended [1] 220:23 offensive [1] 48:5 offer [10] 51:24 155:13 156:2 163:6 178:12 214:25 217:3 226:17 227:2 230:20</p> <p>offered [12] 11:8,14 147:4 163:4 178:22 191:6 191:12 231:11 288:21 327:9,11,16</p> <p>offers [1] 10:10 office [16] 5:5 13:25 14:2 14:2 79:21 85:8,18,23 91:4 102:4 227:8,24,24 247:9 274:13 343:14</p> <p>officer [2] 174:15 361:15 offices [2] 7:24 178:1 official [3] 145:19 146:19 146:20</p> <p>officials [12] 33:4 34:7 85:5 105:2,9,18 106:3 109:13 127:22 144:9 192:5 199:20</p> <p>offset [1] 11:8 often [5] 222:14,22 231:13 263:21 264:4</p> <p>old [3] 118:13,14 119:13 omissions [1] 196:21 omit [1] 255:22</p> <p>once [23] 10:1 21:3,4,6 24:23 25:6 26:23 27:4 28:21 29:24 54:15 73:17 75:24 76:7,12 124:9 137:7 171:2 198:8 253:4 324:12 329:11 368:18</p> <p>oncologist [5] 9:20 19:24 21:4 22:9 176:19</p> <p>oncologists [36] 16:25 17:7 19:7,13 20:20 22:19 56:9 127:19 128:11 129:20 142:4 163:1 165:22 174:8,11,25 175:3 175:21 176:6 177:3,6 179:4,7,15 180:15 181:12 190:12 191:14 249:21 252:3 267:15 310:5 320:11 321:6,17 324:20</p> <p>oncology [6] 114:11 115:8,8 155:12 168:9 320:16</p> <p>one [151] 6:9 12:3,21 14:4 17:18 19:2 22:11,13 23:5 23:5 36:18 45:17 46:17 48:22,24 64:15 75:15 76:12 86:3 89:24 91:18 103:4 107:11 108:19 110:7 119:11,12,13 122:3 122:10 123:4 125:3 126:4 126:15 127:18 136:3,23 137:10 140:25 145:23 151:13 155:20 162:1 169:1,10 179:10 189:5</p>	<p>189:24 192:11,16 198:7 205:15 207:21 208:13 212:18 215:5,5 216:15 216:19 220:14,25 221:1 222:10 223:18 224:14 225:1,15 227:22 228:4 228:13 229:15 232:4,9 232:10,21 236:6,10,17 236:19 238:1 243:21 245:9,23 246:2,20 250:9 250:15 254:21 255:23,24 260:22 264:3 269:1 271:9 274:15 277:12 279:15 281:10,14,17,22,23 282:2 282:3,3 283:14,18 284:1 294:13 297:23 308:23 314:1 320:9 322:8 323:9 326:4,20,21,23 327:1 328:3,13,18 331:25 332:2 334:9 338:11 339:8,9,11 342:10 343:16,18,20 349:5,22 355:9 359:14 360:2 362:25,25 363:21 364:16 366:3 367:18 368:1,3,9,12,19,22</p> <p>one-page [1] 40:24 onerous [1] 168:24 ones [10] 8:16 44:17 59:23 100:12 281:6,10 282:11 321:1 336:5 338:15</p> <p>ongoing [6] 18:2,4 31:7 158:1,3,23</p> <p>Ontario [1] 206:1 onto [2] 313:11 329:11 open [2] 172:15 340:9 operate [1] 177:22 operating [4] 142:22 184:20,24 192:21 operation [3] 152:4,17 152:22 operational [5] 131:20 131:25 152:15 153:16 202:22 operationally [1] 131:16 opinion [13] 157:18 187:20 234:4,19,20 254:25,25 259:10,11 260:25 295:15 297:12 298:10 opportunities [1] 215:6 opportunity [10] 84:1 123:20 125:4,14 126:5 126:17 135:9 161:19 194:11 231:16 opposed [3] 131:15 281:15 291:25 option [1] 231:12 options [6] 230:19,24,24 231:7 326:13,17 ordeal [1] 45:20 order [12] 121:23 124:21 141:10 142:1 158:2,7 182:21 227:12 253:3 311:19 312:10 313:8 ordered [1] 185:10</p>	<p>ordering [1] 189:13 organization [8] 217:6 223:4 257:19 277:1 355:5 355:16,17 367:12 organizational [2] 218:3 244:3 organizations [3] 216:23 225:23,25 organize [4] 225:12,14 239:18 243:2 organized [1] 135:2 organizer [1] 266:1 organizing [4] 130:15 209:10 243:6 263:15 original [8] 62:20 116:22 150:18 203:2 246:20 317:11 346:2,23 originally [4] 54:10 100:9 188:17 364:7 originated [3] 33:15 51:18,19 Osborne [21] 11:25 12:21 17:6 37:16 42:11 43:8 63:22 79:21 92:23 110:3 111:10,12 112:2,9 117:16,22 118:6 132:23 167:7,7,22 Osborne's [1] 166:22 otherwise [4] 11:9 112:18 333:7 357:4 Ottenheimer [4] 25:17 46:11 109:8 118:5 ought [8] 196:6 222:18 222:21,23 233:6 352:20 361:6,12 outcome [7] 19:12 20:10 89:5 295:6 318:3 348:21 349:13 outcomes [1] 93:24 outline [1] 269:8 Outlook [1] 119:9 outs [1] 256:12 outset [2] 138:11,14 outside [10] 40:6 92:13 137:11 215:1 255:19 261:17,19 284:22 315:18 366:17 outstanding [3] 137:20 139:9 364:18 overall [4] 86:16 226:10 305:9 367:16 overlap [1] 250:4 overlapped [1] 250:4 overseeing [1] 302:5 overtones [1] 132:1 overview [3] 205:20,20 207:4 owed [1] 173:7 own [19] 41:21 59:12 63:1 84:1 106:4 180:1 214:6 226:11 227:1 244:7 265:20 266:1 285:16 322:2 323:7,19 324:19 352:22 366:17</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">-P-</p> <p>P [5] 19:11 24:6 253:14 303:21 346:17 P-0045 [1] 283:10 P-0046 [1] 283:10 P-0073 [1] 337:3 P-0104 [1] 99:16 P-0125 [5] 40:18 62:11 138:25 143:8 164:21 P-0138 [1] 156:17 P-0145 [1] 164:17 P-0169 [1] 12:18 P-0173 [1] 35:23 P-0177 [1] 135:24 P-0179 [1] 37:13 P-0196 [2] 95:12 109:22 P-0481 [1] 335:16 P-0704 [1] 366:1 P-0778 [1] 242:13 P-0779 [2] 244:17 245:17 P-0780 [1] 273:12 P-0781 [1] 294:18 P-0782 [3] 338:18 339:18 339:19 P-0783 [2] 337:23 343:4 P-1369 [1] 241:13 P-1455 [1] 104:17 P-1477 [1] 31:12 P-1626 [1] 4:11 P-1628 [1] 50:24 P-1629 [1] 113:11 P-1687 [3] 3:2 204:25 205:4 P-1688 [1] 270:3 P-1689 [1] 271:8 P-1694 [3] 3:2 205:4,8 P-1718 [4] 3:3 303:2,6 346:6 P-1719 [3] 3:4 303:7,9 P.E.I [1] 245:7 p.m [12] 51:3 104:23 110:4 243:23 244:2 245:5 270:5 271:9 272:1 274:10 274:12 337:25 package [1] 96:12 packing [1] 83:18 page [33] 31:13 40:19 49:18 62:11 95:13 103:7 103:9 109:22 138:25 143:8 161:14 164:21 166:25 167:13,15 242:14 243:22 245:17,17 250:8 303:23 304:19 305:24 313:10,12 335:16 346:6 346:7,18 363:20,21 366:4 367:2 pages [1] 335:22 paid [5] 20:1 284:6,16 286:5,12 Pam [3] 337:7 366:24,25 Pamela [1] 1:14</p>	<p>pamphlet [1] 303:13 pamphlets [1] 227:21 Pan [1] 11:10 Pan-Provincial [1] 89:19 panel [8] 76:15 139:4 140:9,18 166:1,12,17 315:11 panelling [4] 76:1,9 315:24 316:5 paper [1] 317:15 papers [2] 25:7 26:23 paperwork [1] 69:23 paragraph [2] 7:4 351:19 paramount [2] 45:21 63:25 Pardon [4] 235:13 260:2 281:8 327:13 parent [1] 322:9 part [73] 21:1 22:24 23:1 36:16 49:25 66:10 92:19 102:22 118:11 130:19 146:21 149:12 152:4 157:22 176:3 177:19 187:9 195:3 208:8 214:9 215:4 217:1 218:17 223:3 226:1 229:5 230:14 231:5 235:5 246:22 255:3 256:13 258:1 259:6,17 264:9,17 265:3 267:23 269:6 276:9 277:11 278:8 288:19 290:3,4 293:5 295:13 296:10 297:3 298:1 313:23 314:6 317:8 317:20 318:6 319:21 320:8,12 321:19,24 323:24 324:6,7 349:22 353:9,10 356:20 357:9 357:10 359:22 366:15 368:21 partially [1] 20:23 participate [12] 221:11 231:17 235:2,9 245:19 246:14 247:17,20 254:17 263:15 272:3 298:1 participated [7] 154:10 160:5 258:3 298:10 362:17 364:4,14 participates [1] 263:18 participating [1] 229:25 participation [2] 235:4 266:16 particular [23] 22:13 41:9 48:21 81:10 172:3 173:22 179:22 180:14 181:14 184:10 227:18 232:1,1 233:11 246:2 252:7 269:1 274:12 275:11 343:18 350:14 369:13 373:11 particularly [3] 28:5 174:2 183:18 parties [2] 144:5 267:21 party [4] 23:8 88:17 93:5 197:4 pass [3] 206:11 301:25</p>
---	---	--	---	--

