

Donald Cook

From: Heather Predham
 Sent: Tuesday, July 17, 2007 3:42 PM
 To: Donald Cook; Nebojsa Denic(*HCCSJ)
 Subject: ER/PR

Hi,

I am reviewing my database and confirming my results with what is documented in Meditech. I am doing this for two reasons, one to make sure the database is correct and also to determine the month of pathology reports.

Marian Crowley is being the second set of eyes and confirming the data, as she will have to coordinate releasing the results for the ATIPP request to Mark Quinn.

Also, we'll be needing to print out all the Meditech results soon for the inquiry and the class action lawsuit, so I think it would be better to anticipate and get answers now for anything complicated, than waiting. I'll be in the office all day tomorrow.....

So far it has taken us 4 hours and we have reviewed 80 patients....and I have some questions that I hope you can clarify:

- [REDACTED]
 - o my notes have her as DCIS and that she was informed by her physician in January 2006.
 - o However (from what I can tell in Meditech) the 2001 biopsy was retested and results from Mount Sinai are for Block 2R: ER 70 PR 10 and Block 2T:ER 60 and PR 40. I can't see any original ER/PR results for the biopsy
 - o The 2002 mastectomy was diagnosed as DCIS and was originally ER<1 and PR5. The retesting results are not there and the Mount Sinai results I have from dr. Mullen (which is DCIS) is only for the mastectomy and not the biopsy
- [REDACTED]
 - o Her biopsy original results were ER 0 and PR 0. Her mastectomy was also ER 0 and PR 0 and was diagnosed as DCIS and came back from Mount Sinai as DCIS. So I guess we didn't send the biopsy for her? Is there a reason why we wouldn't do that for her and did it for [REDACTED]?
- [REDACTED]
 - o Her retest results are not in the computer (She is deceased) ~~AS~~ [REDACTED] RS [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]
 - o Her retest results are not in the computer
- [REDACTED]
 - o I'm going to need to have you walk me through her results when you get a chance. She's deceased but it looks like the same sample was retested at Mount Sinai with multiple results
- [REDACTED]
 - o She has two results from Mount Sinai but only one is in the computer
- [REDACTED]
 - o Dr. Mullen's spreadsheet (and subsequently mine) have her results as ER 40/PR 60. However in Meditech it is reported as ER 30/PR 20 RS [REDACTED] Reamed Oct 27, 2005. Signed HHS Oct 3, 2005
- [REDACTED]
 - o Her original pathology report diagnosed her as DCIS. She was originally neg/neg and retested as 0/0. But why didn't Mount Sinai pick up the DCIS?

Thanks

Heather

7/17/2007