

**Susan Bonnell**

---

**From:** Louise Jones  
**Sent:** Monday, July 30, 2007 5:11 PM  
**To:** Pat Pilgrim; Oscar Howell  
**Cc:** Heather Predham; Susan Bonnell  
**Subject:** RE: ER/Pr - Notification of Deceased Relatives

Pat

As per our discussion this appears to be a reasonable way to proceed. I will defer to Oscar about the paneling but as per our discussion if there was a change and the family does wish the results we should be able to indicate like we have with the other clients with a patient treatment change would have been proposed..

I would be interested in seeing the proposed letter to next of kin before we do anything mail outs. Also did the group have any discussion with respect to whether there would be a phone call or a letter??

---

**From:** Pat Pilgrim  
**Sent:** Monday, July 30, 2007 1:02 PM  
**To:** Louise Jones; Oscar Howell  
**Cc:** Heather Predham; Susan Bonnell; Pat Pilgrim  
**Subject:** ER/Pr - Notification of Deceased Relatives

Hello, As you are both aware, George announced publicly that we would be carrying on with the retesting of all deceased patients who had original negative results. Susan is checking on the exact commitment he made re the notification of the deceased next of kin. Today in the Coordinating Committee, we talked about the possible notification alternatives and concluded that the best way to do this would be to attempt a direct notification via mail. We have already started sending the specimens to Mount Sinai for retesting. We expect to start getting the results in a couple of weeks. Oscar, for those that convert from negative to positive, the group felt they should be paneled to see how many of them would have had a treatment change???? We are thinking we follow the same process as we did for all others. So, we just need your approval for the discussion we had today

- We directly notify next of kin of results when they are received.
- We have any conversions paneled to determine if there would have been a treatment change.

Pat