<p>366:24 passed [4] 43:7 72:17 133:3,21 passing [2] 44:1 103:5 past [6] 7:2 45:17 80:10 110:11 124:9 181:7 pastoral [16] 207:10 208:10,10,12,21 210:3 210:14,21 213:17,17,25 227:25 274:13 335:17 338:4,19 Pat [1] 366:24 pathologist [1] 286:6 pathologists [16] 16:14 16:25 23:12 67:9,16 68:23 69:15 116:17 142:5 252:8 267:15 271:25 272:23 276:18 284:17 286:12 pathologists' [2] 22:25 23:22 pathology [2] 68:18 168:9 patient [47] 5:13,20 12:15 21:2,5,9 22:7,7,8 36:6,24 110:6 122:17 159:21 173:25 177:17 182:11,20 183:18,25 184:14 185:25 186:10 187:7 188:10,24 212:2 230:20 231:2,13 232:4,9 232:21 238:1 293:23 311:2 318:3 348:22 349:8 349:13 351:3 353:25 354:1 357:15 358:15,24 367:19 patient's [2] 53:4 357:16 patients [113] 11:3,22 19:24 20:25 27:20 32:6 32:11 33:8 40:16 45:19 46:24 61:11 74:2 98:4 104:20,24 105:18 107:6 107:6 110:7 112:23 128:8 128:23 129:1,14,17 140:4 140:5,10,12,21,23 141:2 159:25 162:21 163:11,18 165:23,24 167:9 168:1 168:10 169:3 171:13 172:20,25 174:1,24 177:4 177:10 179:1 180:17 181:14 182:7 186:2,6 188:4,6,23 190:2,20 193:13,15 232:25 236:10 236:11 237:21 238:13 240:20,24 241:5,18,20 243:1,5 249:22 253:18 274:5 282:22 289:6,10 289:16,17 290:9,14,19 291:5,6,7,20 292:2,9,17 294:8,9 315:16 345:11 345:12,19,23 346:7,20 350:4 356:14,15,15 361:13 362:9 364:7 365:12,12 366:10 367:23 patients' [1] 357:3 pay [3] 103:18 114:5 115:8 payments [1] 20:3 pecking [3] 311:19</p>	<p>312:10 313:8 pending [6] 51:5 53:7 54:16 112:4,14 142:17 Peninsulas [1] 214:24 people [151] 6:1,11 10:11 11:9 23:16 27:15 29:7 32:22 33:2,24 40:9 41:23 41:25 43:10,12,13 44:11 45:22 46:11 49:3,24 52:5 52:15 59:23 61:16,23 62:4,5,13,22,22 63:5,18 64:13,19 66:4 67:18 86:14 99:23,24 100:5 104:6 105:24 106:13 107:12 110:20 124:18,20 126:6 136:9 139:19 141:14,20 151:19 155:5 156:13 162:9 165:15 166:5 169:11 171:4,9 173:8,12 175:22 176:23 177:25 180:19 182:19 183:6 186:12,14 187:19 189:20 191:18,24 192:23 193:7,20 209:15 219:19 220:24 221:8,10 224:7 224:17 225:1 226:8,21 226:25 230:1,5,7,15,23 231:10,20 234:13 235:1 235:9 243:7 250:17 252:25 255:4 263:15,23 264:2,18,25 266:20 267:8 269:9 271:18 274:25 275:6,9,11,22 276:22 277:6 283:4 289:11 290:1 291:21,24 292:15 293:19 294:6 297:25 298:10 302:9 313:3 314:1,3 322:7,12,14 323:10,21 323:22,25 328:2,4 330:25 331:17 334:12,18 341:22 347:21 363:13 364:4 people's [1] 264:5 perceive [2] 323:13 342:16 percent [28] 36:8 56:23 56:25 57:10 59:1,12,19 59:21 60:1 61:1,4 62:2,7 63:6 70:16 87:16 101:1 101:4 144:23 145:3 146:3 146:23 307:8,8,12 310:9 350:4 351:2 percentages [1] 143:12 perception [2] 81:14 82:9 perhaps [38] 4:16 7:1 10:2 12:17 14:25 15:7 35:23 36:25 41:25 46:8 55:23 69:6 72:16 73:2 74:5 75:16 80:13 92:19 108:13 127:21 164:21 166:23,24 179:18 187:9 199:22 228:17,18 271:21 285:16 286:10 317:19 330:14 336:6,8 353:24 373:6,9 period [11] 6:19 14:22 17:1 23:9,10 90:25 114:22 177:1 184:2 345:17 373:11 periodic [1] 80:9</p>	<p>periods [1] 185:16 perplexed [1] 237:14 persistent [1] 253:25 person [16] 11:1 80:18 199:23 212:22 227:16 229:9 237:22 246:7 281:15 302:3 319:15,24 320:6 344:15,20 353:14 person's [6] 288:19 334:19 354:4,5 355:14 359:15 personal [3] 25:15 109:20 265:1 personally [4] 30:9 114:14 192:5,7 personalness [1] 109:15 perspective [18] 50:4 53:4 155:13 156:2 169:23 170:8 190:19 191:2,7,13 218:3 249:2 265:24,25 323:7 342:15 358:4 367:17 pertain [1] 249:13 pertaining [6] 221:16 221:17 234:5 248:21 361:4,13 pertains [1] 351:12 Peter [11] 1:9 2:5 80:4 80:24 105:22 106:8 107:25 153:23 154:1 194:8 201:24 Pg [3] 3:2,3,4 Pgs [7] 2:3,4,5,6,7,9,10 phase [4] 229:9 230:12 230:16 244:3 phases [1] 229:8 philosophers [1] 221:2 philosophy [2] 205:24 207:13 phone [5] 5:2 9:25 272:3 305:8 338:21 phonetic [1] 333:25 photocopy [1] 317:21 phrase [2] 202:2 358:18 phrased [1] 346:18 physically [1] 143:23 physician [6] 140:9 218:15 220:11 279:9 285:14 362:6 physicians [13] 18:12 140:9 154:2 221:4 244:12 244:13 251:24 266:3 280:7 284:21 315:14 350:20 370:3 pick [1] 256:21 picked [5] 30:10 82:1 107:11 183:14 289:19 picture [1] 234:17 piece [14] 51:16 56:10 66:11 91:18 92:6 116:4 169:6 224:9 257:25 278:13 293:16 321:19 322:1 368:2 pieces [1] 368:3 Pike [6] 1:16 201:11,14</p>	<p>201:15 371:17,18 Pilgrim [1] 366:24 pinpoint [1] 110:18 pitch [1] 260:21 place [20] 24:1 38:8,15 39:2 51:2 88:24 90:8 93:19 112:12 116:9 136:5 137:10 152:5 190:6 197:7 208:23 209:16 224:23 294:6 320:3 placed [2] 62:19 284:5 plan [14] 10:11 43:14 57:8 63:13 112:2 222:9 310:13 345:25 346:22 347:3,24 358:15,17,23 planning [3] 93:22 326:15 327:6 platforms [1] 284:1 play [3] 69:19 91:25 190:11 players [1] 296:15 pleased [1] 163:3 plus [7] 70:8 86:6,9 87:14 169:3 174:17,19 point [104] 7:12 8:21 9:2 13:2,17 15:24 19:18 20:21 23:5 27:3 33:5 36:15 37:22 38:1 44:18 44:18,25,25 45:5,21 47:3 47:16 48:4 50:16 57:5 59:7 63:25 64:6 73:11 81:2 82:4 83:1 84:13 86:21 87:6 94:4 95:7 97:1 106:8,8,18 107:2 107:21 108:1,2,7 111:3 111:22 114:13 117:13 139:11 141:8 142:3 145:14,22 147:21 151:13 156:8 159:20 160:3 163:2 163:12 166:12 170:5 171:12 180:15 182:5 189:4,5,17 190:14 192:11 194:20 195:13 196:22 197:11 201:25 237:3,8 245:18 250:7,12,14 253:14 257:3 258:22 281:16,24 293:22 304:11 308:23 314:24 318:24 323:6,18 329:2,12 332:23 349:25 352:19 363:19 364:6 365:5 367:1 pointed [4] 239:18 249:17 254:1 370:12 points [6] 71:8 154:18 161:25 189:23 308:20 314:2 police [1] 224:17 policies [7] 217:15,24 218:22 222:20 223:25 277:21 361:3 policy [18] 118:6 154:20 216:19 217:19,24 221:13 228:10 232:17 247:22 255:22,23 278:23 320:2 325:5,8 355:16 357:6,16 political [6] 89:19 132:1 154:13 169:23 179:25 191:7</p>	<p>poor [2] 6:17 284:2 Port [4] 4:21,21 10:16 10:16 portfolios [1] 215:3 portion [2] 160:20 161:17 posed [2] 54:25 197:11 posing [1] 14:23 position [29] 47:21 77:13 78:3,13,15,19 83:7,10 112:15,20 144:22 145:2 145:2,19 147:15 150:8 153:8 208:11,14 210:12 210:23 211:16 212:21,25 213:16 225:2 332:17,20 351:24 positioning [2] 78:24 78:24 positions [3] 25:10 78:16 219:5 positive [11] 78:16 110:9 148:6,12 283:25 284:6 286:8 311:3 346:9 350:3 351:1 positives [2] 369:5,13 possibilities [2] 329:19 330:3 possibility [1] 271:20 possible [9] 137:7 147:2 172:19 243:15,25 330:22 344:15,24 345:2 post [2] 157:4 350:2 post-menopausal [2] 310:8 351:1 postpone [1] 188:5 postponing [1] 181:13 potential [6] 89:17 105:8 263:17 336:12 358:14,23 potentiality [1] 264:8 potentially [8] 62:18 265:7 284:25 285:17 328:4 330:25 363:15 368:18 PowerPoint [3] 23:20 23:25 99:20 PR [2] 90:1 123:9 practical [1] 208:25 practicality [1] 177:20 practice [1] 233:6 practices [2] 177:21 361:4 pre-briefing [2] 82:13 82:20 Precisely [1] 155:11 precision [1] 280:11 Predham [16] 242:18 248:1,2 250:13,14 254:19 257:6 262:24 267:16 270:14 271:10 272:1 273:25 277:7 306:10 344:23 Predham's [1] 271:13 prefer [1] 167:25 preference [1] 362:13</p>
---	---	--	---	---

<p>prematurely [1] 83:25 premise [2] 28:21 192:22 preparation [4] 92:15 92:18 221:11 363:2 prepare [2] 103:3 294:6 prepared [6] 78:6 84:10 234:23 339:6 340:20 361:11 preparing [3] 92:21 305:5 363:7 presence [1] 345:13 present [6] 123:4 233:2 282:9,10 284:21 286:9 presentation [10] 23:20 23:21,25 95:24 143:17 144:4,15,19,25 145:1 presented [7] 40:12 41:11 63:6 196:4,11 234:7 361:24 president [1] 211:23 press [11] 56:15,16 131:13 150:18 161:17 189:19 195:11 361:11,17 363:3,10 pressed [1] 67:2 pressure [1] 189:7 presumably [4] 10:14 117:3 194:17 251:18 presume [4] 204:2 263:21 295:8 296:4 presumption [3] 265:18 265:21 321:17 pretty [5] 121:22 123:25 142:21 216:22 267:18 previous [7] 71:8 125:6 125:22 165:1 214:22 338:15 359:23 previously [4] 62:15 82:5 125:5 274:14 priest [2] 207:7,14 priesthood [1] 207:20 primary [1] 251:5 principle [1] 222:4 print [4] 37:10 189:20 336:1 337:4 printed [4] 95:20 335:25 336:13 337:19 printing [2] 96:9,11 priority [2] 49:2 360:21 prioritize [1] 165:23 Pritchard [10] 2:7 201:18,19,20 202:7,17 202:25 203:8 370:21,22 Pritchard/Stephen [1] 1:8 Pritchett [1] 1:19 privacy [1] 366:8 privilege [2] 333:3,5 privileged [4] 295:17,22 297:16 332:19 probabilities [2] 31:3 53:18 probability [7] 69:22 69:24 70:11 71:3,12</p>	<p>310:22,24 problem [44] 13:2 15:21 29:6,6 53:16 55:8 105:1 106:2,20 110:14,16,18 115:18,21 116:20,23 118:23 131:7 133:24 137:22 138:13 175:14,15 176:24 179:22 188:9,14 226:15 245:4 279:15,15 279:23 280:9,15,20 284:14,25 320:18 345:16 348:9 351:22 359:20 366:13 369:2 problematic [1] 245:10 problems [6] 119:1 130:16,23 281:19 323:10 345:9 procedure [1] 362:15 procedures [3] 142:22 222:20 345:17 proceed [4] 121:10 241:22 364:9 365:7 proceeding [1] 295:23 process [42] 8:11,17 12:5 39:5 48:18 56:10 68:13 75:21 76:1,9 100:3,6 105:25 118:11 123:1,9 123:17,21 124:10,15,20 126:18 128:22 138:3 182:18 183:1 184:1 188:24 197:2 209:11 228:8 231:24 233:24 250:23 291:22,23 298:21 312:8 313:8 324:11 354:17 364:19 processed [3] 73:13 345:11,19 processes [7] 116:8 152:15,16 175:9 222:2 295:11 351:18 processing [1] 322:16 produce [1] 233:14 produced [4] 345:24 346:20 347:2,22 produces [1] 191:9 profession [2] 272:24 273:1 professional [10] 173:24 176:7 191:18 205:21 207:6,18 218:23 265:20 265:22 266:3 professionals [5] 184:16 227:2 233:5 264:9 265:7 professions [2] 184:11 237:13 prognosis [1] 253:22 program [8] 10:5,22 11:8,14 138:10 146:5 206:2 243:11 programs [5] 11:11 206:4,5 208:16 214:11 progress [2] 233:7 271:15 promised [1] 95:19 promoted [1] 224:6 properly [1] 339:21</p>	<p>proposals [2] 16:23 115:4 proposing [1] 21:14 protocol [2] 284:19 355:3 protocols [3] 89:9,13 130:12 provide [9] 5:10 54:7 88:7 111:25 138:8 194:10 218:23 225:7 358:10 provided [5] 115:17 120:16 205:13 275:17,20 providers [1] 230:19 provides [2] 219:19,22 providing [2] 220:11 331:20 province [2] 74:19 75:18 provincial [3] 11:11 105:8 160:14 provision [1] 11:2 public [45] 29:1 44:22 48:8 53:22 66:16 80:18 91:20,22 94:23 117:12 131:13 132:11 135:14 142:1,18 149:13 171:6 171:18 172:7,14,16,18 172:20 173:6 175:24 176:1,9,10,25 177:8 179:2,6 180:3,20 182:9 183:9,14 184:4,13 185:7 185:23 186:7 190:15 191:10,25 publicly [8] 25:8 38:24 138:21 146:3 172:10,23 177:16 360:10 Pullman [12] 218:13 242:19,19,22 243:10 250:10,18 251:7 254:10 266:22 274:1 305:15 Pullman's [1] 250:25 purely [2] 55:17 59:21 purpose [10] 17:23 54:10 89:4 98:17 130:11 139:20 151:22 152:21 156:1 194:7 purposes [1] 312:21 pursing [1] 263:12 pursue [3] 187:2 313:24 352:22 pursuits [1] 219:25 push [2] 182:11 186:21 pushed [2] 182:5 273:6 pushing [1] 186:10 put [22] 36:20 63:11 71:14 78:10 96:22 138:13 144:22 145:17 146:3 160:22 182:11 187:18 189:7,12 240:10 244:19 266:8 268:2 294:5 309:4 320:3 373:7 putting [3] 96:12 277:18 279:5</p>	<p>4:5,10,22 5:12,16 6:7 7:3 8:4,18 9:5,17 10:6,24 11:16,21 12:11,16 13:20 14:5,16,21 15:9,16,23 16:10 17:8,12,22 18:11 18:16,20,24 19:6,10,19 20:7,19 21:19 22:5,17 22:22 23:18 24:5,20 25:1 25:11 26:11 27:2,25 29:2 29:17,23 30:12 31:4,11 31:17,21,25 32:18 33:6 33:18 34:1,12,20,25 35:10,17,22 37:2,12 38:6 38:12 39:3,8,13,22 40:1 40:17,22 41:3,8 42:1,6 42:16,21 43:3,18,23 45:3 45:8 46:4,22 47:9,14,20 48:11,25 49:11 50:6,12 50:19,23 51:14 52:6,13 52:19,24 53:3,20 54:9 54:19 55:3,12,22 56:18 57:11,15,24 58:5,21 59:4 59:9,15,25 60:6,17,21 61:8,21 62:9 63:15 64:4 64:17,22 65:5,9,13,18 66:12,18,24 67:6,24 68:3 68:15 69:1,8,20 70:14 70:23 71:17,24 72:4,8 72:25 73:6,16,21 74:8 74:20,25 75:6,12,22 76:5 76:20,25 77:6,12 78:2,8 78:18 79:3,8,13 80:1,23 81:5,9,19 82:11,17,25 83:9,23 84:12,20 85:11 85:22 86:10,19 87:1,5 87:18,22 88:4,11,19 89:2 89:11,21 90:13,21 91:7 91:23 92:5,22 93:6,13 93:18 94:1,9,13,20 95:5 95:11 96:5,14,18,25 97:5 97:9,15 98:6,12,16,24 99:8,15 100:14,22 101:2 101:8,13,17 102:10,16 102:20 103:11,16,22 104:3,11,16 105:16 106:17 107:4,19,24 108:9 108:21 109:2,21 112:1,8 112:22 113:10,18 114:10 114:23 115:5,12 116:13 116:18 117:7,21 118:7 118:16,21 119:15,19 120:6,13,20 121:4 201:9 203:14,24 204:5,14,18 204:24 205:6,12,18 206:10,23 207:3,25 208:4 209:6,23 210:4,9,15,20 211:2,6,11,15,20,24 212:3,7,12 213:5,9,14 214:13 215:10,15,22 216:2,8,16 217:7 218:2 218:8,25 219:10,14 220:1 220:8,13 221:18 223:5 223:21 225:4,11,19 227:15 228:7,15,22 229:1 232:3 233:8,19 236:1,14 236:18,22 237:1,7,16 238:4,14,19 239:16,25 240:4,9,13 241:12 242:7 242:12,23 244:16 245:2 245:13,25 246:17 247:2 247:15,25 248:12,25 249:8,16,23 250:6,22 251:6,12,17,22 252:2,6</p>	<p>252:13,20 253:13 254:5 255:21 256:3,24 257:4 257:14,21 258:8,19 259:1 259:7,20 260:3,11,17 261:8,16 262:3,8,13,19 263:14 264:7,20 265:5 265:23 266:9,19 267:1,6 267:13 268:4,11,16,25 269:13,17,22 270:1,11 271:7 272:25 273:4,11 273:20,24 274:21 275:16 275:24 276:16 277:2 278:2,17 279:18 280:1,5 280:13,18 281:5,9,13,20 282:8 283:1,9 285:5,9 285:13 286:3,17,21 287:3 287:11,15 288:25 294:12 294:17 295:1 296:7,18 297:19 298:13,17 299:1 299:6,11,15,19 300:3,7 300:11,16,20,24 301:3 301:10,14 302:11,18,25 303:8,16,20 304:3,10,18 304:23 305:7,13,19,23 306:4,13,18,22 307:2,6 307:11,19,25 308:5,10 309:1,6,12,17,22 310:1 310:7,12,16,20,25 311:6 311:11,16,21,25 312:14 312:19,24 313:9,16,20 314:7,12,17,23 315:8,21 316:3,10,23 317:2,10,16 317:24 318:10,15 319:8 319:12,17 321:2,9,13,21 322:18 323:15 325:2,12 325:22 327:10,14,19,24 328:8,12,23 329:8 330:11 330:21 331:6,18 332:9 332:14 333:8,12,18 334:4 334:14 335:2,7,13 336:4 336:11,16,21 337:1,9,15 337:21 338:10,16 339:4 339:10,16,24 340:19 341:10,25 342:4,9,14,24 343:3,8,13,17,25 344:4 344:8,14,22 345:5 346:12 346:16 347:6,10,17 348:4 348:12,19 349:9,19 350:1 350:9,17,25 351:6,10 352:7,13,23 353:3,18,23 354:9,14,18,22 355:6,13 355:21,25 356:4,8,22 357:14,20,25 358:21 359:2,10 360:6,13,17 361:1,21 362:8 363:12 363:18 364:5 365:4,18 365:25 367:15 368:16 369:7,15,20 370:2,11,16 371:13 Q.C./ [1] 1:13 quality [6] 67:22 163:25 255:12,13 284:18 294:4 questioned [3] 29:19 132:18 202:8 questioning [2] 154:8 202:9 questions [31] 56:14 59:18 106:1,19 110:18 121:7,19,25 130:5 135:18 154:5 164:16 167:16 170:12,17 194:16,19 196:22 201:4,10,12</p>
<p>-Q-</p>				
<p>Q.C [670] 1:6,7 2:3,9 4:3</p>				

<p>203:10,11 287:14 320:7 355:2 370:23 371:2,6,14 371:19 quick [2] 189:3 229:21 quickly [3] 23:23 124:8 306:8 quiet [1] 165:9 quite [22] 45:15 109:18 129:23 149:25 150:2 178:5 185:4 187:5 212:17 214:10 222:14,21 230:10 231:12 232:14 248:7 263:21 264:4,25 265:13 299:7 344:24 quotation [1] 100:1 quote [2] 279:21 280:2 quoted [4] 36:12 105:22 176:14 295:20 quotes [2] 36:2 105:7</p>	<p>97:6,8,10,16,20 98:7 102:17 106:6,23 111:18 143:23 147:6,8 149:16 149:22 150:10,23 269:23 295:12 296:2,8 298:21 298:22 299:2,22 300:1 300:21 317:14 370:12 reading [5] 66:15 76:13 96:23 149:18 158:9 reads [1] 284:9 ready [11] 38:21 77:22 80:11 111:1,11 116:11 135:12 141:25 144:12 205:8 322:14 real [2] 189:15 230:8 realistic [1] 179:3 reality [3] 156:11 171:2 191:22 realization [2] 150:25 175:5 realize [4] 259:12,15 260:6 315:15 realized [2] 98:11 110:10 realizing [1] 15:25 really [35] 48:20 54:8 59:23 86:7 123:15 151:16 179:2 184:24 207:18 213:20 215:6 216:9,14 223:2 226:16 228:9 229:15,23 235:21 240:21 264:15 265:12 275:5 279:12 288:1 289:14 290:25 291:2 297:16 323:6 325:21 341:19 364:24 372:8 373:16 reason [26] 38:13 99:9 119:22 130:14 138:15 141:10 186:3 200:20 224:11 225:1 231:5 246:1 252:7 265:4 279:5 284:22 284:24 285:15 286:10 291:17 327:5 328:16 330:19 352:9 356:25 372:8 reasonable [5] 234:24 243:8 256:17 329:5 359:19 reasons [5] 29:19 140:22 208:25 284:9 314:5 rec [1] 311:9 recalled [1] 164:25 receipt [1] 193:8 receive [4] 11:17 101:18 104:25 322:15 received [22] 6:12 12:24 43:2 63:9 72:20 73:2,12 73:18 75:25 76:8 96:6 104:20 140:7 166:8,10 239:7,11 241:18 247:9 303:23,24 341:21 receiving [6] 54:23 75:8 99:2 105:23 106:11 111:9 recent [1] 255:23 recently [9] 6:13,15,25 205:14 219:3 254:23 255:4 322:8 323:22 Receptor [2] 1:2 374:4</p>	<p>receptors [2] 110:10 345:14 RECESS [2] 121:11 302:22 recognition [3] 73:25 74:12 142:12 recognize [2] 182:8 373:3 recognized [1] 150:12 recognizing [1] 149:22 recollect [1] 297:5 recollection [25] 7:15 12:14,23 38:24 42:8 55:25 61:7 77:17 79:20 83:4 88:18 90:8,20 102:2 122:8,10,13 129:25 157:2 157:3 167:2 168:12 192:20 193:11 333:22 recollections [2] 118:3 167:8 recommend [2] 117:5 330:4 recommendation [12] 62:15 135:9 176:16 226:17 235:6 311:9,10 311:12 329:7 352:19 363:6,10 recommendations [19] 56:23 57:8 61:3 62:3 153:2,6,9 234:9,15,20 235:3,7 243:9 270:25 315:15 319:2 357:12 361:10 363:1 recommended [5] 140:25 157:19 190:13 327:22 366:9 recommending [1] 319:6 record [11] 32:24 139:14 234:10 273:16 288:19 312:7 331:16 334:10,19 359:15 360:19 recorded [4] 59:3 158:11 235:11,16 records [10] 241:6 261:3 292:7,21 326:9 327:7 361:4 364:25 365:16 366:9 recruit [3] 243:12 250:11 255:5 recruited [1] 226:22 recruitment/retention [2] 68:12 69:18 rectified [1] 152:25 recurrence [1] 164:5 recurring [1] 90:5 red [1] 45:15 redone [1] 43:10 reduce [1] 126:5 reduction [1] 310:9 reference [11] 28:2 36:24 79:7 99:25 107:7 114:18 307:20 309:18 310:8 360:18 362:1 referenced [3] 86:3 107:5 305:14</p>	<p>references [1] 103:5 referencing [4] 68:7 69:2 74:10 77:14 referred [13] 21:7 56:2 100:8 204:15 223:7 236:8 236:15 261:17,18 295:21 321:4 325:13,19 referring [5] 67:12 72:13 99:22 109:9 239:10 refers [3] 36:1 104:23 358:18 reflected [8] 33:13 34:18 51:13 108:18 146:4 175:17 185:5 335:3 reflecting [1] 335:9 reflection [4] 32:14 159:2 165:9 360:4 refuse [1] 105:9 refusing [1] 104:5 regard [7] 170:25 186:23 220:3 238:6 254:9 278:23 361:10 regarded [1] 155:17 regarding [9] 22:25 23:21 50:13 92:1 162:8 201:23 243:3 274:5 351:14 regardless [2] 53:21 315:7 region [3] 215:9,23 216:5 Regional [2] 1:11,20 register [1] 285:8 Registrar [12] 156:18 160:19 161:8,12 164:22 166:21 204:6,12 205:8 337:24 338:18 346:6 regular [2] 142:6 168:11 regulations [1] 222:19 reiterated [1] 142:14 related [12] 31:7 206:18 206:19,20 258:14 284:25 286:13 292:12 322:22 326:19 354:4,4 relates [1] 323:6 relating [1] 221:13 relation [10] 14:22 73:23 164:14 220:2 236:2 237:17 239:19 243:19 266:20 327:25 relationship [7] 81:15 81:21 108:5 109:14 198:11 218:4 265:1 relatively [2] 205:14 254:22 relatives [2] 329:16 355:18 relayed [6] 93:14 157:17 180:12,14 181:20 192:3 release [33] 7:23 38:21 44:5,6,7 49:24,25 50:1 66:9 77:21,24 91:5 96:1 99:19 100:7 135:14 144:12 147:10 148:7,14 148:20 149:3 150:18 161:17 241:21 361:11,17 363:3,10 364:8 365:8</p>	<p>366:9,13 released [16] 30:11,25 50:5 66:16,21,25 72:23 80:17 84:17 98:5 100:21 102:9 103:25 138:21 147:25 149:13 releases [1] 131:14 relevant [21] 13:14 100:3 100:4 248:22 259:18 260:18 261:1 264:25 282:1 285:19 289:20 294:3 298:7 315:6 323:20 353:5,6,21 356:17 357:13 368:2 reliance [2] 284:5 286:7 relieved [1] 184:5 Religious [1] 205:25 reluctant [2] 108:1,10 rely [1] 95:4 relying [4] 184:9 266:1 266:5 268:7 remainder [1] 50:8 remained [1] 193:15 remark [2] 201:22,23 remarkable [1] 120:15 remember [129] 5:1 9:12 11:24 13:6 15:3 16:6,16 16:21 17:5,15 20:1,5,12 21:24,25 22:1,2 23:4,4,8 24:4 25:16 26:24 27:11 28:7,13 29:14 32:21 33:16 34:15 41:15 42:13 42:17 43:6 44:1,13,18 45:13 46:9 48:3 49:21 52:17,22 57:5,21 58:24 63:21 68:23 72:7 75:15 76:12,13 77:4 79:22 81:2 81:24 82:22 83:8 84:4,6 85:2,9 90:3,9 91:15,16 93:24 94:7 96:1,9,10,12 104:2,10 109:7 114:7 115:1 116:4,25 117:16 120:1 125:10,16,17 126:20,22,24 131:5 138:18,19 143:6 144:1 162:25 185:16 187:8,9 189:18 198:9 199:4 202:5 235:21 239:6 247:8 260:16 272:11 276:2 278:25 280:11 285:18 286:1,18 296:23 297:7,9 297:10,15 299:25 320:13 320:21 324:20 325:10 333:19 334:25 341:20 347:5,9,13 349:2 363:7 remembered [1] 296:22 remind [1] 165:6 removal [1] 126:16 removed [3] 124:25 125:4,11 removing [1] 126:23 remuneration [2] 23:1 23:22 renew [1] 134:25 replied [1] 136:21 reply [3] 6:8 106:5 273:18</p>
-R-				
<p>R-i-c-h-a-r-d [1] 204:10 radio [2] 37:9 131:4 Radiological [2] 309:21 309:23 raise [3] 99:3 196:9 367:7 raised [22] 13:7,9 19:15 33:10 35:21 42:11,13 45:13 65:3,6,14 75:16 81:24 99:6,7,9 146:16 167:23 172:10,15 192:12 328:13 raises [2] 106:1,19 raising [2] 71:9 108:2 ramifications [1] 249:11 ran [2] 13:12,14 range [12] 60:13 70:22 71:5 87:17 235:19 276:6 329:6,13,18 334:1,9,20 rapport [2] 109:20 131:7 rarity [1] 237:4 rate [18] 27:22,22 36:4,5 60:22 61:1,5 86:16 99:24 101:1,4 144:17 145:3,20 146:4 147:11,15 310:9 rates [4] 50:18 107:16 143:11,11 rather [6] 120:15 180:16 187:2 188:4 256:20 324:4 rationale [1] 222:4 re [13] 37:19 119:4 242:25 253:18 271:15 317:5,14 317:19 335:21 338:3,7 338:23 366:12 re-date [1] 340:9 re-read [1] 272:12 reached [3] 18:9 145:20 348:14 reaction [6] 80:22 184:12 195:5 197:22 199:24 300:8 reactions [1] 82:10 read [32] 5:21 96:15 97:1</p>				

<p>report [49] 14:9,10 33:13 54:24 111:6 127:15 136:15,19 210:5 233:15 233:16 235:11,16 246:25 259:9 271:2 277:19 279:20,20 283:11 284:8 295:10,13,15,22 296:9 296:25 297:8,14 298:4 298:20,23,23 299:22 300:1 301:6,7 309:8 319:1 335:3,10 336:22 338:2 340:20 357:7,7 363:22 364:10 370:8</p> <p>reported [5] 28:23 211:12 235:16 247:12,13</p> <p>reporting [2] 104:4 286:5</p> <p>reports [4] 14:8 120:17 151:14 238:12</p> <p>represent [4] 154:1 251:23 276:25 327:2</p> <p>representative [4] 325:25 326:20,21 327:1</p> <p>representatives [3] 159:18 251:9 326:18</p> <p>represented [2] 257:19 334:22</p> <p>representing [1] 264:3</p> <p>represents [1] 261:5</p> <p>request [38] 50:9 72:11 72:24 133:3,21 134:2,25 136:14,18 137:21 139:12 139:15,21,24 199:13 224:7 225:2,7 227:17 228:18 229:10 230:17 234:6 235:1 246:24 252:10 272:14 300:21 304:6 312:5 313:1 319:7 319:24 320:25 324:3 361:2 362:10,16</p> <p>requested [8] 47:17,19 48:14 49:8 90:15 154:3 231:6 360:19</p> <p>requesting [1] 239:10</p> <p>requests [1] 231:6</p> <p>require [2] 62:12 64:6</p> <p>required [7] 42:18 86:5 141:19,19 224:2,5 355:17</p> <p>requirements [1] 331:13</p> <p>requires [1] 58:17</p> <p>requiring [2] 100:9 224:21</p> <p>reschedule [1] 274:3</p> <p>rescheduled [1] 274:9</p> <p>research [5] 70:20 212:17,20 214:8 366:15</p> <p>researchers [1] 366:20</p> <p>reservation [1] 321:22</p> <p>reservations [2] 84:5 364:21</p> <p>resistance [1] 81:3</p> <p>resisting [1] 81:6</p> <p>resolution [1] 18:8</p> <p>resolve [4] 270:20,21 301:24 332:13</p>	<p>resolved [2] 270:17 361:12</p> <p>resonates [1] 106:15</p> <p>resource [5] 6:2 218:11 218:24 230:23 258:6</p> <p>resources [7] 11:12 141:18 167:24 178:8,15 178:21 216:25</p> <p>respect [9] 11:1 114:14 152:17 163:5 168:25 172:24 216:3 318:18 325:23</p> <p>respected [1] 169:23</p> <p>respective [1] 155:7</p> <p>respects [1] 358:6</p> <p>respond [6] 27:13,17,19 77:24 237:12 327:3</p> <p>responded [2] 162:14 245:6</p> <p>responding [1] 272:14</p> <p>responds [2] 272:2 366:23</p> <p>response [4] 115:2 167:22 195:22 291:16</p> <p>responses [1] 167:10</p> <p>responsibility [1] 159:2</p> <p>responsible [2] 355:2 369:1</p> <p>rest [6] 13:12 53:7 85:8 150:23 258:16 360:7</p> <p>restricted [5] 25:9,13 28:10 53:23 55:15</p> <p>restructuring [1] 208:22</p> <p>result [12] 100:5 190:2 217:20 261:24,25 314:13 314:18,18 315:1,12 318:1 354:21</p> <p>resulted [5] 105:10 345:25 346:21 347:2,23</p> <p>results [82] 9:8,15 15:18 27:9 32:12 33:21 41:19 43:2,7,20 58:16 61:12 62:12 63:9,10,22 66:20 67:5 72:10,13,20 73:2 75:24 76:7 86:20,22 87:7 87:23 104:7 105:11 128:17,21,23,24 129:5 129:16 139:3 140:3,6,10 140:11,15 146:5 149:20 166:8,10,11 171:3 174:2 175:13 180:18 182:8 188:15 193:8,14,15 239:13,21 240:21 241:17 241:18 243:4 253:23 288:12 290:6 291:20,24 292:16 293:18 315:12,13 316:5 345:16,24 346:2 346:21,24 347:2,22 348:1 351:15 365:10</p> <p>resume [4] 106:4 202:12 202:19 203:19</p> <p>resumed [1] 115:14</p> <p>RESUMES [1] 2:2</p> <p>resuming [2] 153:12,13</p> <p>resumption [1] 202:10</p>	<p>retain [1] 23:16</p> <p>retest [10] 47:2 48:13 49:5,7 138:9,10 149:20 174:2 175:13 180:18</p> <p>retested [23] 9:7 43:2 47:16 50:8,9 58:8 63:9 72:20 87:19,23 140:6 166:10 193:7 288:16 289:25 345:24 346:20 347:1,22 361:23 362:10 362:12,13</p> <p>retesting [17] 7:5,9,12 8:6 75:20 146:5 166:15 188:15 190:3,5,21 192:18 292:14 307:21 345:21 351:16 362:14</p> <p>retests [5] 139:3 140:22 141:2 291:25 292:1</p> <p>rethink [1] 196:14</p> <p>retrieving [1] 118:8</p> <p>retrospective [1] 283:23</p> <p>returned [2] 74:18 128:18</p> <p>revelation [1] 35:15</p> <p>reversal [1] 36:5</p> <p>review [25] 14:8 20:14 21:10 67:10 76:17 116:1 120:17 139:2 140:9 141:11,21 151:14 152:4 161:16 216:19 217:24 224:11 228:10 256:22 269:4 283:22 304:14 315:11 316:5 340:23</p> <p>reviewed [10] 72:11 73:3 73:10 86:20,23 87:8,24 143:23 166:12 283:22</p> <p>reviewer [2] 295:11 298:20</p> <p>reviewers [1] 71:20</p> <p>reviewing [6] 67:19 116:9 119:21 140:22 141:2 283:19</p> <p>reviews [3] 15:1,7 366:15</p> <p>revised [3] 41:17 340:7 341:3</p> <p>revision [1] 224:12</p> <p>revisions [1] 341:23</p> <p>Richard [2] 204:4,10</p> <p>Rick [12] 2:8 204:1,15 204:19 243:17 244:2 253:25 296:1 335:20 338:3 352:3 366:12</p> <p>Rick's [1] 366:25</p> <p>right [124] 1:8 14:2 17:2 36:17,18 49:10 60:20,20 103:15 108:20 113:3 122:21 123:3 124:13 125:3,21 126:11 128:13 128:25 129:23 132:4 134:19 144:14 149:8 160:17 162:15 165:2 173:3 178:7 183:4 184:8 184:13,14 189:23 195:11 195:19 205:3 207:10 216:7 220:6 222:13,14 230:1,15 231:10,20</p>	<p>236:21 237:6 238:3,17 242:11 243:7 252:1,22 252:24 254:4 255:3 256:15,22 257:9 260:14 267:5,18 268:14 270:7 273:23 275:4,13 290:3 293:25 294:19 296:15 300:10,15 301:9,13 304:2 305:18 306:21 307:1 308:17,17,24 311:5,12 312:4,23 313:3 316:12 317:3 318:5,14,16 324:17 328:7 329:25 330:7 331:3 331:12,15 333:15 336:25 345:2 346:11 348:16,18 350:7,13 351:9,14 358:4 358:6,6 359:14 360:2 365:24 370:15,19 371:4 371:17,24 372:10 373:3 373:18</p> <p>right-hand [3] 304:12 308:6 311:1</p> <p>rightly [1] 107:12</p> <p>risk [8] 181:12 248:24 249:9 255:12,13 361:7 362:2,4</p> <p>Ritter [3] 17:16 20:16 23:21</p> <p>road [1] 47:16</p> <p>Rob [1] 17:16</p> <p>Robert [3] 118:2 335:20 338:6</p> <p>Rogers [1] 36:6</p> <p>role [32] 5:4 77:23 91:24 92:14,20 114:6 118:4 134:16,20 154:9 174:14 185:10 196:1,4 207:6,22 208:15,22 209:3 212:23 213:23 214:2 227:4,11 236:5 257:22 258:5,9,10 266:2 276:25 320:20</p> <p>roles [5] 208:13 209:2 216:15 217:25 277:13</p> <p>Rolf [3] 1:8 2:7 201:19</p> <p>roll [1] 81:25</p> <p>Roman [1] 207:7</p> <p>room [15] 83:18 154:25 155:5 156:9 169:18 230:4 264:11 265:8 266:21 267:8 274:12 283:14 285:14 364:17 370:20</p> <p>root [21] 12:9,22 14:24 15:10,12 38:1 51:21 54:12 112:10 133:2 135:3 137:22 139:16 279:22 280:9,15,20 320:18 348:9 351:21 367:25</p> <p>rooted [2] 206:21 345:16</p> <p>rough [1] 58:13</p> <p>roughed [1] 340:5</p> <p>roughly [1] 213:11</p> <p>round [4] 246:10 248:5 253:1,24</p> <p>rounds [1] 370:20</p> <p>routine [2] 246:23 290:7</p> <p>RS [1] 306:17</p> <p>rule [1] 230:24</p>	<p>rules [1] 222:18</p> <p>run [3] 166:20 238:1 306:8</p> <p>rural [2] 5:25 215:3</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-S-</p> <hr/> <p>S-i-n-g-l [1] 204:10</p> <p>sad [1] 186:18</p> <p>safe [1] 171:25</p> <p>salary [6] 16:13,24 18:3 19:16 23:17 69:17</p> <p>sample [1] 362:12</p> <p>samples [24] 7:6,9 27:10 74:15 75:9 189:2 190:1 190:7 288:16 292:13,25 293:11 307:7 313:25 345:10,18,23,24 346:19 346:20 347:1,22 361:24 362:10</p> <p>Sandra [3] 1:7 2:3 4:3</p> <p>sat [3] 85:18,23 138:19</p> <p>satisfied [3] 114:12 115:1 153:13</p> <p>saw [6] 65:2 103:1 117:17 344:17 363:6 366:13</p> <p>says [28] 6:8 12:21 51:2 69:21 72:9 73:22 74:9 110:21 111:1,10 136:14 157:22 229:18 253:19 276:19 284:11,13 304:13 313:12,13 317:5 346:8 347:24 349:25 350:2 358:2 366:23,25</p> <p>scenario [2] 180:21 330:23</p> <p>scenes [2] 182:3 188:1</p> <p>schedule [3] 271:21 373:12,13</p> <p>scheduled [3] 97:13 274:14 373:5</p> <p>schedules [1] 244:14</p> <p>scheduling [2] 82:23 135:6</p> <p>school [4] 218:12,18,19 219:6</p> <p>scientist [1] 279:10</p> <p>scope [6] 175:14 179:17 249:7 265:19 276:14 286:24</p> <p>scratch [3] 119:5 256:21 285:23</p> <p>screen [1] 303:11</p> <p>screening [3] 105:1 136:17 264:16</p> <p>scribble [1] 306:17</p> <p>scribbles [1] 323:4</p> <p>scroll [3] 157:9 166:9 346:7</p> <p>search [1] 118:12</p> <p>seated [3] 4:2 203:23 276:20</p> <p>second [11] 7:4 72:19 157:10,22 162:8 166:21 308:24 313:11 314:8</p>
--	--	--	--	---

<p>326:19 339:9 secretary [4] 227:6 246:21 271:13 337:19 Section [1] 312:17 see [33] 5:9 6:6 10:23 18:7 19:24 36:23 37:3 44:4 95:19 99:14 105:2 118:2 120:4 133:16 137:19 139:14 156:17 157:10 160:23 167:21 174:24 189:7 234:16 246:10 270:18 272:21 277:16 307:21 320:17,19 326:16 344:25 364:17 seeing [7] 24:4 41:13 58:11 168:10 187:9 198:9 200:6 seek [1] 194:19 seem [10] 12:7 32:15 47:23 52:17 114:2 122:12 126:4,13 135:5 146:19 select [1] 230:5 selected [1] 135:12 selection [1] 264:18 self-defining [1] 222:6 semi-annually [1] 20:4 semi-automated [2] 125:18,25 send [15] 44:10 166:16 189:14 246:21 292:25 296:20 297:20 341:4,14 342:10 363:4 365:14,15 365:16,19 sending [5] 21:25 113:20 188:3 291:4 363:8 sends [1] 367:3 senior [4] 16:8 174:14 202:15,16 sense [18] 32:16 33:3,17 33:23 81:13,14 91:8 94:14 108:22 126:4 131:24 168:20 216:9 250:24 279:3 282:4 290:21 325:20 sensible [1] 312:7 sensitive [1] 284:13 sensitivity [2] 126:21 152:10 sent [25] 5:7 27:21,23 73:12 74:15 110:1 128:17 136:15 243:21,23 250:13 254:12 273:15,16 292:14 297:17 326:11 340:25 341:17,20 344:21 345:3 362:24 363:23 364:3 sentence [2] 296:11 319:11 sentiment [2] 157:24 158:11 separate [1] 293:11 September [2] 182:1 187:10 sequence [1] 340:3 series [2] 167:16 366:2 served [3] 25:7 26:23 27:4</p>	<p>servers [1] 119:3 service [20] 185:23 213:24 217:3 218:11 224:25 225:3,6,17,22 233:25 237:11 253:20 255:16,17 256:1,8 261:15 302:6 303:15 367:11 services [11] 208:17 212:2 214:18,21,24 215:7 216:22 217:5 219:4 228:13,14 session [2] 253:21 349:1 sessions [2] 80:10 275:9 set [21] 13:10,19 24:24 38:3 80:12 137:6 138:4 194:18,18 223:18,19 234:16,21 237:11 252:22 253:8 254:15 270:12 283:23 290:7 355:3 sets [1] 177:16 settled [1] 53:12 seven [3] 7:17 214:22 337:10 seventeen [1] 86:8 several [8] 110:19 227:20 227:23 255:6 323:2 327:1 351:13 361:10 severely [1] 59:24 share [3] 85:13 108:23 172:3 shared [13] 14:15 47:24 109:3 151:10 173:10 175:18 198:24 199:6,19 295:17 296:13,14 302:9 sharing [2] 295:14 296:10 sheer [1] 237:20 sheet [4] 103:8 143:10 143:13,19 short [5] 141:25 158:2,7 182:21 341:8 short-term [1] 178:19 shortly [3] 20:17 113:14 115:15 show [3] 160:20 172:15 261:21 showed [3] 14:9 111:7 296:23 shown [5] 30:25 156:18 164:17,18,23 shows [2] 164:19 261:20 shutdown [1] 131:22 sibling [1] 322:10 sic [1] 316:17 Siddiqui [1] 19:3 side [8] 124:4,7 143:3 148:19 149:1 304:12 308:7 311:1 sight [1] 368:8 sign [4] 241:25 292:8 344:10 365:8 signed [6] 241:21 295:25 326:9 364:8 365:16 366:21 significance [1] 120:23</p>	<p>significant [25] 7:22 19:18 23:7 41:19 43:9 57:7 63:23 64:14 67:22 74:4,7 79:25 90:10 116:4 117:11 120:7,9 142:4 181:17 196:12 214:2 222:6 323:10,23 324:9 significantly [4] 23:16 43:11 46:20 86:18 signified [1] 336:18 signified [1] 343:10 similar [3] 11:17 62:23 254:13 Simmons [101] 1:11 2:4 121:13,14,15,17 122:20 123:2,7,13,24 124:7,12 125:2,13,20 126:1,10 127:1,11,24 128:4,12,19 129:10,22 130:4,20 131:11 132:3,9,21 133:10 133:14,20 134:6,12,18 134:24 135:15,23 136:13 137:18 138:6,23 139:10 140:1,19 141:7,16 142:2 142:13 143:2,7,16,25 144:13,24 145:6,10,15 145:24 146:9,15 147:5 147:14,20 148:4,11,18 148:25 149:7,14 150:1,6 150:15 151:2,7,12 152:1 152:6,11,18 153:5,17 164:15 165:19 227:22 229:6 287:7,13,18 332:22 333:6,11 371:21,22 372:3 372:20,23 373:6 Simmons' [1] 167:6 simple [3] 168:3 324:13 324:14 Simpliciter [1] 210:16 simply [6] 78:19 203:7 287:24 296:25 336:17 337:11 Sinai [17] 74:10,15,17,22 75:5,25 76:8 189:25 190:7 193:9 241:19 243:5 290:6 315:1 345:20,22 351:16 sincerely [2] 182:16 241:23 single [3] 354:1 357:15 357:16 Singleton [412] 2:8 204:1 204:4,9,10,16 205:9,10 205:16,22 206:13,25 207:5 208:2,6 209:9 210:2,6,13,18,24 211:4 211:9,13,18,22 212:1,5 212:10,14 213:7,12,19 214:15 215:13,20,25 216:6,12,18 217:9 218:6 218:10 219:7,12,16 220:5 220:10,19 221:24 223:10 224:3 225:9,13,21 227:19 228:12,20,24 229:3 232:6 233:17,21 235:12,17 236:12,16,20,24 237:5,9 238:2,8,16 239:1,23 240:2,7,11,17 242:5,10 242:21 244:4,18 245:11 245:21 246:3,19 247:6</p>	<p>247:19 248:3,16 249:5 249:12,20,25 250:19 251:2,10,15,20,25 252:4 252:9,18,23 254:3,8 255:25 256:5,25 257:2,8 257:17,23 258:11,24 259:3,16 260:1,9,13,19 261:14,23 262:6,11,17 262:22 263:20 264:13,22 265:11 266:7,11,24 267:4 267:11,17 268:9,13,21 269:5,15,20,24 270:9,23 271:12 272:10 273:2,9 273:17,22 274:19,23 275:18 276:1,21 277:10 278:4,19 279:24 280:3 280:10,16,21 281:7,11 281:18,25 282:16 283:3 285:3,7,11,21 286:14,19 286:23 287:8,21,23 288:10 289:2 290:2,12 290:20,24 291:13 292:5 292:20 293:3,9,15 294:1 294:23 296:5,16,21 297:22 298:15,24 299:4 299:9,13,17,24 300:5,9 300:14,18,22 301:1,8,12 301:18 302:16 303:12,18 304:1,8,16,21 305:2,11 305:17,21 306:2,11,16 306:20,25 307:4,9,14,23 308:2,8,12 309:3,10,15 309:20,24 310:3,10,14 310:18,23 311:4,8,14,18 311:23 312:2,16,22 313:2 313:14,18,22 314:10,15 314:21 315:2,17,23 316:8 316:13,25 317:7,12,18 318:4,13,17 319:10,14 319:19 321:7,11,15,23 322:21 323:17 325:9,14 326:2 327:12,17,21 328:6 328:10,14,19,21 329:1 329:20,24 330:6,18 331:2 331:10,22 332:25 333:14 333:20 334:6,16 335:5 335:11,21,23 336:9,14 336:19,24 337:5,12,17 338:8,13 339:2,7,14,22 340:1,22 341:12 342:2,7 342:12,18 343:1,6,11,15 343:23 344:2,6,12,19 345:1 346:10,14 347:4,8 347:15,20 348:10,15,25 349:17,21 350:6,11,23 351:4,8 352:3,5,11,16 353:1,8,20 354:7,12,16 354:20,24 355:11,19,23 356:2,6,10 357:8,18,23 358:19,25 359:7 360:3 360:11,15,24 361:19 362:3,21 363:16 364:1 364:12 365:9,23 367:10 367:21 368:20 369:9,18 369:23 370:9,14 371:23 372:11,13,17 373:8,14 373:15 sit [1] 25:20 site [1] 362:15 sits [1] 276:19 sitting [1] 40:7 situation [21] 6:22 8:2</p>	<p>46:20 69:13 94:25 192:24 193:1 229:17,19 230:17 235:21 261:10 291:25 292:11 301:21 327:3 331:14 354:11 358:12 359:4,5 situations [10] 219:24 223:17 231:2,25 232:8 232:24 264:23 278:21 358:12 359:5 six [7] 7:6,9,16,17 335:19 336:18 337:11 size [1] 237:20 sketch [1] 8:1 skills [1] 227:4 slices [1] 284:18 slide [1] 95:24 slides [3] 67:19 95:25 116:1 small [1] 40:8 Society [14] 1:17 80:15 80:20 81:16 170:23 194:3 194:9,11,13 195:4 196:3 198:13 220:22 330:16 Society's [1] 195:22 solicitor/client [1] 332:19 solution [1] 226:17 solve [1] 115:20 someone [28] 33:13 71:9 138:10 146:23 147:2 172:11 180:13 187:11 194:8 209:19 227:8,10 229:10,17 234:7,19 253:21 254:2 256:21 265:15 272:24 281:3 307:16 334:10 341:8 364:20 365:2 366:18 sometime [3] 6:23 24:1 117:25 sometimes [25] 8:12 35:4 102:24,25 108:6 217:14,20 223:19 224:10 224:17 235:18 244:20 251:3 254:21 255:8 263:23,25 306:5,5,6,7 340:7,10,15 341:13 somewhat [5] 214:4 226:22 231:21 237:13 366:20 somewhere [2] 120:5 253:7 son [2] 46:17 48:3 soon [6] 137:7 172:19 195:10 229:13 270:16 272:6 sorry [29] 17:9 18:12 20:10,15 21:15 37:14 76:4 85:3 86:13 121:3 164:22 209:7,24 212:9,9 212:11 254:6 266:23 278:5 288:8 289:23 291:10,23 295:8 321:14 339:18 351:17 356:7 369:19 sort [22] 130:11 162:1,2 165:18 166:20 169:17,17</p>
---	--	--	--	---

<p>171:23 196:21 220:25 224:23 230:13 232:8 241:24 245:15 264:3 292:3 303:10 320:23 324:23 349:3 354:10</p> <p>sorting [2] 223:1 232:16</p> <p>sorts [1] 163:25</p> <p>sought [2] 122:5 194:12</p> <p>sound [1] 374:10</p> <p>sounds [3] 19:3 123:23 152:19</p> <p>source [2] 8:20 130:21</p> <p>soured [2] 81:16,21</p> <p>southwest [1] 10:16</p> <p>speak [14] 13:22 21:5 26:16 30:20 37:11 50:3 55:15 79:2 94:3 105:12 112:16 139:23 253:21 293:16</p> <p>speaking [18] 27:5 29:11 31:6 52:22 55:7 57:5 61:10 217:10 221:9 226:5 233:22 234:12,25 235:6 237:10 289:6 304:25 321:5</p> <p>speaks [1] 56:25</p> <p>special [2] 11:8 200:3</p> <p>specialists [6] 68:6,16 68:17,18,19 71:10</p> <p>specialized [1] 221:3</p> <p>specializes [1] 220:11</p> <p>specific [10] 53:17 90:3 92:3 234:9 332:6 345:13 348:21 349:6,12 353:14</p> <p>specifically [9] 11:9 29:14 35:3 54:4 60:25 134:13 180:25 192:3 363:7</p> <p>specifics [2] 226:11 278:25</p> <p>speculate [1] 353:21</p> <p>speed [1] 189:8</p> <p>spell [1] 204:7</p> <p>spelled [2] 338:25 339:20</p> <p>spend [2] 167:25 288:6</p> <p>spirit [3] 320:1 359:17 360:8</p> <p>spiritual [1] 213:25</p> <p>spoke [3] 48:19 173:18 174:19</p> <p>spoken [1] 369:22</p> <p>spokesperson [2] 176:17 330:15</p> <p>spot [1] 245:9</p> <p>spouse [2] 312:12 322:9</p> <p>spread [1] 64:8</p> <p>spring [2] 13:7 134:3</p> <p>spurned [1] 131:2</p> <p>St [8] 10:18 11:3 215:23 216:4 223:24 236:4 374:7 374:11</p> <p>stabilize [1] 69:13</p> <p>stabs [1] 253:6</p> <p>Stacey [1] 1:18</p>	<p>staff [12] 68:4,11 69:2,3 69:6 71:10 103:2 116:16 116:16 137:14 217:13 361:8</p> <p>staffing [1] 115:25</p> <p>stage [1] 7:12</p> <p>stakeholder [1] 80:15</p> <p>stakeholders [3] 112:24 200:4,9</p> <p>stand [11] 2:2 52:4,5,14 52:15 66:3,4 69:16 97:17 149:19 239:5</p> <p>standard [4] 21:16 142:22 200:2 233:5</p> <p>standardized [1] 284:19</p> <p>standards [4] 21:12 60:14 222:19 223:18</p> <p>standing [1] 213:3</p> <p>stands [2] 41:10 120:9</p> <p>start [4] 119:5 166:24 290:7,8</p> <p>started [16] 13:3 29:25 144:2 171:3 207:9,20 287:10 290:1,6,19 293:19 298:22 299:2 300:4 308:14 326:8</p> <p>starting [2] 13:11 291:19</p> <p>startling [1] 35:14</p> <p>starts [1] 167:15</p> <p>state [2] 7:5 204:7</p> <p>statement [13] 44:14 123:23 138:18 144:14 148:7,13 158:14 171:25 173:6 177:24 185:19 287:5,10</p> <p>statements [3] 131:9,13 161:18</p> <p>statistical [1] 139:2</p> <p>status [8] 86:15 136:15 136:19 187:13 234:1 286:6 295:22 297:13</p> <p>stay [1] 275:5</p> <p>stays [1] 365:20</p> <p>steering [1] 366:6</p> <p>step [4] 26:25 114:19 124:9 271:4</p> <p>steps [10] 70:8 123:18,21 124:17,19 127:9 229:7 294:5 329:18 359:19</p> <p>still [41] 8:14 13:17 20:23 27:7,18,23 28:13 32:6 32:11 33:2,8,24 36:25 42:2 45:21 46:9 48:3,6 54:13 64:5 72:22 83:12 83:15,16 86:6 106:13,15 109:7 114:12 125:19 129:8 140:24 158:19 166:5 187:6,12,18 188:8 190:10 217:11 318:8</p> <p>stipend [3] 69:16,17 115:8</p> <p>stood [2] 42:23 98:8</p> <p>stop [1] 203:2</p> <p>stories [2] 186:12,16</p> <p>story [20] 24:12 35:24</p>	<p>36:1,17,23 37:3,9,10,20 79:23 102:7 104:18,19 105:3 106:7 107:5,14 176:14 179:11 191:9</p> <p>straightforward [1] 353:17</p> <p>strained [1] 198:11</p> <p>strategy [2] 71:1 196:14</p> <p>strident [1] 44:25</p> <p>strong [3] 98:19 183:24 185:4</p> <p>strongly [2] 128:11 187:5</p> <p>structural [2] 209:5,7</p> <p>structure [5] 144:2 207:24 208:16 209:18 366:18</p> <p>structured [1] 144:6</p> <p>structures [1] 214:11</p> <p>studies [4] 205:25 206:17 207:11 214:7</p> <p>study [2] 206:15 207:17</p> <p>stuff [14] 26:6 56:12 74:18 76:15 248:23 249:14 278:5 279:12 285:23,24 286:1 305:4 320:5 369:6</p> <p>sub [1] 68:5</p> <p>subclause [1] 360:1</p> <p>subheadings [1] 143:24</p> <p>subject [12] 24:9,13 64:9 110:3 113:19,24 136:16 242:24 253:17 271:13 302:12 338:1</p> <p>submitted [1] 115:4</p> <p>subsequent [4] 34:6 62:20 207:8 209:25</p> <p>subsequently [5] 6:4 20:18 116:9 198:16 243:19</p> <p>subspecialties [2] 68:17 68:24</p> <p>substantial [2] 322:1 357:10</p> <p>substantially [1] 224:6</p> <p>substitute [2] 254:2 361:2</p> <p>succeeded [1] 39:17</p> <p>such [12] 28:10 50:1 68:17 74:1,1 93:19 189:4 194:7 268:18 316:5 361:17 366:12</p> <p>sued [5] 26:13 113:6,6 258:23 259:22</p> <p>suggest [8] 9:18 14:24 126:16 152:19 197:4 271:4 285:20 373:18</p> <p>suggested [2] 10:21 363:3</p> <p>suggesting [3] 15:4,4 190:19</p> <p>suggestion [7] 84:11 124:14 126:12 197:23 199:12,18 312:9</p> <p>suing [1] 25:18</p>	<p>sum [1] 20:4</p> <p>summary [8] 246:25 268:20 269:2,3 277:15 277:19 295:7 341:17</p> <p>summer [4] 13:7 131:23 134:4 345:7</p> <p>support [2] 69:6 111:12</p> <p>supports [3] 209:5,7 213:25</p> <p>suppose [19] 206:17 208:24 222:10 223:2 225:23 234:19 240:5 254:23 264:16,17 267:20 277:20 289:13 290:25 316:14 321:16 323:7,8 368:13</p> <p>supposed [3] 16:7 233:13,14</p> <p>surfaces [1] 359:13</p> <p>surmise [2] 181:16 279:14</p> <p>surprised [8] 79:22 101:22 102:6 103:12 105:17 181:6 197:22 198:1</p> <p>surrounding [3] 157:4 166:23 167:9</p> <p>Susan [7] 39:20 130:9 131:8 136:8,24 137:4 363:5</p> <p>suspect [4] 36:11 111:16 243:16,18</p> <p>sustain [2] 209:5,7</p> <p>switching [1] 284:1</p> <p>SWORN [2] 2:8 204:4</p> <p>system [28] 15:20 70:5 110:15 119:7 124:24 125:4,6,10,18,22,25,25 126:2,15,21,22 127:9,10 208:7 214:2 241:20,21 284:10,12 306:23 307:3 364:8 369:2</p> <p>systemic [5] 15:21 279:7 279:14 282:6 367:25</p> <p>systems [1] 119:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-T-</p> <hr/> <p>t [1] 92:16</p> <p>table [18] 2:1 17:4 18:2 32:15 33:17 35:21 65:4 68:9 138:14 146:25 155:9 173:10 174:12 177:19 249:3 253:2 329:14,23</p> <p>takes [3] 137:10 214:22 227:10</p> <p>taking [7] 22:24 57:21 169:6 245:23 287:1 332:17,19</p> <p>Tamoxifen [9] 62:16,19 62:23 162:22,22 163:10 163:10,17 350:22</p> <p>tangled [1] 106:25</p> <p>Tansy [4] 39:19 130:9 136:7,24</p> <p>task [2] 25:22 168:24</p>	<p>Taylor [1] 1:14</p> <p>teaching [1] 219:24</p> <p>team [5] 56:8 169:8 202:16 230:22 246:7</p> <p>teams [1] 237:12</p> <p>technical [23] 47:11 49:13 55:6 56:9 83:6 84:2 88:13 93:10 95:18 97:19 100:17 109:25 124:4,7 200:11 279:22 280:8,19 348:9 351:21 352:10 355:9 357:1</p> <p>technologies [1] 119:3</p> <p>technology [3] 15:20 119:2 152:5</p> <p>Telegram [1] 103:7</p> <p>telling [3] 26:6 152:20 294:24</p> <p>tells [1] 270:14</p> <p>templating [1] 318:20</p> <p>temporarily [1] 156:23</p> <p>ten [4] 36:8 207:8 371:10 372:24</p> <p>tended [1] 225:24</p> <p>tension [1] 222:24</p> <p>tensions [1] 231:8</p> <p>tentative [1] 270:12</p> <p>tentatively [1] 56:5</p> <p>term [2] 8:5 60:22</p> <p>termed [1] 144:18</p> <p>terminology [2] 154:12 263:1</p> <p>terms [19] 28:5 41:10 49:1 68:16 90:14 91:10 94:16 97:17 183:18 229:25 233:12 237:20 267:14 275:20 276:24 278:7 312:20 326:7 344:10</p> <p>test [21] 24:11 36:15 61:11 70:9 105:11 128:17 128:21,24 129:5,16 166:6 171:3,5 172:13 253:23 284:10 286:13 345:13,17 346:2,23</p> <p>tested [6] 110:9 192:17 311:2,2 346:8,9</p> <p>testified [2] 90:4 161:15</p> <p>testimony [3] 166:23 185:5 187:10</p> <p>testing [35] 1:2,15 6:11 67:20 68:19 106:4 115:14 123:9,9 126:18 128:22 129:2,15 153:12,14 176:25 188:15 189:25 202:10,12,20 203:3 233:1 240:19 283:23 289:11 307:21 313:15,25 314:3 345:10 362:15 369:11,14 374:4</p> <p>tests [20] 43:10 60:9 69:22,24 71:4,13 100:8 110:8 124:2 126:7 185:18 186:2 191:23 192:22 288:12 291:3,20,24 347:21 349:7</p>
--	---	--	---	---

<p>text [1] 194:17 thanatology [3] 206:7 206:12,14 thank [35] 32:4 121:5,5 121:16 153:18,20,25 156:18 164:13 170:12,13 201:3,6,10,16,21 203:9 203:17,17,20,25 204:13 205:7 235:25 293:25 302:19 303:1 330:10 333:9,11 335:16 346:6 363:22 370:17 373:16 thanked [1] 362:17 Thanks [5] 243:17 253:24 304:15 338:3 366:23 themselves [6] 223:19 231:14 266:5 320:17,20 324:1 theologians [1] 221:5 Theological [1] 206:3 theology [1] 207:13 there'd [2] 119:23 193:18 therefore [1] 300:12 they've [2] 73:13 322:9 thickness [1] 284:17 thinking [11] 63:3 78:23 260:15,21 272:19,23 281:1 315:5 347:11 353:11 359:8 third [7] 197:4 296:3,4 309:4 316:12 318:16 349:25 Thompson [1] 118:2 thorough [1] 48:1 thoroughly [1] 373:4 thought [32] 25:24 26:7 35:6,14 57:22 76:17 79:24 98:23 100:20 101:3 109:10 170:4 188:23 195:2 196:23 197:16 198:15 199:18 202:6 237:21 238:5 250:23 287:22,22 295:24 297:17 328:2 330:12,13 335:8 336:6,8 three [40] 18:5 56:23,25 57:10 59:1,12,19,21 60:1 61:1,3 62:2,7 63:6 66:16 70:16 76:16 87:16 98:20 100:25 101:4 115:10 124:10 144:23 145:3 146:3,23 213:20 216:14 216:21 217:25 228:10 229:8 253:5 281:15 308:22 324:12 339:18,25 346:6 thresholds [1] 114:5 through [45] 3:2 8:16,25 11:12 13:12,14 16:18 23:23 36:9 76:1,9 92:25 93:1 103:4 105:25 118:13 118:15 128:22 136:2,4 138:20,24 143:18 162:3 166:20 167:20 175:10 179:20 186:7 200:15 204:25 205:1,4 206:7</p>	<p>212:20 233:11 255:12 258:4 270:3 277:15 306:9 329:15 335:4 344:9 359:22 throughout [4] 92:6 210:25 215:8 277:23 thumbed [1] 103:4 thumbnail [1] 8:1 Tilley [23] 39:16,16 40:11 41:4 45:1 52:18 56:13 58:3 81:12 88:22 113:21 114:17 130:8 138:5,20 143:17 144:3 144:19,25 145:17 176:18 178:20 189:4 Tilley's [2] 174:14 175:18 timely [1] 41:24 times [12] 13:8 20:12 23:12 37:7 119:14 134:3 159:24 178:13 224:23 226:12 324:12 325:4 timing [2] 179:5 231:22 tissue [4] 27:10 284:15 284:17,24 to-day [1] 180:8 today [5] 31:14 137:5 170:24 272:6 372:5 together [11] 84:24 187:18 206:17 244:20 267:21 271:19 277:18 279:5 318:24 319:5 373:7 Tom [1] 12:21 tomorrow [2] 229:24 372:5 tone [1] 45:1 too [10] 21:18 45:16 76:16 177:23 196:13 251:1 283:16 284:4,13 361:22 took [18] 39:1 51:2,15,16 57:19 60:15,16 68:22 76:15 87:13,17 90:8 93:19 112:11 116:5 136:5 161:19 188:19 top [6] 72:18 168:11 243:22 245:16 304:12 367:2 topic [5] 54:18,21 90:3 181:6,17 Toronto [1] 345:20 total [5] 61:10 63:5 86:20 100:8 257:24 touched [1] 165:18 toward [1] 304:19 towards [9] 38:18 63:2 105:6 108:23 116:2 174:23 188:3 202:8 319:3 town [2] 135:7 220:20 traced [1] 281:3 tracking [1] 254:12 trained [1] 226:22 training [5] 116:3,14 227:1,3 265:16 transcribed [2] 12:19 374:9</p>	<p>transcript [4] 160:21 166:21,22 374:3 transcripts [1] 37:8 transferred [1] 20:6 transportation [4] 10:3 10:8,10 11:13 trauma [1] 323:11 travel [2] 10:12 11:3 Treasury [1] 16:18,24 treated [8] 62:16 64:8 105:21 110:20 348:2,2 350:5 351:3 treating [1] 251:23 treatment [37] 6:12 9:19 10:19 11:4 41:21 42:18 43:14 46:13 57:8,9 58:17 61:18 62:12 63:13 64:7 86:5 100:10 104:21,25 105:19,23 106:11 107:7 140:21,24 163:21 166:6 310:6,13 315:15 345:15 346:1,22 347:3,24 350:21 356:17 treatments [2] 10:12 163:6 tried [1] 118:14 triggering [1] 279:15 trouble [1] 149:22 troubling [1] 16:9 true [1] 374:3 try [18] 13:3 18:7 26:25 67:3 70:7 121:22 152:23 175:10 182:6 189:6 195:20 222:12 244:11,14 246:10 277:14 309:8 319:4 trying [17] 7:25 36:23 41:14 51:18 58:18 69:12 131:7 165:23 182:11 185:2 187:18 244:5,19 250:23 252:22 254:15 287:21 Tuesday [1] 295:2 tumor [4] 166:1,12,16 345:14 turn [5] 100:3 122:14 161:6 202:1 229:14 turnaround [3] 74:14 229:22 341:8 turned [3] 74:18 182:17 182:18 turnover [3] 68:4,11 69:2 tweaked [1] 107:18 Twelve [1] 180:19 twice [3] 10:1 324:12 333:15 two [34] 19:2 66:16 71:8 76:16 77:11 86:8 88:12 103:5 115:10 124:9 133:13,15 157:12,23 158:4,12,20 161:25 236:11 251:8 252:7 267:7 267:9 271:8 276:18 292:4 298:10 326:3 333:23 335:16,22 336:5 340:13</p>	<p>346:18 type [16] 7:20 119:6 141:18 214:1 246:15 278:5,20 288:18 297:14 302:8 324:25 325:18 327:3,8 340:11 369:13 typed [3] 51:1 338:12 339:1 types [13] 68:19 206:21 209:12,22 214:17 215:19 217:11 234:2 255:9,10 275:2,9 325:16 typical [2] 267:18 278:15 typically [7] 216:21 232:7,11 264:19 319:2 326:14 367:12 typo [3] 87:14 338:14 339:12 typos [1] 339:8</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-U-</p> <p>Uh-hm [1] 372:18 ultimately [13] 28:23 44:12 53:12 70:15 71:15 111:21 173:21 177:1 183:6 184:3 188:19 197:2 267:7 Um-hm [13] 86:25 182:23 187:15 188:12 205:17 309:2 310:11,19 323:16 334:5,15 355:12 358:20 unacceptable [1] 186:17 unavoidable [1] 323:14 unaware [1] 35:3 unclear [4] 86:14 140:14 140:16,17 uncommon [4] 5:4,24 301:20,25 under [6] 10:4 62:11 175:9 192:21 283:21 284:8 underlining [1] 319:11 underlying [1] 116:11 understand [50] 7:11 7:11 15:11 18:3 26:6 33:19 37:23 38:13,17 47:15 48:12 51:10 52:7 67:11 80:5 89:22,24 90:15 95:23 100:16 107:23 115:13 119:10 127:4 129:23 140:8 142:3 153:6 171:2,6 185:2 194:15 196:3,6,11 221:22 238:9 240:15 250:25 258:14 259:21,24 260:4 260:4 262:4 291:12 314:24 329:13 347:19 372:7 understands [1] 225:6 understood [52] 5:9 9:3 28:21 29:9 31:5 53:11 53:16 65:20 66:15 67:17 83:12 102:8 105:12 122:6 122:21 127:2,8 130:10 130:14 140:20 142:20 152:20 155:21 181:12</p>	<p>190:3 192:11 198:19 203:5 240:18 242:8 249:11 258:9,20 283:7 291:12,17 292:14 295:18 315:10 321:3,5 322:19 324:19 333:2 350:19 351:20,22 355:7 363:24 365:5,10,22 undertakings [1] 218:22 undoubtedly [1] 80:17 unfold [1] 56:16 unfolded [1] 252:15 unfolding [1] 263:9 unfortunately [8] 6:10 8:12 78:1 107:10 137:24 182:25 184:1 187:21 unique [1] 369:4 unit [2] 220:12 229:18 university [4] 205:23 207:11 218:5 220:3 University's [1] 219:6 unknown [1] 140:16 unless [6] 47:5,17,19 48:13 49:7 270:19 unlikely [1] 284:11 unmanageable [1] 21:18 unreliable [1] 70:5 unresolved [1] 46:21 unusual [2] 109:11,15 up [93] 5:3 6:5 10:19,21 13:10,19 14:4 16:17 17:2 20:16 21:17 23:19 27:8 30:10 34:17 38:3,23 50:3 67:8 80:16 82:1 88:12 89:16 91:3,19 106:25 115:6,15 130:6 131:10 131:15 132:1,8 133:15 134:19 136:20 137:2,6 137:20 138:4 139:21 148:1 161:25 164:19 183:14 187:10 189:8 195:20 197:10 200:10 226:3 229:11 237:11 252:21,22 254:15,22 256:21 259:8 261:20,21 266:22 267:2 270:25 273:21 275:10 282:24 286:18 289:4,19 290:7 295:9,9 297:3,24 302:12 308:23 316:6 320:10,23 328:13,16,17 338:17 339:19 340:5 343:4 345:15 347:24 359:23 363:14 364:16 365:12 up-to-date [1] 111:20 upcoming [1] 93:10 update [3] 37:21 54:23 85:14 updated [1] 158:6 upset [4] 20:13 46:5 299:3,12 urged [2] 128:11 187:4 urgent [2] 183:17 230:8 usage [1] 233:14</p>
---	--	---	--	--

<p>used [15] 57:25 119:8 146:6,11 147:7 149:17 180:7 215:24 223:6,8,11 224:11 263:2 297:15 345:17</p> <p>using [5] 8:5,6 146:23 165:21 272:8</p> <p>usually [10] 227:9 232:15 243:13 244:6,8,11 294:22 294:23 340:4 341:7</p> <p>utilize [1] 219:4</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-V-</p> <hr/> <p>vacancies [1] 23:15</p> <p>vacation [2] 133:4,11</p> <p>vague [1] 157:2</p> <p>vaguely [2] 11:24 34:15</p> <p>values [3] 222:5 223:13 359:16</p> <p>variable [2] 68:13 125:1</p> <p>varies [1] 216:24</p> <p>various [11] 25:10 40:16 68:10 91:21 115:24 123:18 154:12,18 200:9 200:13,14</p> <p>Ventana [6] 124:24 125:4,10,18 126:20 284:12</p> <p>verbal [2] 37:9 136:23</p> <p>verbally [1] 196:16</p> <p>verified [1] 364:23</p> <p>verify [1] 226:15</p> <p>version [2] 239:15 342:17</p> <p>versus [2] 19:24 20:4</p> <p>via [1] 303:25</p> <p>Vice [1] 211:23</p> <p>view [30] 18:8 25:5 26:8 28:21 43:8 44:4 46:17 46:21 47:24 57:20 109:20 114:14 128:16 155:16 156:8 159:20 171:17 173:7,17 182:15 183:25 185:12 187:5,6 195:13 196:22 322:19 333:1 352:8 361:16</p> <p>viewed [6] 21:17 23:16 63:25 67:22 90:25 163:4</p> <p>viewpoint [6] 172:4 176:22,23 180:14 195:23 199:6</p> <p>viewpoints [1] 195:16</p> <p>views [5] 80:20 155:13 195:5 264:12 266:2</p> <p>vis-a-vis [1] 78:25</p> <p>voice [3] 45:12,13 328:3</p> <p>voiced [1] 330:13</p> <p>vote [1] 85:4</p> <p>VP [2] 247:12 343:9</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-W-</p> <hr/> <p>wait [5] 44:11 74:9,21 177:9 190:6</p>	<p>waiting [5] 39:4 44:3 46:15 136:25 174:24</p> <p>waiver [1] 333:3</p> <p>walk [1] 167:20</p> <p>walked [3] 85:7 200:15 352:2</p> <p>wanting [2] 133:1 139:16</p> <p>wants [3] 133:23 134:13 272:3</p> <p>warm [1] 109:14</p> <p>Warren [5] 4:15,17,19 5:17 9:22</p> <p>washy [1] 97:25</p> <p>watching [1] 220:23</p> <p>water [1] 98:21</p> <p>ways [6] 224:14 227:20 267:20 319:23 326:13 329:6</p> <p>website [1] 104:23</p> <p>week [6] 136:16,19 171:18 177:1 220:20 373:3</p> <p>weeks [14] 66:17 88:12 98:20 133:13,15 148:5 157:13,23 158:5,12,20 188:18 271:17 350:12</p> <p>Wegrynowski's [1] 14:10</p> <p>weigh [2] 170:8 264:10</p> <p>weight [2] 156:13,14</p> <p>West [1] 108:15</p> <p>Western [2] 1:19 206:1</p> <p>whatsoever [1] 16:6</p> <p>whereas [1] 21:6</p> <p>wherever [1] 277:1</p> <p>whole [18] 12:9 17:1 23:9 23:10 61:3 70:10 81:23 92:6 106:25 119:8 120:1 161:14 182:18 210:25 248:19 278:10 302:5 369:2</p> <p>widely [4] 9:3 28:20 35:8 35:11</p> <p>wider [1] 215:17</p> <p>widespread [1] 177:25</p> <p>wife [1] 288:14</p> <p>Williams [37] 39:18 158:10 176:18 239:9 241:15,16 242:17 246:18 252:11 270:7,8 273:25 295:4 296:20 297:1,18 297:20 298:8 301:17 302:1,13 303:25 304:5,6 304:15 305:15 320:22 324:22 326:11 335:20 337:25 338:6,23 342:22 362:24 363:23,24</p> <p>Williams' [4] 156:20 174:17 247:9 301:16</p> <p>willing [5] 246:14 252:12 253:12 254:17 256:14</p> <p>wing [1] 131:23</p> <p>wire [1] 37:10</p> <p>wishes [2] 157:12,22</p>	<p>wishy [1] 97:25</p> <p>within [60] 7:1 32:10 34:21 56:24 57:16,25 59:5 60:3,8,12,13 61:5 70:15,21 71:4,13 75:1 79:9,14 85:12 87:16 94:14 99:1 142:5 144:23 157:12,23 158:20 177:1 181:18 207:13,23 208:21 209:13 213:23 214:2 215:18,23 216:4,13 217:3 219:5 228:14 233:22 248:23 254:23 256:6,6 271:17 277:1 298:14,18 302:7 320:1 328:3 329:5 330:13 334:20 355:5 367:12</p> <p>without [9] 112:4 116:22 131:10,18 185:12,20 232:22 284:23 329:17</p> <p>witness [5] 26:5 160:20 164:17 203:20,25</p> <p>witnesses [2] 373:5,10</p> <p>women [2] 350:3 351:1</p> <p>wondering [4] 12:25 59:17 124:16 180:12</p> <p>Woolgar [2] 271:11,11</p> <p>word [16] 8:6 19:23 30:7 78:19 206:14,22 221:7 223:6,9,11 224:11 297:14 317:8,20,22 333:24</p> <p>words [5] 6:18 57:25 309:11 323:3 332:6</p> <p>worked [6] 56:11 108:6 188:9 218:9 257:18 270:8</p> <p>workload [4] 22:19,25 142:11 168:11</p> <p>works [3] 219:18 243:13 324:5</p> <p>world [6] 177:8 184:19 184:22,23 281:14 343:9</p> <p>worry [2] 49:22 66:8</p> <p>worse [2] 60:18 180:20</p> <p>worst [1] 169:13</p> <p>worthwhile [1] 215:6</p> <p>wrap [1] 364:16</p> <p>write [1] 274:3</p> <p>writed [1] 316:17</p> <p>writes [1] 95:19</p> <p>writing [8] 58:18,19 219:25 281:1 318:19 333:24 352:17 360:20</p> <p>written [13] 120:10 241:16 243:1 268:17 269:3,18 308:3 309:13 312:25 313:4 335:19 346:4 350:18</p> <p>wrong [10] 28:6,11,15 36:13 104:6 110:19 112:16 186:13 187:2 340:16</p> <p>wrote [6] 21:23 88:1 239:8,9 326:10 334:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">-X-</p> <hr/> <p>X [1] 193:2</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">-Y-</p> <hr/> <p>year [17] 6:20 7:2 32:6,8 33:1 36:4,8 44:3 46:14 74:9,14,21 80:10 103:9 118:1 188:19 256:7</p> <p>years [18] 6:24 7:6,10,16 68:10 108:17 110:11,20 174:17,19 175:6 205:23 207:8 213:8 218:9 244:7 333:23 350:13</p> <p>yesterday [11] 13:8 14:9 90:4 121:21 128:25 129:24 154:7 156:19 160:10 170:25 295:4</p> <p>yet [2] 250:16 362:12</p> <p>Young [1] 239:8</p> <p>yourself [33] 24:8 45:10 95:14 101:21 110:2 113:12 182:10 191:10 204:19 228:23 237:22 242:2,15 243:24 246:1 253:16 270:4 271:10 272:2 273:13,15,16 282:10 295:2 306:19 337:25 338:7,23 344:18 347:12 366:5,21 367:4</p> <p>yourselves [1] 267:9</p>
--	--	---	---